# $m^2 = 0$ LIMIT OF NONMINIMAL DESCRIPTION OF SPIN 2\*

### By W. Tybor

Institute of Physics, University of Łódź\*\*

(Received August 11, 1986)

It is shown that the theories of spin 2, equivalent in the massive case, are not equivalent in the  $m^2 = 0$  limit. While the massless theory of Pauli and Fierz describes the helicities  $\pm 2$ , the one based on the 3-rd rank tensor has no physical content (a pure gauge theory), and the one based on the 4-th rank tensor describes the helicity 0 (a scalar "notivarg" theory).

PACS numbers: 11.10.Ef; 11.10.Qr, 11.15.-q

### 1. Introduction

In the previous paper [1] the nonminimal descriptions of a massive field carrying spin 2 (using the 3-rd and 4-th rank tensor with symmetries proposed by Fierz [2]) have been obtained. These descriptions are equivalent to the minimal one of Pauli and Fierz [3]. All they are connected by the Legendre transformations. It is well known that the theories equivalent for  $m^2 \neq 0$  need not to be equivalent in the  $m^2 = 0$  limit (e.g. the "notoph" of Ogievetsky and Polubarinov [4]). The Pauli-Fierz theory in the zero mass limit describes particles with the helicities  $\pm 2$ .

In the present paper we give the analysis of the zero mass limit of the nonminimal descriptions [1] in three manners:

- we solve constraints obtained from first order actions,
- we investigate a gauge invariance of the theory,
- we perform a canonical analysis.

We conclude that the 3-rd rank tensor is a pure gauge and the 4-th rank one describes the helicity 0 (the scalar "notivarg" in the terminology of Deser, Siegel and Townsend [5]).

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by CPBP 01.03.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Address: Instytut Fizyki, Uniwersytet Łódzki, Nowotki 149/153, 90-236 Łódź, Poland.

### 2. The 3-rd rank tensor theory $(m^2 = 0)$

### 2.1. The action

Let us start with the first order action [1]

$$I = \int dx \left\{ -\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} S_{\alpha\beta\nu} [\partial^{\alpha}h^{\beta\nu} - \partial^{\beta}h^{\alpha\nu} - (g^{\alpha\nu}h^{\beta} - g^{\beta\nu}h^{\alpha}) + (g^{\alpha\nu}\partial^{\beta} - g^{\beta\nu}\partial^{\alpha})h \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} m^{2} (h_{\mu\nu}h^{\mu\nu} - h^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} m^{2} [(S^{\alpha\beta\nu})^{2} - 2(S^{\alpha})^{2}] \right\},$$
(2.1)

where  $h^{\mu\nu} = h^{\nu\mu}$ ,  $h^{\mu} \equiv \partial_{\nu}h^{\nu\mu}$ ,  $h \equiv h^{\alpha}_{\alpha}$ . The  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  has the symmetry of the Fierz tensor  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu} = -S^{\beta\alpha\nu}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}S^{\alpha\beta\nu} = 0$ ;  $S^{\alpha} \equiv S^{\alpha\beta}_{\beta}$ .

From the action (2.1), after the following steps

- 1)  $mh^{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow h^{\alpha\beta}$ ,
- $2) m^2 \to 0,$
- 3) performing the point transformation

$$S^{\alpha\beta\nu} \to S^{\alpha\beta\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (g^{\alpha\nu}S^{\beta} - g^{\beta\nu}S^{\alpha}),$$

4) performing integration by parts we obtain

$$I = \int dx \left[ \sqrt{2} S_{\theta \nu} h^{\theta \nu} + \frac{1}{2} (h_{\mu \nu} h^{\mu \nu} - h^2) \right], \tag{2.2}$$

where  $S_{\beta\nu} \equiv \partial^{\alpha} S_{\alpha\beta\nu}$ . We see that  $h^{\alpha\beta}$  is a Lagrange multiplier. The field equations following from the action (2.2) are

$$h^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(S^{\mu\nu} + S^{\nu\mu}) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}g^{\mu\nu}S, \qquad (2.3a)$$

$$\partial^{\alpha}h^{\beta\nu} - \partial^{\beta}h^{\alpha\nu} = 0, \tag{2.3b}$$

where  $S \equiv S^{\alpha}$ . Eliminating  $h^{\alpha\beta}$  from these equations we get the field equation for  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$ 

$$\partial^{\alpha}(S^{\beta\nu} + S^{\nu\beta}) - \partial^{\beta}(S^{\alpha\nu} + S^{\nu\alpha}) + \frac{2}{3} (g^{\alpha\nu}\partial^{\beta} - g^{\beta\nu}\partial^{\alpha})S = 0.$$
 (2.4)

Eq. (2.4) is (up to the point transformation of  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$ ) the  $m^2=0$  limit of the equation for the massive field  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  (see Eq. (2.5) of Ref. [1]). Eliminating  $h^{\alpha\beta}$  from the action (2.2) we get

$$I = \int dx \left[ -\frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} (S^{\mu\nu} + S^{\nu\mu}) + \frac{1}{3} S^2 \right]. \tag{2.5}$$

This action can be obtained from the one involving the massive field  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  only (see Eq. (3.3)) of Ref. [1]) after performing the point transformation and taking the limit  $m^2 \to 0$ . Of course, the field equation (2.4) follows immediately from the action (2.5).

