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#### Abstract

Three new models with V-shaped field potentials $U$ are considered: a complex scalar field $X$ in $1+1$ dimensions with $U(X)=|X|$, a real scalar field $\Phi$ in $2+1$ dimensions with $U(\Phi)=|\Phi|$, and a real scalar field $\varphi$ in $1+1$ dimensions with $U(\varphi)=\varphi \Theta(\varphi)$ where $\Theta$ is the step function. Several explicit, self-similar solutions are found. They describe interesting dynamical processes, for example, "freezing" a string in a static configuration.


PACS numbers: 05.45.-a, 03.50.Kk, 11.10.Lm

## 1. Introduction

Lagrangian for a field, let it be a real scalar field $\phi$, usually contains a kinetic part, and a potential $U(\phi)$ which, in the case of physical models, is assumed to be at least bounded from below. In most models, however, $U(\phi)$ is a smooth function of $\phi$ with isolated absolute minima which are reached at finite values of $\phi$. Furthermore, if $\phi_{0}$ is one of such minima, the second derivative $U^{\prime \prime}\left(\phi_{0}\right)=m_{0}^{2} \geq 0$ exists and plays the role of length scale ( $\lambda_{0} \sim 1 / m_{0}$ ) in the model. For a weak classical field such a model is reduced to a free field model, and when quantizing it one can apply the harmonic oscillator paradigm with particle creation and annihilation operators. Models with these features are very popular - justly so because they have plenty of important applications.

Said above notwithstanding, one can find interesting models which do not fit that description. In particular, there exist physically well-motivated models such that $U^{\prime \prime}$ is infinite at the minimum of $U$ [1]. Specifically, the field potential $U$ is V-shaped around the minimum, hence the first derivative has a discontinuity at $\phi=\phi_{0}$. In papers [1-3] we have investigated certain relatively simple models of this kind with a single real scalar field in $1+1$ dimensions and, moreover, with the field potential invariant under the transformation $\phi(x) \rightarrow-\phi(x)$. Among the most interesting findings was
a scaling symmetry of the "on shell" type. This symmetry is universal in the sense that it does not depend on neither the number of space dimensions nor the number of fields.

In the present paper we continue the investigations of field theoretic models of that kind. Our goal here is to find self-similar solutions in models which are less restricted than the ones considered in the previous papers: we allow for more fields, or more space dimensions, or smaller symmetry. We consider three new models. In the first one we have a complex scalar field in $1+1$ dimensions (Section 2), the second involves a real scalar field in $2+1$ dimensions (Section 3), and in the third model the field potential is not invariant under the transformation $\phi(x) \rightarrow-\phi(x)$ and has degenerate minimum extending from $\phi=0$ to $-\infty$ (Section 4). These models are interesting already on purely theoretical ground as examples of V-shaped field potentials and this is our main motivation. Nevertheless, these models can have applications. For example, the first two can be regarded as models of pinning of a string or a membrane, respectively, and the third model describes the process of depinning of a planar string. It should be stressed that total energy is conserved in these models - the pinning occurs because of the dynamics and not because of a dissipative loss of energy.

In the case of V-shaped potentials the pertinent Euler-Lagrange equations are nonlinear in a rather unusual way - as a rule they contain a discontinuous function of the field. Restricting the considerations to the sector of self-similar fields is a natural simplifying step which reduces by one the number of independent variables. Theory and applications of self-similar solutions of nonlinear evolution equations is a well-established, important branch of theory of nonlinear systems, see, e.g., [4,5]. It turns out that in the sector of self-similar fields there is quite interesting dynamics which can be seen, e.g., from the explicit solutions which are presented in subsequent sections.

## 2. Complex scalar field in $1+1$ dimensions

The string in three dimensional space is attracted to a straight line, which we call the $z$-axis. The two directions perpendicular to it are denoted as the $X_{1}, X_{2}$ directions. For notational simplicity, we use dimensionless coordinates $z, X_{1}, X_{2}$ - the physical coordinates are obtained from them by multiplying by a unit of length. Position of the string at a fixed time $t$ is given by two functions $X_{1}(z, t), X_{2}(z, t)$ of $z \in(-\infty, \infty)$, which give Cartesian coordinates of points of the string in the $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ planes ${ }^{1}$. We will consider only the cases of a stretched string, so that $X_{1}, X_{2}$ are single-valued functions of $z$.

