PHYSICS WITH W's, Z's AND LEPTONS AT THE TEVATRON COLLIDER*

A. BODEK

University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627 USA for the CDF Collaboration

(Received January 14, 1997)

Recent data from proton-antiproton collisions at high energy provide information on the masses of the Top quark and W boson. The W asymmetry data constrains the slope of the d/u quark distributions and significantly reduces the systematic error on the extracted value of the W mass. Drell-Yan dilepton production at high invariant mass yield limits on extra Z' bosons, and place strong limits on quark substructure. Compositeness limits from CDF Run 1, and expected sensitivity in Run II and TEV33 are presented.

PACS numbers: 14.65. Ha, 14.70. Fm

1. Introduction, collider runs and future plans

The collider data samples have increased by a very large factor. The first data set from CDF (Run 0, 1988-89) corresponded to an integrated luminosity of 4 pb⁻¹. The data from the recently completed (March 1996) Fermilab collider Run 1 corresponds to 110 pb⁻¹. Note that for some analysis only the data from the first part of Run 1 (Run 1A 20 pb⁻¹ of data) have been analyzed, and more precise results will become available as the analysis of the Run 1B (91 pb⁻¹) data sample is completed.

The significant increase in the quantity and quality of data from Run 1 at the Fermilab collider implies that collider data now provide more precise determinations on the Top quark and W mass. The high Q^2 dilepton production data is sensitive to quark substructure and provide limits on quark compositeness, and extra Z' bosons. Data on diboson production yield limits on anomalous couplings.

^{*} Presented at the Cracow International Symposium on Radiative Corrections to the Standard Model, Cracow, Poland, August 1–5, 1996.

The aim for next collider run (Run 2) is to accumulate 2000 pb⁻¹ in the years 1999–2000. There are long terms studies (TEV33) investigating the technological issues that need to be addressed in order to be able to obtain data samples of order 10 to 30 fb⁻¹.

$\mathbf{2.}\;\; \mathbf{W} \; \mathbf{and} \; \mathbf{top} \; \mathbf{quark} \; \mathbf{mass}$

The CDF W mass analysis of the Run 1A data, which resulted in a value of 80.41 ± 0.18 GeV has been published. A preliminary value of 80.37 ± 0.15 GeV analysis of the Run 1A and 1B samples by the D0 collaboration has been presented at the 1996 ICHEP conference in Warsaw. The current world average of the CDF, D0 and UA2 values for the W mass is 80.35 ± 0.13 GeV, where the error includes the correlations in some of the errors between the two experiments. Over the next year both CDF and D0 are expected to have finalized the analysis of the Run 1B data sample, and the error on the mass from collider data only is expected to become as low as 80 MeV.




Fig. 1. The world's average values of W and Top masses.

The CCFR/NuTeV neutrino experiment at Fermilab has presented a value for the electroweak mixing angle, which corresponds to an equivalent W mass value of 80.22 ± 0.21 GeV. Including the new value from CCFR in

the world's average, leads to a mass 80.31 ± 0.11 GeV. Fermilab neutrino experiment NuTeV/E815 is currently running, and the expected error on the W mass extracted from NuTeV/E815 is expected to be of order 100 MeV. Therefore, by the end of 1997, it is expected that three Fermilab experiments (CDF, D0 and NuTeV) will have indepedent results on the W mass each with errors less than 100 MeV.

The analysis of the Top quark mass for both D0 and CDF includes the full 110 pb⁻¹ data sample from Run 1. The value from CDF is 176.8 \pm 6.5 GeV, and the value from D0 is 169 \pm 11 GeV. The combined CDF/D0 value presented at the Warsaw ICHEP conference 175 \pm 6 GeV. The overall error is expected to go down 5 GeV or less with further analysis. Figure 1 shows the current worlds average values for the W and Top quark masses.

3. W charge asymmetry, W mass, and the slope of d/u

 W^+ (W^-) bosons are produced in $p\overline{p}$ collisions primarily by the annihilation of u (d) quarks from the proton and \overline{d} (\overline{u}) quarks from the antiproton. Because the u quark tends to carry a larger fraction of the proton's momentum than the d quark the W^+ (W^-) tends to be boosted in the proton (antiproton) direction. The charge asymmetry in the production of W's, as a function of rapidity, is therefore related to the difference in the quark distributions at very high Q^2 $(\approx M_W^2)$ and low x (0.007 < x < 0.24).