Let us analyse Eq. (2.3b). It can be regarded as a constraint on the field  $h^{\alpha\beta}$ . We deduce the general form of  $h^{\alpha\beta}$ 

$$h^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta}F. \tag{2.6}$$

Substituting it to the action (2.2) we obtain after integration by parts

$$I=0. (2.7)$$

We conclude that the action (2.5) does not describe any physical degrees of freedom.

### 2.2. The gauge transformation

Let us look at the action (2.5) from another point of view. The action (2.5) is invariant under the following gauge transformation

$$\delta S^{\alpha\beta\nu} = (g^{\alpha\nu}\partial^{\beta} - g^{\beta\nu}\partial^{\alpha})\lambda + \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\partial_{\gamma}\eta^{\nu}_{\delta} + \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\nu\sigma}(\partial_{\sigma}\eta^{\lambda}_{\lambda} - \partial_{\lambda}\eta^{\lambda}_{\sigma}), \qquad (2.8)$$

where  $\eta_B^{\alpha}$  is a general 16 component tensor.

Analysing the role of the gauge transformation (2.8) (in spirit of the second theorem of Noether) we conclude that (i) the part containing  $\eta_{\beta}^{\alpha}$  restricts the functional dependence of the Lagrangian to  $\partial_{\alpha}S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  only, (ii) the part containing  $\lambda$  determines the relative weight of two terms in the action (2.5).

Confronting Eq. (2.8) with the general form of  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  (see Appendix A)

$$S^{\alpha\beta\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (g^{\alpha\nu}\partial^{\beta} - g^{\beta\nu}\partial^{\alpha})F + \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\partial_{\gamma}B^{\nu}_{\delta} + \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\nu\sigma}(\partial_{\sigma}B^{\lambda}_{\lambda} - \partial_{\lambda}B^{\lambda}_{\sigma})$$
 (2.9)

F(x) is a scalar function,  $B_{\nu}^{\mu}(x)$  is a general 16 component tensor field) we conclude that  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  is a pure gauge.

## 2.3. The canonical analysis

Using the decomposition (see Appendix B)

$$S^{\alpha\beta\nu} = (S^{ij}, A^i, V^i, N^{ij}, M^{ij}, O)$$

we can rewrite the action (2.5) in the form<sup>1</sup>

$$I = \int dx \mathcal{L},\tag{2.10}$$

where the Lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ (\partial^{0} V^{i})^{2} - 2\partial^{0} V^{i} (\partial^{m} N_{mi} - \frac{1}{3} \partial_{i} Q + 3\partial^{j} M_{ji}) \right. \\ + 2(\partial^{0} N^{ij})^{2} + 4\partial^{0} N^{ij} \partial^{m} S_{j}^{p} \varepsilon_{mip} + 4\partial^{0} N^{ij} \partial_{j} A_{i} \\ + (\partial_{m} N^{mi})^{2} + \frac{1}{9} (\partial^{i} Q)^{2} + \frac{2}{3} \partial_{m} N^{mi} \partial_{i} Q \\ + 9(\partial_{m} M^{mi})^{2} + 6\partial_{j} M^{ji} \partial^{k} N_{ki} + \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon^{mip} \partial_{m} S_{p}^{j} \\ + \varepsilon^{mjp} \partial_{m} S_{p}^{i} + \partial^{i} A^{j} + \partial^{j} A^{i} - 2g^{ij} \partial_{m} A^{m})^{2} \\ - \frac{8}{3} (\partial_{i} A^{i})^{2} + \frac{4}{3} (\partial_{i} V^{i})^{2} - \frac{8}{3} \partial_{i} A^{i} \partial_{j} V^{j} \right].$$

$$(2.11)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some integrations by parts are performed to remove the velocities occurring linearly only.