[^0]We are interested in the dynamics of this system in the special case when the attractive force has the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=|X|, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X=X_{1}+i X_{2}$ is the complex number representation of points from the planes perpendicular to the $z$-axis. Then, the evolution equation for the string, in the approximation discussed in the previous section, has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\partial_{z}^{2}\right) X_{k}=-\frac{X_{k}}{|X|}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=1,2$. Thus, the attractive force has constant modulus equal to +1 . Eq. (2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\partial_{z}^{2}\right) X=F(X), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(X)=-\frac{X}{|X|} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have assumed that $X \neq 0$. However, one should take into account the fact that $X=0$ is physically acceptable configuration of the string the string just rests on the $z$-axis. In order to formally include $X=0$ to the set of solutions of the evolution equation we assume that $F(X)=0$ if $X=0$. Of course $F(X)$ is discontinuous at $X=0$.

It should be noted that we are looking for, so called, weak solutions of Eq. (2), [6, 7]. When such a solution is inserted in Eq. (2) one should obtain an identity only when the both sides are integrated with arbitrary test function of the variables $t, z$, while in the case of strong solutions the identity is obtained immediately after the substitution. Actually, the weak solutions are the right ones in the context of Euler-Lagrange equations because the stationary action principle has precisely the weak form

$$
\int d t d x \delta X_{i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta X_{i}}=0
$$

where $S$ is the action functional and $\delta X_{i}$ arbitrary test functions.
The potential $U$ is V -shaped: plot of $U\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ has the form of a symmetric cone with the tip at the point $X_{1}=X_{2}=0$. Eq. (3) possesses the scale invariance: if $X$ is a solution of it, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\lambda}(z, t)=\lambda^{2} X\left(\frac{z}{\lambda}, \frac{t}{\lambda}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution too for any $\lambda>0^{2}$. By definition, the self-similar solutions are invariant with respect to these transformations.

It is convenient to use a polar Ansatz for $X$ :

$$
X=\rho \exp (i \chi)
$$

where $\rho$ and $\chi$ are real. Then

$$
\frac{X}{|X|}=\operatorname{sign}(\rho) \exp (i \chi)
$$

where the signum function takes values $\pm 1$, or 0 if $\rho=0$. Eq. (3) is equivalent to the following two equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho\left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\partial_{z}^{2}\right) \chi+2\left(\partial_{t} \chi \partial_{t} \rho-\partial_{z} \chi \partial_{z} \rho\right) & =0  \tag{6}\\
\left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\partial_{z}^{2}\right) \rho-\left(\partial_{t} \chi \partial_{t} \chi-\partial_{z} \chi \partial_{z} \chi\right) \rho & =-\operatorname{sign}(\rho) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case of constant phase $\chi$ this set of equations reduces to the signumGordon equation considered in [3]. For this reason, we focus here on selfsimilar solutions of Eqs. (6), (7) with non constant $\chi$.

Self-similar Ansatz for $X$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=H(y), \quad \rho=z^{2} S(y), \quad y=\frac{t}{z} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Eq. (6), (7) are reduced to the set of nonlinear ordinary differential equations for $S$ and $H$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1-y^{2}\right)\left(S H^{\prime \prime}+2 H^{\prime} S^{\prime}\right)+2 y S H^{\prime} & =0  \tag{9}\\
\left(1-y^{2}\right)\left(S^{\prime \prime}-H^{\prime 2} S\right)+2 y S^{\prime}-2 S & =-\operatorname{sign}(S) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where ' stands for the derivative $d / d y$. Notice that the presence of the factor $1-y^{2}$ implies that values of $H^{\prime}$ and $S^{\prime}$ may have finite jumps at $y= \pm 1$. One way to see it is as follows: the finite jump of the first derivatives would lead to Dirac's delta terms $\sim \delta(y \pm 1)$ in the second derivatives, but such terms are not harmful because $\left(1-y^{2}\right) \delta(y \pm 1)=0$.