The W decay involves a neutrino, whose longitudinal momentum is undetermined. Therefore the quantity measured is the charge asymmetry of the decay leptons, which has an added contribution due to the V-A decay of the W. This portion of the asymmetry has been well measured by muon decay experiments; thus in comparisons to theory, one can attribute any deviations (between prediction and measurement) to the parton distributions used in the calculations. The asymmetry is defined as:

$$A(y_l) = \frac{d\sigma^{+}/dy_l - d\sigma^{-}/dy_l}{d\sigma^{+}/dy_l + d\sigma^{-}/dy_l},$$
 (1)

where $d\sigma^+$ $(d\sigma^-)$ is the cross section for W^+ (W^-) decay leptons as a function lepton rapidity (positive rapidity is defined in the proton beam direction). As long as the acceptance and efficiencies for detecting l^+ and l^- are equal, this ratio of cross sections becomes simply the difference in the number of l^+ and l^- over the sum. Further, by CP invariance, the asymmetry at positive η is equal in magnitude and opposite in sign to that at negative η . Therefore the value at positive η is combined with that at negative η reducing the effect of any differences in the efficiencies for l^+ and l^- .

The asymmetry is sensitive to the ratio of d and u quark distributions to x < 0.01 at $Q^2 \approx M_W^2$, where nonperturbative effects are minimal.

The charge asymmetry as a function of lepton rapidity, $A(y_l)$, has been previously measured by the CDF collaboration at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV for $|y_l| <$ 1.8, using the W decays to electrons and muons recorded by CDF during the Run 1A run of the Tevatron Collider [1]. The integrated luminosity used in the published Run 1A analysis, approximately 20 pb⁻¹, and detector improvements resulted in a seven fold increase in statistics relative to the 1988-89 data [2]. The increased statistics in the Run 1A data allowed for the first time to use collider data for the discrimination between sets of modern parton distribution functions. It was found at that time that of the two sets of of parton distributions, those of Martin, Roberts and Stirling (MRS [3]) were favored over the sets produced by the CTEQ [4] collaboration. This difference was observed even though both sets were found to agree, at the level of the nuclear shadowing corrections, with the recent measurements of F_2^n/F_2^p performed by NMC [5]. The W asymmetry data provided a stronger constraints on d/u ratio than the recent measurements of $F_2^{\mu n}/F_2^{\mu p}$ which are limited by uncertainties originating from deuteron corrections. results of this analysis have demonstrated the value of collider data in the measurement of the proton's structure. The most recent parton distribution fits by both Martin, Roberts, and Stirling (MRS [3]), and CTEQ [4] now include the CDF 1A data in their fits.

In the most recent measurement by CDF, the dataset used in the W lepton charge asymmetry analysis has been significantly increased. First, data from Run 1B (94/95) corresponding to an additional 91 pb⁻¹ of in-




Fig. 2. The distribution of $\delta\phi_{\rm measured}/|\delta\phi_{\rm expected}|$ for the plug W electron sample. The CTC charge mis-identification rate is $0.5 \pm 0.2\%$ and $0.2 \pm 0.1\%$ for plus and minus charges, respectively.

tegrated luminosity has been included. Secondly, the data sample in the forward pseudo-rapidity region between 1.2 to 1.8 has been doubled, and extended to higher values of η . This was accomplished by including, in addition to events for which charge of the electron is measured using the standard central tracking information, events in the forward direction for which the electron charge was measured using a combination of stand-alone silicon SVX track finder in conjunction with a shower cluster centroid position from the strips and pads in the plug electromagnetic calorimeter (see Fig. 2). In addition, data from the forward muon detector has been included.

In CDF, positively charged particles are bent in the increasing ϕ direction, and negatively charged particles are bent in the decreasing ϕ directions. Thus the charge of electrons can then determined by comparing the $\phi_{\rm SVX}$ measured with Silicon Vertex Detector, with $\phi_{\rm PEM}$ measured with the Plug Electromagnetic Strips. Figure 2 shows the distribution of $\delta\phi_{\rm measured}/|\delta\phi_{\rm expected}|$ the plug W electron sample. The charge mis-identification for η between 1.2 and 1.8 is estimated to be on average 0.80 \pm 0.2%. Above η of 1.8 it is about 5%.