We observe that  $S^{ij}$ ,  $A^{i}$ , Q and  $M^{ij}$  are Lagrange multipliers. Let us define the canonical momenta

$$\Pi^{i} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial^{0} V_{i}} = -\partial^{0} V^{i} + \partial_{m} N^{mi} - \frac{1}{3} \partial^{i} Q + 3 \partial_{m} M^{mi}, \qquad (2.12)$$

$$P^{ij} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial^{0} N_{ij}} = -2\partial^{0} N^{ij} + \varepsilon^{imk} \partial_{m} S_{k}^{j} + \varepsilon^{jmk} \partial_{m} S_{k}^{i} - (\partial^{i} A^{j} + \partial^{j} A^{i}) + \frac{2}{3} g^{ij} \partial_{m} A^{m}.$$

$$(2.13)$$

We perform the Legendre transformation<sup>2</sup>

$$I = \int dx (\Pi^i \partial^0 V_i + P^{ij} \partial^0 N_{ij} - \mathcal{H}), \qquad (2.14)$$

where *H* is the Hamiltonian density

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} (\Pi^{i})^{2} + \Pi^{i} \partial^{m} N_{mi} - 3 \partial^{m} \Pi^{i} M_{mi}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{3} (\partial_{i} \Pi^{i} - 2 \partial_{i} \partial_{j} N^{ij}) Q - \frac{1}{4} (P^{ij})^{2} + \frac{2}{3} (\partial_{i} V^{i})^{2}$$

$$+ \varepsilon_{mik} \partial^{m} P^{ij} S_{j}^{k} + (\frac{4}{3} \partial_{i} \partial_{m} V^{m} + \partial^{m} P_{mi}) A^{i}.$$

$$(2.15)$$

Varying the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d\vec{x} \mathcal{H}$$

with respect to the Lagrange multipliers  $S^{ij}$ ,  $A^i$ , Q and  $M^{ij}$  we get the following constraints:

$$\begin{split} \partial^{i}\Pi^{j} - \partial^{j}\Pi^{i} &= 0, \quad \partial_{m}P^{mi} + \frac{4}{3} \partial^{i}\partial_{m}V^{m} = 0, \\ \partial_{i}\Pi^{i} - 2\partial_{i}\partial_{j}N^{ij} &= 0, \quad \varepsilon_{mik}\partial^{m}P^{i}_{j} + \varepsilon_{mij}\partial^{m}P^{i}_{k} = 0. \end{split}$$

They are consistent with the canonical equations

$$\partial^{0}V^{i} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \Pi_{i}}, \quad \partial^{0}\Pi^{i} = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta V_{i}},$$

$$\partial^{0}N^{ij} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta P_{ij}}, \quad \partial^{0}P^{ij} = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta N_{ij}}.$$

To solve the constraints we use the standard decomposition of a traceless symmetric tensor (see Appendix C). We obtain

$$\Pi_{\rm T}^{i} = 0, \quad \Pi_{\rm L}^{i} = -2\partial^{i}N_{\rm L}, \quad P_{\rm T}^{i} = 0,$$
 
$$P_{\rm L} = \frac{4}{3}\,\partial_{i}V_{\rm L}^{i}, \quad P^{ij}(\pm 2) = 0.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> One can regard this transformation as one from the Lagrangian to the Routhian density  $\mathscr{R}(V^i, N^{ij}, \Pi^i, P^{ij}, S^{ij}, A^i, Q, M^{ij}, \partial^0 S^{ij}, \partial^0 A^i, \partial^0 Q, \partial^0 M^{ij})$ . Actually,  $\mathscr{R}$  does not depend on velocities. So,  $\mathscr{R}$  is the Hamiltonian density  $\mathscr{H}$ . Such a transformation is limited to velocities  $\{v^i\}$  corresponding the regular part of the Hessian  $\left\|\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^i \partial v^j}\right\|$  [6].

Inserting these solutions to Eqs (2.15) and (2.14) we get  $\mathcal{H} = 0$  and I = 0. So, there is no physical degree of freedom.

3. The 4-th rank tensor theory 
$$(m^2 = 0)$$

#### 3.1. The action

Let us start with the first order action [1]

$$I = \int dx \left[ -\sqrt{2} \ m(B_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial^{\mu} S^{\alpha\beta\nu} - \frac{2}{9} BS) \right.$$

$$\left. -\sqrt{2} \ m(\frac{1}{2} R_{\nu\beta} S^{\nu\beta} + \frac{1}{2} R_{\nu\beta} \partial^{\nu} S^{\beta} - \frac{1}{3} RS) \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{2} m^2 (S_{\alpha\beta\nu} S^{\alpha\beta\nu} - 2S_{\alpha} S^{\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2} m^2 (R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} B^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} - \frac{2}{9} RB) \right. \tag{3.1}$$

where  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  has the symmetry properties as in Section 2,  $R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = -R^{\mu\nu\beta\alpha} = -R^{\nu\mu\alpha\beta} = R^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = 0$ ,  $R^{\mu\alpha} \equiv R^{\mu\beta\alpha}_{\ \beta}$ ,  $R \equiv R^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha}$  and the same is valid for  $B_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ .

From the action (3.1), after the following steps

- 1)  $mS^{\alpha\beta\nu} \to S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$ .
- $2) m^2 \to 0,$
- 3) introducing the new field

$$K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = B^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{4} \left( g^{\mu\alpha} R^{\nu\beta} + g^{\nu\beta} R^{\mu\alpha} - g^{\mu\beta} R^{\nu\alpha} - g^{\nu\alpha} R^{\mu\beta} \right),$$

4) performing the point transformation

$$K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \rightarrow K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{3} (g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta} - g^{\mu\beta}g^{\nu\alpha})K$$

where  $K \equiv K^{\mu\nu}_{\mu\nu}$ ,

5) performing integration by parts we obtain the action

$$I = \int dx \left[ \sqrt{2} \, \partial_{\mu} K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha\beta\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \left( S_{\alpha\beta\nu} S^{\alpha\beta\nu} - 2 S_{\alpha} S^{\alpha} \right) \right]. \tag{3.2}$$

We see that  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  is a Lagrange multiplier. The field equations following from the action (3.2) are

$$S^{\alpha\beta\nu} = -\sqrt{2} \left[ \partial_{\mu} K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \left( g^{\alpha\nu} K^{\beta} - g^{\beta\nu} K^{\alpha} \right) \right], \tag{3.3a}$$

$$\partial^{\mu} S^{\alpha\beta\nu} - \partial^{\nu} S^{\alpha\beta\mu} + \partial^{\alpha} S^{\mu\nu\beta} - \partial^{\beta} S^{\mu\nu\alpha} = 0, \tag{3.3b}$$

where  $K^{\alpha} \equiv \partial_{\mu} K^{\mu\nu\alpha}_{\nu}$ . Eliminating  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  from these equations we obtain

$$\partial^{\mu}K^{\nu\alpha\beta} - \partial^{\nu}K^{\mu\alpha\beta} + \partial^{\alpha}K^{\beta\mu\nu} - \partial^{\beta}K^{\alpha\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ g^{\mu\alpha}(\partial^{\nu}K^{\beta} + \partial^{\beta}K^{\nu}) + g^{\nu\beta}(\partial^{\mu}K^{\alpha} + \partial^{\alpha}K^{\mu}) - g^{\mu\beta}(\partial^{\nu}K^{\alpha} + \partial^{\alpha}K^{\nu}) - g^{\nu\alpha}(\partial^{\mu}K^{\beta} + \partial^{\beta}K^{\mu}) \right] = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $K^{\nu\alpha\beta} \equiv \partial_{\mu}K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ . This equation cannot be obtained by the point transformation from the one for  $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$  (see Eq. (2.11) in Ref. [1]) in the  $m^2 = 0$  limit.

Eliminating  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  from the action (3.2) we get

$$I = \int dx \left[ -(\partial_{\sigma} K^{\sigma \nu \alpha \beta})^2 + (\partial_{\sigma} K^{\sigma \nu \alpha})^2 \right]. \tag{3.5}$$

This action can be obtained from the action containing  $B^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$  and  $R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$  only (see Eq. (3.6) in Ref. [1]) after steps 3), 4) and 2).

Let us analyse Eq. (3.3b). It can be regarded as a constraint on the field  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  determining its general form

$$S^{\alpha\beta\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \partial^{\nu} A^{\alpha\beta} + \partial^{\alpha} D^{\beta\nu} - \partial^{\beta} D^{\alpha\nu} \right), \tag{3.6}$$

where  $A^{\alpha\beta}=-A^{\beta\alpha}$  and  $D^{\alpha\beta}=-D^{\beta\alpha}$ . The factor  $1/\sqrt{3}$  is chosen for further convenience. The fields  $A^{\alpha\beta}$  and  $D^{\alpha\beta}$  are not independent for  $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}S^{\alpha\beta\nu}=0$ . So, we get

$$\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(\partial^{\nu}A^{\alpha\beta} + 2\partial^{\nu}D^{\alpha\beta}) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

From Eq. (3.7) it follows that

$$(\partial^{\nu} A^{\alpha\beta})^{2} - 2(\partial_{\alpha} A^{\alpha\beta})^{2} = 4[(\partial^{\nu} D^{\alpha\beta})^{2} - 2(\partial_{\alpha} D^{\alpha\beta})^{2}].$$

In terms of  $A^{\alpha\beta}$  the action (3.5) can be rewritten in the form:

$$I = -\frac{1}{2} \int dx \left[ (\partial^{\nu} A^{\alpha\beta})^2 - 2(\partial_{\alpha} A^{\alpha\beta})^2 \right]. \tag{3.8}$$

This is the action for the Ogievetsky — Polubarinov "notoph" [4]. So, the action (3.5) describes the helicity 0 (a scalar "notivarg").