Finding solutions with non constant $\chi$ is greatly simplified by the observation that Eq. (9) can be written in the following form

$$
\left(\frac{S^{2} H^{\prime}}{1-y^{2}}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

[^1]Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{2} H^{\prime}=c_{0}\left(1-y^{2}\right), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{0}$ being a real constant. Therefore, we can eliminate $H^{\prime}$ from Eq. (10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-y^{2}\right) S^{\prime \prime}-\frac{c_{0}^{2}\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{3}}{S^{3}}+2 y S^{\prime}-2 S=-\operatorname{sign}(S) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (12) has the following solution, valid in the region $|y|<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=\alpha\left(1-y^{2}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a real constant related to $c_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \alpha^{4}+c_{0}^{2}=|\alpha|^{3} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting formula (13) in Eq. (12) and integrating the resulting equation for $H$ we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(y)=\frac{d_{0}}{2} \ln \frac{1+y}{1-y}+h_{0}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{0}$ is a constant and $d_{0}=c_{0} / \alpha^{2}$. Formula (14) implies that

$$
|\alpha|=\frac{1}{4+d_{0}^{2}} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}= \pm \frac{1-y^{2}}{4+d_{0}^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us stress that solution (13) is valid only in the interval $|y|<1$. If we take $|y| \geq 1$, then instead of (14) we obtain the condition

$$
4 \alpha^{4}+c_{0}^{2}=-|\alpha|^{3}
$$

which implies that $\alpha=0=c_{0}$. Therefore, the Ansatz (13) considered in the region $|y| \geq 1$ yields only the trivial solution $S_{0}=0$. The partial solutions $S_{0}, S_{1}$ match each other at $y= \pm 1$. Together they form the continuous solution on the whole $y$-axis. The first derivative with respect to $y$ has a finite jump at $y= \pm 1$, but this is allowed for by Eq. (10).

Inserting $z^{2} S_{1}$ and $H$ in the polar Ansatz we obtain the final form of the self-similar solution for $t \geq 0$ (at a given time $t>0$ the solution $S_{1}$ covers the $z>t$ and $x<-t$ parts of the $z$ axis, while the interval $|z| \leq t$ is covered by the trivial solution $S_{0}=0$ ):

$$
X(z, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
\frac{1}{4+d_{0}^{2}}\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right) \exp \left(i \frac{d_{0}}{2} \ln \frac{z+t}{z-t}+i h_{0}\right) & \text { if } \quad|z| \geq t  \tag{17}\\
0 & \text { if } \quad|z| \leq t
\end{array}\right.
$$

The constant phase $h_{0}$ is not interesting - it just corresponds to global rotations around the $z$-axis. The minus sign present in formula (16) has been included in this phase. It is clear that the distance between the string and the $z$-axis, equal to $|X|$, quadratically grows from 0 at the points $z= \pm t$ to $\infty$ when $z \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Much more interesting is the behavior of the phase of $X$ at a fixed time $t$. It describes the winding of the string around the $z$-axis. At $z \rightarrow \infty$ the phase $\chi$ is equal to $h_{0}$. When $z$ decreases, the phase increases by $2 \pi$ with each step from $z$ to $z-\Delta z$, where

$$
\Delta z=\frac{z^{2}-t^{2}}{z+\operatorname{coth}\left(2 \pi / d_{0}\right) t}
$$

(we have assumed here that $d_{0}>0$ ). Thus, the string winds around the $z$-axis infinitely many times as $z \rightarrow t$. Similar behavior of the phase is found when $z \rightarrow-t$, the only difference is that the string winds in the opposite direction.


Fig. 1. The $z \geq t$ branch of self-similar solution (17).
Such unusual behavior of the string might create a suspicion that it is unphysical for the following reason: that there is an infinite amount of energy accumulated in the finite region around the point $z=t$ (and, symmetrically, around $z=-t$ ). It turns out that this is not the case. The total energy $E_{\text {tot }}$ of the piece of the string between the points with the coordinates $z=t$ and $z=t+T$, where $T>0$, is given by the formula

$$
E_{\mathrm{tot}}=\int_{t}^{t+T} d z\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t} X_{i} \partial_{t} X_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{z} X_{i} \partial_{z} X_{i}+|X|\right) .
$$

Elementary calculation shows that

$$
E_{\mathrm{tot}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{T}{4+d_{0}^{2}}(T+t)(T+2 t) .
$$

Furthermore, the length of that piece of the string is also finite:

$$
L_{T}(t)=\int_{t}^{t+T} d z \sqrt{\left|\partial_{z} X\right|^{2}+1} \approx \frac{t T}{\sqrt{4+d_{0}^{2}}} .
$$