Fig. 3. Comparisons of W asymmetry measurement with Recent PDF's Predictions. The charge asymmetry measured by CDF, compared to predictions of the latest PDF's (using NLO DYRAD). The data includes the Run 1A Central and Plug data sets (PRL, 74 (1995)) and additionally the Run 1B Central+Plug data set (CDF Preliminary).

These new preliminary W charge asymmetry results are compared with theoretical predictions of various parton distribution functions (PDFs) using a NLO calculation [10] in figure 3. The reduced statistical errors have greatly increased the differentiating power between modern PDFs. However, there are differences between the NLO theory and that data in the forward direction, and indicate the need for further tuning of the d/u ratio at smaller value of x. Note that the difference between data and theory in the forward direction becomes even worse when a resummation [11] calculation is used. Figure 4 shows a comparison of the data with the predictions of the MRSA parton distribution using both the NLO and the resummed calculations. A comparison between data and the resummation calculation for other structure functions is currently being performed.

The comparison of W asymmetry measurement with predictions of various PDF's in NLO (DYRAD) is shown in Table I. A comparison using the resummation calculation is currently being done.

By restricting the shape of PDF's, the W asymmetry measurement has significantly reduced the systematic uncertainty in the W mass measurement. The fitted W mass is strongly correlated with the W charge asymmetry




Fig. 4. Comparisons of W asymmetry measurement with two theories using the same parton distributions. Shown are the theoretical curves using the NLO (DYRAD) calculation and also the Resummation (RESBOS).

metry. The CDF results for the W asymmetry from the Run 1A data have been used as a guide in determining the uncertainty due to the PDF's. Fig. 5 shows the correlation between the ΔM_W (in MeV) and $\Delta \sigma_{\overline{A(\eta)}}$, the deviation between average measured asymmetry and the NLO PDF predictions. The W mass extracted from the run 1A data is 80.41 ± 0.18 GeV from the combined electron and muon data. The asymmetry measurement allowed the CDF to reduce systematic uncertainty on M_W due to PDF's to 50 MeV for Run 1A. This error is included in the overall 180 MeV error for Run 1A. Smaller errors on the W mass are expected when the analysis of the Run 1B data is completed.

TABLE 1

Dependence of the W charge asymmetry and the W mass on PDF choice. The Monte Carlo error is 15 MeV/ c^2 . MRS D'_ is the default choice of the Run 1A+1B analysis. $\Delta \sigma_{\overline{A(\eta)}}$ is defined as $(A_{\rm PDF} - A_{\rm DATA})/\delta A_{\rm DATA}$. The theory used here is the NLO DYRAD calculation. The data sample includes all central and forward 1A and 1B electron and muon W events.

CDF Preliminary

<u> </u>			
PDF	$\Delta \sigma_{\overline{A(\eta)}}$	ΔM_W^e	ΔM_W^{μ}
		$({ m MeV}/c^2)$	$(\mathrm{\ MeV}/c^2)$
CTEQ 2M	+10.60	+10	+ 15
CTEQ $2MF$	+ 8.53	+ 6	+ 6
CTEQ 2ML	+ 7.80	+ 4	+ 12
CTEQ 2MS	+ 6.67	-10	- 8
CTEQ 1M	+ 4.46	- 2	- 8
GRV 92	+ 4.13	+33	+ 50
CTEQ 1MS	+ 2.86	-17	- 28
MRS A	+ 1.75	-23	- 28
MRS D'_	+ 0.68	0	0
MRS H	- 0.51	- 5	- 7
MRS D_0'	-2.06	-20	- 19
MT B1	- 5.99	-66	- 79
KMRS B_0	-6.43	-76	- 87
HMRS B	-7.20	-33	-35
MRS B'	-7.20	-64	- 74
MRS E'	-10.20	-79	-100




Fig. 5. The correlation between the ΔM_W (in MeV) and $\xi = \Delta \sigma_{\overline{A(\eta)}}$, the deviation between average measured asymmetry and the PDF predictions. The M_T^W regions for the W mass fitting is $65 < M_T^W < 100$ GeV. The area between solid lines covers all the points and the dashed lines denote $\pm 2 \Delta \sigma_{\overline{A(\eta)}}$. The W mass measurement uncertainty due to PDF's is taken to be half of the two extreme values in the area within $\pm 2 \Delta \sigma_{\overline{A(\eta)}}$. This analysis was performed using the DYRAD NLO calculation. The data sample includes all central and forward 1A and 1B electron and muon W events.