## 3.2. The gauge transformation

The action (3.5) is invariant under the following gauge transformation

$$\begin{split} \delta K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{\sigma} \omega^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\lambda} + \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\sigma\lambda} \partial_{\sigma} \omega^{\mu\nu}{}_{\lambda} \\ &+ g^{\mu\alpha} (\partial^{\nu} \eta^{\beta} + \partial^{\beta} \eta^{\nu}) + g^{\nu\beta} (\partial^{\mu} \eta^{\alpha} + \partial^{\alpha} \eta^{\mu}) \\ &- g^{\mu\beta} (\partial^{\nu} \eta^{\alpha} + \partial^{\alpha} \eta^{\nu}) - g^{\nu\alpha} (\partial^{\mu} \eta^{\beta} + \partial^{\beta} \eta^{\mu}) \\ &- 2(g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} - g^{\mu\beta} g^{\nu\alpha}) \partial_{\sigma} \eta^{\sigma}, \end{split} \tag{3.9}$$

where  $\omega^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  has the symmetries of the Fierz tensor  $\omega^{\alpha\beta\nu} = -\omega^{\beta\alpha\nu}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\omega^{\alpha\beta\nu} = 0$  and obeys the condition  $\partial_{\alpha}\omega^{\alpha\beta\nu} = 0$ .

Analysing the role of the gauge transformation (3.9) (in spirit of the second theorem of Noether) we conclude that

- (i) the part containing  $\omega^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  restricts the functional dependence of the Lagrangian to  $\partial_{\sigma}K^{\sigma\nu\alpha\beta}$  only,
- (ii) the part containing  $\eta^a$  determines the relative weight of two terms in the action (3.5). We adopt

$$K^{\mu\nu}=0, (3.10)$$

$$\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\alpha}K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{3.11}$$

as the gauge conditions. It can be verified that taking into account these conditions (i) one gets from the field equation (3.4)

$$\Box K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}=0;$$

(ii) in the momentum space in the frame  $p^{\mu} = (p, 0, 0, p)$  the tensor  $K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$  has five independent components  $S^{ij} = S^{ji}$ ,  $S^{i}_{i} = 0$  (see Appendix B). These components belong to  $(2, 0) \oplus (0, 2)$  representation of the Lorentz group;

(iii) a gauge freedom is not removed completely. Only the component  $S^{33}$  is invariant under the remaining gauge transformation. It describes the helicity 0.

## 3.3. The canonical analysis

Using the decomposition (see Appendix B)

$$K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = (T^{ij}, S^{ij}, A^i, K^{ijkl}) \tag{3.12}$$

we can rewrite the action (3.5) in the form

$$I = \int dx \mathcal{L}(T^{ij}, S^{ij}, A^i, K^{ijkl}, \hat{\sigma}^0 T^{ij}, \hat{\sigma}^0 S^{ij}), \tag{3.13}$$

where the Lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L} = -2(\partial^{0}T^{ki})^{2} + (\partial^{0}T^{m})^{2} - 4\partial^{0}T^{ki}\varepsilon_{jkm}\partial^{j}S_{i}^{m}$$

$$-8\partial^{0}T^{ki}\partial_{i}A_{k} + 2(\partial^{0}S^{ki})^{2} - (\partial_{m}T^{mi})^{2}$$

$$-2\varepsilon^{ijp}\partial^{0}S_{p}^{k}\partial^{m}K_{mkij} + 2(\partial^{i}S^{kj})^{2}$$

$$-4(\partial^{k}A^{i})^{2} + 4(\partial_{i}A^{i})^{2} - 8\varepsilon^{ijm}\partial^{k}S_{km}\partial_{i}A_{j}$$

$$-(\partial_{m}K^{mkij})^{2} + (\partial_{m}K^{mjk}{}_{j})^{2} + 2\partial_{m}T^{mk}\partial^{i}K_{ijk}{}^{j}.$$
(3.14)

We see that  $A^i$  and  $K^{ijkl}$  are Lagrange multipliers.