The last formula is valid for $t \gg T$ and $t \gg \sqrt{4+d_{0}^{2}}$.
Let us notice that if we put $c_{0}=0$, then $d_{0}=0$, and the solution has the simple form

$$
X=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{4}\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right) \exp \left(i h_{0}\right) & \text { for } & |z| \geq t \\
0 & \text { for } & |z|<t
\end{array}\right.
$$

This particular solution coincides with one of self-similar solutions of signumGordon equation considered in [3]. In this case the string lies in one plane containing the $z$-axis. Solutions with $c_{0} \neq 0$ are more general - the string winds around the $z$-axis. In the case of $c_{0}=0$ Eq. (12) has the same form as in the signum-Gordon model [3]. Thus, the "centrifugal" term $\sim c_{0}^{2}$ in Eq. (12) is the only effect of presence of the second scalar field $X_{2}$, as far as the self-similar solutions are concerned.

Solution (17) can be regarded as the solution of an initial value problem for the string being pinned to the $z$-axis. The initial data are given at the time $t=0$ which corresponds to $y=0$. Formula (17) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
X(z, t=0) & =\frac{1}{4+d_{0}^{2}} \exp \left(i h_{0}\right) z^{2} \\
\left(\partial_{t} X\right)(z, t=0) & =\frac{d_{0} i}{d_{0}^{2}+4} \exp \left(i h_{0}\right) z \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, at the initial moment all points of the string lie in one plane (the one with azimuthal angle $h_{0}$ ), and the initial velocities $\left(\partial_{t} \vec{X}\right)(z, t=0)$ of the points of the string are perpendicular to their initial position vectors $\vec{X}(z, t=0)=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)(z, t=0)$.

Let us end this section with the observation that one can also obtain asymmetric solutions, i.e., such that $X=0$ for $z<t$ or for $z>-t$. The corresponding initial data are obtained by multiplying both formulas (18) by $\Theta(z)$ or $\Theta(-z)$. The point is that for $t>0 y=0$ corresponds to both $z=\infty$
and $z=-\infty$. Therefore, Eq. (12) should actually be considered separately in the regions $y>0$ and $y<0$, and there is no justification for a requirement of continuity of $S$ at $y=0$ !. For this reason we may take the trivial solution $S_{0}$ in the whole region $y<0$ and $S_{1}$ in the interval $[0,1]$, or $S_{0}$ for all $y>0$ and $S_{1}$ for $y \in[-1,0]$. These choices give the asymmetric solutions. On the other hand, notice that $y=\infty$ and $y=-\infty$ correspond to $z=0$. Therefore, the behavior of $S(y)$ at $y \rightarrow \infty$ is correlated with the behavior at $y \rightarrow-\infty$ because the function $X$ is continuous at $z=0$. However, this is not such a severe condition as it might seem because formula (8) for the $\rho$ function contains the factor $z^{2}$ which vanishes for $z=0$ - it relates only the terms $\sim y^{2}$ in the function $S(y)$ in the regions $y>1$ and $y<-1$.

## 3. Real scalar field in $2+1$ dimensions

Let us consider a membrane (in three dimensional space) which is attracted to a plane. The plane is parametrized by the Cartesian coordinates $x, y$, and the elevation of the membrane at the moment $t$ over the point $(x, y)$ of the plane is denoted by $\Phi(x, y, t)$. We consider the membrane without overhangs, hence $\Phi$ is a single valued, real function of $x, y$. The evolution equation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\Delta\right) \Phi=-\operatorname{sign}(\Phi) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ denotes the two-dimensional Laplacian. The corresponding field theoretic potential again has the form (1), that is $U(\Phi)=|\Phi|$. The scaling transformations which are the symmetry of Eq. (19) have the form

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}(x, y, t)=\lambda^{2} \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}, \frac{y}{\lambda}, \frac{t}{\lambda}\right)
$$

where $\lambda>0$.
For simplicity, we consider only the axially symmetric configurations, hence $\Phi$ does not depend on the azimuthal angle. In this case the evolution equation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\partial_{r}^{2}-\frac{\partial_{r}}{r}\right) \Phi=-\operatorname{sign}(\Phi) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}$. The self-similar Ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=r^{2} P(w), \quad w=\frac{t}{r} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

reduces Eq. (20 ) to ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-w^{2}\right) P^{\prime \prime}+3 w P^{\prime}-4 P=-\operatorname{sign}(P) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ' denotes the derivative $d / d w$. The corresponding homogeneous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-w^{2}\right) P^{\prime \prime}+3 w P^{\prime}-4 P=0 . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the following linearly independent solutions ${ }^{3}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}=1+2 w^{2},  \tag{24}\\
& P_{2}= \begin{cases}\left(1+2 w^{2}\right) \arccos w-3 w \sqrt{1-w^{2}} & \text { if }|w| \leq 1, \\
-\left(1+2 w^{2}\right) \ln \left|w-\sqrt{w^{2}-1}\right|-3 w \sqrt{w^{2}-1} & \text { if }|w|>1 .\end{cases} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