4. High mass Drell-Yan and limits on Z' bosons and quark substructure

The Drell–Yan events are easily reconstructed from the measured properties of the decay leptons. The differential Drell–Yan cross section provides information on the magnitude of the quark distributions in the x range 0.006-0.03 over a Q^2 range of 121-3600 GeV². The CDF experiment has measured [6] the differential cross section $d^2\sigma/dMdy_{|y|<1}$, over the mass range $11 < M < 150~GeV/c^2$ using dielectron and dimuon data from 1988-89 collider run ($\approx 4~{\rm pb}^{-1}$). The results showed $1/M^3$ dependence as is expected from naive Drell–Yan model. The measurement favored those distributions which have the largest quark contribution in the x interval 0.006 to 0.03, in particular the sets which used the most recent DIS data. However, as was the case for the 1988-89 W asymmetry data, the statistics were limited.

Recently, CDF has presented [14] a preliminary measurement of the Drell-Yan cross-section using the combined Run 92/93 and 94/95 data corresponding to the total integrated luminosity of 110 pb⁻¹. Figure 6 shows results of the combined dielectron and dimuon Drell-Yan cross-section measurement using high mass events collected during Run 1A+1B. The data is consistent with the earlier published Drell-Yan measurement by CDF [6] and agrees well with the NLO calculations.




Fig. 6. Drell-Yan dielectron+dimuon combined (dark circle symbols) pair production cross section from Run 1A+1B corresponding to $108 \pm 7.1 \,\mathrm{pb^{-1}}$ of data. For comparison we also show results from Run 88/89. At high mass, both the NLO and LO+K factor QCD calculations agrees with the data.

The high mass Drell-Yan data is ideal for searching for an additional heavy gauge boson (Z') decaying to dileptons.

The CDF experiment has published the results on Z' mass limits using 88/89 data [7] and more recently the extended limits for Z' decaying into dielectron channel [8] using the Run 1A data. Preliminary results on Z' mass limit using the CDF Run 1A+1B data have been recently presented at 1996 APS Meeting. Figure 7 shows the Z' mass limits extracted from the dimuon samples of the combined 1A+1B samples ($\approx 110~{\rm pb}^{-1}$). Combining both dielectron and dimuon channels using Run 1A+1B, we set Z' lower mass limit of 690 GeV/ c^2 . We also set limits for the production of sequential neutral vector bosons within the framework of E_6 superstring inspired supersymmetric models, as shown in figure 8. The CDF mass limits at the 95% CL range from 550 GeV to 620 GeV, when supersymmetric and exotic decays of the Z' are not considered.

CDF PRELIMINARY




Fig. 7. The Z' mass limits (95% CL) extracted from the CDF combined dielectron and dimuon samples of the Run 1A+1B sample ($\approx 110 \text{ pb}^{-1}$).

The measurement of the dilepton invariant mass spectrum is also sensitive to the possible existence of an additional contact term interaction between quarks and leptons characterized by the compositeness scale Λ . If quarks and leptons are composite particles that share constituents, an effective contact interaction arises between them [9]. This interaction would result in an enhancement of the dilepton differential cross section at high invariant mass. Earlier CDF 95% CL limits on the scale of such an effective contact interaction were extracted from the 88/89 dataset. The limits (on contact terms for $qq - \mu\mu, qq - ee$ interactions) were extracted for the Eichten's Left-Left (LL) model. In this model — (+) corresponds to constructive (destructive) interference with the photon amplitude and Λ_{LL} refers to the scale parametrizing the interaction between left-handed currents.