Let us define the canonical momenta

$$\Pi^{ki} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial^{0} T_{ki}} = -4\partial^{0} T^{ki} + 2g^{ki} \partial^{0} T_{j}^{j} 
+ 2(\varepsilon^{kjm} \partial_{j} S_{m}^{i} + \varepsilon^{ijm} \partial_{j} S_{m}^{k}) - 4(\partial^{k} A^{i} + \partial^{i} A^{k}),$$
(3.15)

$$P^{ki} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial^0 S_{ki}} = 4\partial^0 S^{ki} - (\varepsilon^{sti} \partial_m K^{mk}_{st} + \varepsilon^{stk} \partial_m K^{mi}_{st}) + \frac{2}{3} g^{ki} \varepsilon^{stj} \partial^m K_{mjst}$$
(3.16)

and perform the Legendre transformation

$$I = \int dx (\Pi^{ki} \partial^0 T_{ki} + P^{ki} \partial^0 S_{ki} - \mathcal{H}). \tag{3.17}$$

The Hamiltonian density is

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{8} (\Pi^{ik})^2 + \frac{1}{8} (\Pi^i_i)^2 - 2\Pi^{ki} \partial_k A_i$$

$$+2\Pi^i_i \partial_m A^m + 8\varepsilon^{ijm} \partial^k S_{km} \partial_i A_j + 4\varepsilon^{kpm} \partial_p S^i_m \partial_i A_k - 3(\partial_m S^{mi})^2 + (\partial_m T^{mi})^2$$

$$-2\partial_m T^{mk} \partial^p K_{pjk}^{\ \ j} + \frac{1}{8} (P^{ik})^2 + \frac{1}{2} P_{ik} \varepsilon^{jti} \partial_m K^{mk}_{jt}. \tag{3.18}$$

Varying the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d\vec{x} \mathcal{H}$$

with respect to  $A^i$  and  $K^{ijkl}$  we get the following constraints

$$\partial_m \Pi^{mi} - \partial^i \Pi^m_m + 2e^{ijm} \partial_j \partial^k S_{km} = 0, \tag{3.19}$$

$$\partial^{i}Q^{jkl} - \partial^{j}Q^{ikl} + \partial^{k}Q^{lij} - \partial^{l}Q^{kij} = 0, \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$Q^{jkl} = \varepsilon^{klm} P_m^j - 2(\partial_m T^{mk} g^{jl} - \partial_m T^{ml} g^{jk}).$$

These constraints do not contradict the canonical equations

$$\partial^{0}T^{ij} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \Pi_{ij}}, \quad \partial^{0}\Pi^{ij} = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta T_{ij}},$$

$$\partial^{0}S^{ij} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta P_{ij}}, \quad \partial^{0}P^{ij} = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta S_{ij}}$$

if further constraints are obeyed:

$$\partial_i \partial_j T^{ij} = 0, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\partial^{i}\partial^{j}\Pi^{kl} + \partial^{k}\partial^{l}\Pi^{ij} - \partial^{i}\partial^{k}\Pi^{jl} - \partial^{j}\partial^{l}\Pi^{ik} = 0.$$
 (3.22)

The constraints (3.21) and (3.22) are consistent with the canonical equations. So, there are no other constraints.

To solve the constraints (3.19)-(3.22) we use the standard decomposition of a vector and a traceless symmetric tensor (see Appendix C). Let

$$\Pi^{ij} \equiv \tilde{\Pi}^{ij} + \frac{1}{3} g^{ij} \Pi_m^m, \qquad T^{ij} \equiv \tilde{T}^{ij} + \frac{1}{3} g^{ij} T_m^m,$$
$$(\tilde{\Pi}_m^m = \tilde{T}_m^m = 0),$$

then we obtain

(i) from Eq. (3.19)

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathbf{T}}^{i} = -2\varepsilon^{ijk}\partial_{i}S_{\mathbf{T}k}, \quad \tilde{\Pi}_{\mathbf{L}} = -\frac{2}{3}\Pi_{m}^{m};$$

(ii) from Eq. (3.20)

$$P^{ij}(\pm 2) = 0$$
,  $\tilde{T}_L = \frac{1}{3} T_m^m$ ,  $P_T^i = -2\varepsilon^{ijk} \partial_i \tilde{T}_{Tk}$ ;

(iii) from Eq. (3.21)

$$\tilde{T}_{\rm L}=\tfrac{1}{3}\,T_m^m;$$

(iv) from Eq. (3.22)

$$\tilde{\Pi}^{ij}(\pm 2) = 0, \quad \tilde{\Pi}_{L} = -\frac{2}{3} \Pi_{m}^{m}.$$

Using these solutions in the Lagrangian density (3.17) we get

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{3}{2} P_{L} \partial^{0} S_{L} - \mathcal{H},$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{3}{2} P_{L} \partial^{0} S_{L} - \mathcal{H},$$

$$\mathscr{H} = \frac{3}{16} P_{\mathrm{L}}^2 - 3(\partial^i S_{\mathrm{L}})^2.$$

The field equation for the scalar  $S_L$  is

$$\square S_{\mathbf{L}} = 0.$$

In the momentum space in the frame  $p^{\mu} = (p, 0, 0, p)$  we get  $S_L = S^{33}$ . So, we confirm the result obtained in Sections 3.1 and 3.2.