From them we can construct partial solutions of Eq. (22)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{+}=\alpha_{1} P_{1}+\alpha_{2} P_{2}+\frac{1}{4}, & \text { if } P_{+}>0, \\
P_{-}=\beta_{1} P_{1}+\beta_{2} P_{2}-\frac{1}{4}, & \text { if } P_{-}<0, \tag{26}
\end{array}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ are constants. In general, these solutions are valid only in certain finite intervals of the $w$ axis, determined by the inequalities $P_{+}>0, P_{-}<0$ - for this reason we have called them the partial solutions. There also exists the trivial solution $P=0$ (remember that $\operatorname{sign}(0)=0)$, and the static solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
P= \pm \frac{1}{4} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By glueing together these solutions one can produce various self-similar solutions of Eq. (22). We will present here just one class of such solutions, which describes how the membrane "freezes" in the static position. These solutions involve the static solution and the partial solution $P_{+}$which are glued together on the "light-cone" $r=t$, i.e., at the point $w=1$. In accordance with Eq. (23), in this case it is sufficient to demand only continuity of $P(w)$. This condition has the form $P_{+}(w=1)=1 / 4$. Simple calculation shows that it is equivalent to the condition $\alpha_{1}=0$. Therefore, the corresponding solution $\Phi(r, t)$ of Eq. (20) has the following form

$$
\Phi(r, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{r^{2}}{4} \quad \text { if } \quad r \leq t  \tag{28}\\
\alpha_{2}\left[\left(r^{2}+2 t^{2}\right) \arccos \frac{t}{r}-3 t \sqrt{r^{2}-t^{2}}\right]+\frac{r^{2}}{4} \quad \text { if } \quad r \geq t
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $t \geq 0$, and we have chosen that branch of $\arccos w$ for which $\arccos 1=0$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2}>-\frac{1}{2 \pi} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]This last condition ensures that $P_{+}>0$ on the whole half-line $r \geq t$. Thus, with time larger and larger part of the membrane becomes static. It follows from the explicit form (28) of the solution that at the initial time $t=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(r, t=0)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\pi \alpha_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) r^{2},\left.\quad \partial_{t} \Phi\right|_{t=0}=-4 \alpha_{2} r . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, for example, the initial slope of the parabola is smaller than $1 / 4\left(\alpha_{2}<0\right)$, the initial velocity is positive, i.e., the parabola moves upwards towards the static shape. Notice, however, that this is done in a manner consistent with the causal dynamics implied by wave Eq. (20) - the freezing occurs at the front $r=t$ which travels with the finite velocity equal to +1 .


Fig. 2. Snapshot of self-similar solution (28).
One may expect that there are self-similar solutions of Eq. (19) which depend on the azimuthal angle $\theta$ in the $(x, y)$ plane. The angle $\theta$ is invariant under the rescaling $(x, y) \rightarrow(x / \lambda, y / \lambda)$. The Ansatz for self-similar solutions could be taken in the form $\Phi=r^{2} P(w, \theta)$. We have not investigated such solutions of Eq. (19).

## 4. $\Theta$-Gordon model in $1+1$ dimensions

As pointed out in [1], the scaling symmetry (4) is shared by all $(1+1)$ -dimensional models with V-shaped field potential