To conclude this Section we compare the action (3.5) with the one (describing also a scalar "notivarg") obtained by Deser, Siegel and Townsend [5]. This last can be rewritten (in our notation) in the form

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \int dx \left( -\frac{1}{3} K^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} K + K^{\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} K_{\nu\beta} \right).$$

There is no point transformation of  $K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$  connecting it with our action (3.5). So, the action (3.5) can be regarded as the alternative formulation of the scalar "notivarg" theory [7].

### 4. Final remarks

Let us briefly summarize our results. We have shown that the theories of spin 2, equivalent in the massive case, are not such in the  $m^2 = 0$  limit. While the massless theory of Pauli and Fierz describes the helicities  $\pm 2$ , the one based on the third rank rensor  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$  has no physical content, and the one based on the 4-th rank tensor  $K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$  describes the helicity 0.

I thank Profs. V. I. Ogievetsky and J. Rembieliński and especially Dr. P. Kosiński for their interest in this work.

#### APPENDIX A

In this Appendix we obtain the general form of  $S^{\alpha\mu\nu}$  obeying Eqs (2.3a) and (2.3b)<sup>3</sup>. Decomposing  $S^{\mu\nu}$  into the symmetric  $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$  and the antisymmetric  $\alpha^{\mu\nu}$  parts

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \alpha^{\mu\nu} \tag{A1}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> I thank Dr. P. Kosiński for exhaustive discussion of this point.

we get from Eqs (2.3a) and (2.6)

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (g^{\mu\nu} \Box - \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu}) F. \tag{A2}$$

Because  $\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\alpha}S^{\alpha\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu}S^{\mu\nu} = 0$ , we conclude that

$$\partial_{\mu}\alpha^{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{A3}$$

The solution of Eq. (A3) has the form

$$\alpha^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta},$$

where  $A_{\theta}$  is a vector function. We get

$$\begin{split} S^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (g^{\mu\nu} \Box - \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu}) F + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta} \\ &= \partial_{\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (g^{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\alpha} \partial^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\nu\alpha} \partial^{\mu}) F + \varepsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\beta} A_{\beta} \right]. \end{split}$$

We conclude that

$$S^{\alpha\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( g^{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\alpha} \partial^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\nu\alpha} \partial^{\mu} \right) F + \varepsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\beta} A_{\beta} + \Delta^{\alpha\mu\nu}, \tag{A4}$$

where

$$\partial_{\alpha} \Delta^{\alpha\beta\nu} = 0. \tag{A5}$$

The tensor  $\Delta^{\alpha\mu\nu}$  obeys the condition (as a consequence of  $S^{\alpha\mu\nu} + S^{\mu\alpha\nu} = 0$ )

$$\Delta^{\alpha\mu\nu} + \Delta^{\mu\alpha\nu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\nu} \partial^{\mu} - g^{\mu\alpha} \partial^{\nu} \right) F = 0. \tag{A6}$$

We seek the solution of Eq. (A5) in the form

$$\Delta^{\alpha\mu\nu} = \Pi^{\alpha\mu\nu} + \Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu},$$

where  $\Pi^{\alpha\mu\nu}$  is the general solution obeying

$$\partial_{\alpha}\Pi^{\alpha\mu\nu}=0, \quad \Pi^{\alpha\mu\nu}+\Pi^{\mu\alpha\nu}=0,$$

and  $\Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu}$  is the special solution obeying

$$\partial_{\alpha} \Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{A7a}$$

$$\Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu} + \Sigma^{\mu\alpha\nu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\nu} \partial^{\mu} - g^{\mu\alpha} \partial^{\nu} \right) F = 0. \tag{A7b}$$

The general solution has the form

$$\Pi^{\alpha\mu\nu} = \varepsilon^{\alpha\mu\gamma\beta}\partial_{\gamma}B^{\nu}_{\beta},$$

where  $B_{\theta}^{\nu}$  is a 16 component tensor field. We seek the special solution in the form

$$\Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu} = (ag^{\alpha\mu}\partial^{\nu} + bg^{\alpha\nu}\partial^{\mu} + cg^{\mu\nu}\partial^{\alpha})F.$$

From Eqs (A7a) and (A7b) we get c = 0,  $a = -b = 1/2\sqrt{2}$  and

$$\Sigma^{\alpha\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (g^{\alpha\mu}\partial^{\nu} - g^{\alpha\nu}\partial^{\mu})F.$$

So,

$$S^{\alpha\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (g^{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} - g^{\alpha\nu} \partial^{\mu}) F + \varepsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\beta} A_{\beta} + \varepsilon^{\alpha\mu\gamma\beta} \partial_{\gamma} B_{\beta}^{\nu},$$

where

$$A_{\beta} = \frac{1}{3} (\partial_{\beta} B_{\nu}^{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} B_{\beta}^{\nu})$$

as consequence of  $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}S^{\alpha\mu\nu}=0$ .

### APPENDIX B

1. Let us consider the tensor  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu} = -S^{\beta\alpha\nu}$  obeying the condition

$$\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}S^{\alpha\beta\nu}=0. \tag{B1}$$

We introduce the new variables  $S^{ij} = S^{ji}$ ,  $A^i$ ,  $B^{ij} = -B^{ji}$ ,  $V^i$ ,  $N^{ij} = N^{ji}$   $(N^i_i = 0)$ , Q and  $M^{ij} = -M^{ji}$  (i, j = 1, 2, 3) defined by

$$S^{ijk} = \varepsilon^{ijm} S_m^k + g^{ik} A^j - g^{jk} A^i,$$
  
 $S^{ij0} = B^{ij}, \quad S^{0i0} = V^i,$   
 $S^{0ij} = N^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} O + M^{ij}.$ 

In these variables Eq. (B1) reads

$$S_i^i = 0, \quad B^{ij} = -2M^{ij}.$$

So, we get the following decomposition of  $S^{\alpha\beta\nu}$ 

$$S^{\alpha\beta\nu} = (S^{ij}, A^i, V^i, N^{ij}, O, M^{ij}),$$

where  $S^{ij}$  and  $N^{ij}$  are traceless.

2. Let us consider the tensor  $K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = K^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = -K^{\mu\nu\beta\alpha}$  obeying the condition

$$\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}=0. \tag{B2}$$

We introduce the new variables  $T^{ij} = T^{ji}$ ,  $S^{ij} = S^{ji}$  and  $A^i$  (i, j = 1, 2, 3) defined by

$$K^{0i0j}=T^{ij},$$

$$K^{0ijk} = \varepsilon^{jkm} S_m^i + g^{ij} A^k - g^{ik} A^j.$$

In these variables Eq. (B2) reads  $S_i^i = 0$ . So, we get the following decomposition of  $K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ 

$$K^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = (T^{ij}, S^{ij}, A^i, K^{ijmn}),$$

where  $S^{ij}$  is traceless.

#### APPENDIX C

The well known decomposition of a vector into transversal and longitudinal parts is

$$V^i \equiv V_{\rm T}^i + V_{\rm L}^i,$$

where

$$V_{\mathbf{T}}^{i} = V^{i} + \frac{1}{A} \partial^{i} \partial_{j} V^{j}, \quad V_{\mathbf{L}}^{i} = -\frac{1}{A} \partial^{i} \partial_{j} V^{j}, \quad \Delta = -\partial_{i} \partial^{i}.$$

The analogous decomposition of a symmetric traceless tensor  $a^{ij}$  is

$$a^{ij} \equiv a^{ij}(\pm 2) + a^{ij}(\pm 1) + a^{ij}(0),$$

where

$$a^{ij}(\pm 1) = -\frac{1}{\Delta} (\partial^i a_{\mathrm{T}}^j + \partial^j a_{\mathrm{T}}^i),$$

$$a^{ij}(0) = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} \partial^i \partial^j + \frac{1}{3} g^{ij} \right) a_{\mathrm{L}},$$

$$a_{\mathrm{T}}^i = a^i + \frac{1}{\Delta} \partial^i \partial_j a^j, \quad a_{\mathrm{L}} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \partial_i a^i,$$

$$a^i = \partial_i a^{ji}.$$

We see that

$$a_i^i(\pm 2) = a_i^i(\pm 1) = a_i^i(0) = 0,$$
  
 $\partial_i a^{ij}(\pm 2) = 0, \quad \partial_i a^{ij}(\pm 1) = a_T^j,$   
 $\partial_i a^{ij}(0) = -\partial^j a_L.$ 

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Tybor, Acta Phys. Pol. B17, 887 (1986).
- [2] M. Fierz, Helv. Phys. Acta 12, 1 (1939).
- [3] M. Fierz, W. Pauli, Proc. R. Soc. London 173A, 211 (1939).
- [4] V. I. Ogievetsky, I. V. Polubarinov, Yad. Fiz. 4, 216 (1966).
- [5] S. Deser, W. Siegel, P. K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B184, 333 (1981).
- [6] N. P. Konopleva, V. N. Popov, Kalibrovochnye pola (Gauge Fields), Atomizdat, Moscow 1972, p. 174 (in Russian).
- [7] W. Tybor, Acta Phys. Pol. B18, 69 (1987).