$$
U(\varphi)=c_{1} \varphi \Theta(\varphi)-c_{2} \varphi \Theta(-\varphi),
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are arbitrary real constants, $\Theta$ denotes the step function ${ }^{4}$, and $\varphi(z, t)$ is a real scalar field. The corresponding wave equations have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\partial_{z}^{2}\right) \varphi=-c_{1} \Theta(\varphi)+c_{2} \Theta(-\varphi) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]We are interested in the models such that for $\varphi=0$ the potential energy has minimum at $\varphi=0$. For this, we have to assume that $c_{1} \geq 0, c_{2} \geq 0$. In the particular case $c_{1}=c_{2}=1$ the potential $U$ is symmetric with respect to the reflection $\varphi \rightarrow-\varphi$, and Eq. (31) becomes the signum-Gordon equation considered in [3]. Now we would like to investigate the self-similar solutions in the case $c_{1}=1, c_{2}=0$, when Eq. (31) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{t}^{2}-\partial_{z}^{2}\right) \varphi=-\Theta(\varphi) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the obvious reason we will call this equation the $\Theta$-Gordon equation.
Physical context for considering equation of the form (32) is the depinning phenomenon. This equation can be regarded as describing the dynamics of a string in a plane which would be permanently pinned to the $z$ line were it not for a constant bias force, which exactly compensates the pinning force on one side of the $z$ line ( $\varphi$ is just the deviation of the string from this line). Hence, the $\Theta$-Gordon equation describes the dynamics of the string exactly at the depinning transition.

The Anstaz for self-similar solutions has the form

$$
\varphi(z, t)=z^{2} R(y)
$$

where $y=t / z$. Inserting it in Eq. (32) we obtain the following equation

$$
\left(1-y^{2}\right) R^{\prime \prime}+2 y R^{\prime}-2 R=-\Theta(R) \Theta\left(z^{2}\right)
$$

where ${ }^{\prime}$ denotes the derivative $d / d y$. This equation is to be satisfied in the weak sense, hence we may drop the factor $\Theta\left(z^{2}\right)$ which differs from 1 only at the single point $z=0$. Therefore, $R(y)$ obeys (again in the weak sense) the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-y^{2}\right) R^{\prime \prime}+2 y R^{\prime}-2 R=-\Theta(R) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (33) has the trivial solutions $R=0, R=1 / 2$, which correspond, respectively, to $\varphi=0$ and to the static solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{s}=z^{2} / 2 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, there are the partial solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{+}=a_{1}+a_{2} y+\left(a_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\right) y^{2} \quad \text { if } R_{+}>0 \\
& R_{-}=b_{1}\left(1+y^{2}\right)+b_{2} y \quad \text { if } R_{-}<0 \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}$ are constants. As in the previous sections, the partial solutions are valid only in certain intervals of the $y$ axis, determined by the inequalities $R_{+}>0, R_{-}<0$.

Glueing together the partial solutions one can obtain whole variety of self-similar solutions of Eq. (32) [9]. We present here certain interesting examples.

1. Freezing in the static configuration.

This solution has the following form

$$
\varphi(z, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
0 & z \leq 0  \tag{36}\\
\frac{1}{2} z^{2} & z \in[0, t] \\
\frac{1}{2\left(v_{1} v_{2}-1\right)}\left(v_{1} t-z\right)\left(z-v_{2} t\right) & z \in\left[t, v_{1} t\right] \\
S_{0} z^{2}+S_{0}^{\prime} t z+S_{0} t^{2} & z \geq v_{1} t
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
v_{1}=\frac{S_{0}^{\prime}+\sqrt{S_{0}^{\prime 2}-4 S_{0}^{2}}}{2\left|S_{0}\right|}>1, \quad v_{2}=\frac{v_{1}}{2 v_{1}-1}<1
$$

and $S_{0}<0$.
The parameters $S_{0}^{\prime}, S_{0}$ are not independent - they have to obey the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}^{\prime 3}+\left(2 S_{0}-1\right) S_{0}^{\prime 2}-8 S_{0}^{3}+4 S_{0}^{2}-S_{0}-4 S_{0}^{2} S_{0}^{\prime}=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from the condition of continuity of the derivative $\partial_{z} \varphi$ at the point $z=v_{1} t$. It turns out that cubic equation (37) has three real solutions when $S_{0}<0$. The relevant root $S_{0}^{\prime}$ obeys the inequality $S_{0}^{\prime}>1 / 2-2 S_{0}$.

Initial configuration for solution (36) has the form

$$
\varphi=S_{0} z^{2} \Theta(z), \quad \partial_{t} \varphi=S_{0}^{\prime} z \Theta(z)
$$



Fig. 3. Snapshot of self-similar solution (36).
2. Depinning of the string from the z -axis.

This solution is given by

$$
\varphi(z, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
0 & z \leq-t  \tag{38}\\
-\alpha(z+t)^{2} & z \in[-t, t] \\
-\beta\left(v_{1} t-z\right)\left(z-\frac{1}{v_{1}} t\right) & z \in\left[t, v_{1} t\right] \\
S_{0} z^{2}+S_{0}^{\prime} t z+\left(S_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\right) t^{2} & z \geq v_{1} t
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $S_{0}>0$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{1}=\frac{-S_{0}^{\prime}+\sqrt{S_{0}^{\prime 2}-4 S_{0}^{2}+2 S_{0}}}{2 S_{0}}>1, \\
\alpha=\frac{1}{4}\left(2 S_{0} v_{1}+S_{0}^{\prime}\right) \frac{v_{1}-1}{v_{1}+1}<1, \quad \beta=\frac{4 \alpha v_{1}}{\left(v_{1}-1\right)^{2}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Solution (38) is valid provided that $v_{1}>1$. This condition is satisfied if

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<S_{0}, \quad S_{0}^{\prime}<1 / 2-2 S_{0} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 4. Snapshot of self-similar solution (38).

## 5. Remarks

1. We have presented examples of self-similar solutions which describe rather interesting dynamical processes like the freezing in the static configurations or the depinning. However, it is obvious that the three models considered here have other self-similar solutions as well. In the case of $\Theta$-Gordon model one can provide the complete list of such solutions [9] analogous to the one given in [3] for signum-Gordon model.

In the other two models such a complete list is probably out of our reach because of the $S^{-3}$ term in Eq. (12), or possible azimuthal angle dependence in the case of membrane model.
2. The kinetic part of evolution equation (3) contains d'Alembert operator $\partial_{t}^{2}-\partial_{z}^{2}$, and this is standard for bosonic field. On the other hand, when we apply this equation in order to describe evolution of the string we automatically make certain assumptions about the kind of string we consider. The precise statement is that it is the string which has evolution equation of the form (3) when its world-sheet is parametrized by the coordinates $t, z$. Of course, we would like to see a connection with Nambu-Goto string, which has evolution equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{a}}\left(\sqrt{-g} g^{a b} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial u^{b}}\right)=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{0}=t, u^{3}=z,\left(x^{\mu}\right)=\left(t, X_{1}(z, t), X_{2}(z, t), z\right), \quad\left(g^{a b}\right)$ is the inverse to $\left(g_{a b}\right)$, and

$$
g_{a b}=\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial u^{a}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial u^{b}} \eta_{\mu \nu} .
$$

Let us take a slightly more general than Minkowskian space-time metric $\eta_{\mu \nu}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\eta_{\mu \nu}\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left(1,-l_{0}^{2},-l_{0}^{2},-1\right), \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{0}^{2}$ is a constant (equal to 1 in the case of Minkowski space-time). Simple calculations show that Nambu-Goto equation (40) is reduced to the equation

$$
\partial_{t}^{2} X_{i}-\partial_{z}^{2} X_{i}=0,
$$

when

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{0} \partial_{t} X_{i} \ll 1, \quad l_{0} \partial_{z} X_{i} \ll 1 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interesting possibility to satisfy the conditions (42) is to take a very small $l_{0}$. This would correspond to the Nambu-Goto string (or a linear defect like a vortex) in an anisotropic medium which would effectively provide metric (41). The term $F(X)$ in Eq. (3) represents the pinning force with which the $z$ line attracts the string.
Analogous remarks can be made about the membrane discussed in Section 3 and about the planar string of Section 4.
3. The V-shaped field potentials $U(\phi)$ we consider should not be identified as potentials which just contain the modulus of the pertinent field. Examples of such potentials can be found in $[10,11]$. The fundamental difference is that in our models the second derivative $U^{\prime \prime}$ does not exist right at the minimum of the potential, hence there is no preferred finite
length (or mass) scale. Potentials considered in $[10,11]$ have the $\Lambda$ shape. In these cases $U^{\prime \prime}$ does not exist at a local maximum while at minima it exists - that has much smaller impact on properties of the fields.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Also $t$ is a dimensionless variable proportional to the physical time.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Transformations with $\lambda<0$ can be obtained by combining transformations (5) with the space-time reflection $z \rightarrow-z, t \rightarrow-t$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3} P_{1}$ has been obtained by inserting a polynomial Ansatz in Eq. (22), and $P_{2}$ from $P_{1}$ by the standard method [8].

[^3]:    ${ }^{4} \Theta(\varphi)=1$ when $\varphi>0$ and $\Theta(\varphi)=0$ when $\varphi \leq 0$.