Theoretical models of quark and lepton compositeness have recently gained considerable attention, especially after the publication recently submitted to PRL [12] by the CDF Collaboration. There, they have reported that above 200 GeV, the jet cross section is significantly higher than the NLO predictions. The excess of high p_T jets could be explained [13] by a




Fig. 8. The Z' mass limits (95% CL) for the production of sequential neutral vector bosons within the framework of E_6 superstring inspired supersymmetric models extracted from the CDF dimuon samples of the combined Run 1A+1B sample ($\approx 110 \text{ pb}^{-1}$).

modified gluon distributions inside the proton. The presence of quark substructure could also appear as an enhancement of the inclusive differential jet cross-section at high E_T . The best agreement between inclusive jet cross-section data above $E_T \geq 200$ GeV and the LO QCD calculation including compositeness is achieved for value of compositeness scale $\Lambda(qq)$ (qq-qq)

contact term interaction), $\Lambda(qq) \approx 1.6$ TeV. However, as described in the next section, a much more likely explanation is that the data require some tuning of the gluon distribution at large x and a somewhat higher value α_s , within the range allowed by previous experiments.

CDF has new preliminary limits on the compositeness scale Λ . The analysis was performed using the observed dilepton events with mass above 150 GeV and the bin likelihood technique. Figure 9 shows comparison between the Drell-Yan cross-section measurement and theoretical predictions for various values of compositeness scale $\Lambda_{LL}^-(ee)$. The 95% CL limits (on contact terms for $qq - \mu\mu$, qq - ee interactions) based on the absence of high mass dilepton events in the $\approx 110~{\rm pb}^{-1}$ of data are shown below.

$$\Lambda_{\rm LL}^{-}(ee) \ge 3.4 \text{ TeV}({\rm CDF}/110 \text{ pb}^{-1}),$$
 (2)

$$\Lambda_{\rm LL}^{+}(ee) \ge 2.4 \text{ TeV(CDF/110 pb}^{-1}),$$
 (3)

$$\Lambda_{\rm LL}^{-}(\mu\mu) \ge 3.5 \text{ TeV}({\rm CDF}/110 \text{ pb}^{-1}),$$
 (4)

$$\Lambda_{\rm LL}^{+}(\mu\mu) \ge 2.9 \text{ TeV(CDF/110 pb}^{-1}).$$
 (5)

The process $u\overline{u}$ and $d\overline{d}$ going to quarks, or to dimuons or dielectrons may have different compositeness scales. If one assumes that the scales are the same for electrons and muons, the combined CDF electron and muon data yield compositeness scale limits of $\Lambda_{\rm LL}^-(ll)$ are:

$$\Lambda_{\rm LL}^-(ll) \ge 3.8 \text{ TeV(CDF/110 pb}^{-1}),$$
 (6)

$$\Lambda_{\rm LL}^{+}(ll) \ge 2.9 \text{ TeV(CDF/110 pb}^{-1}).$$
 (7)

Results for other models (e.g. LR+, LR-, RL+, RL-, RR+ and RR- and scaler) are currently being extracted from the CDF data.

The CCFR/NuTeV Collaboration has presented the 95% CL limits on compositeness scale ($\nu\nu-qq$ contact term interaction) at this conference [15]. These limits are:

$$\Lambda_{\rm LL}^-(\nu_\mu\nu_\mu) \ge 3.5 \text{ TeV(CCFR/NuTeV)},$$
 (8)

$$\Lambda_{\rm LL}^{+}(\nu_{\mu}\nu_{\mu}) \ge 3.8 \text{ TeV(CCFR/NuTeV)}.$$
 (9)

In this conference, the HERA groups (ZEUS and H1) have also presented limits on compositeness.

$$A_{\rm LL}^-(ee) \ge 1.0 \text{ TeV(ZEUS)}, 1.0 \text{ TeV(H1)},$$
 (10)

$$A_{\rm LL}^{+}(ee) \ge 2.0 \text{ TeV(ZEUS)}, 2.3 \text{ TeV(H1)},$$
 (11)




Fig. 9. Comparison between the CDF Drell-Yan cross-section measurement and theoretical prediction for various values of compositeness scale $\Lambda_{\rm LL}^-(ee)$, for dielectron channel.

5. Expected limits in Run II and TeV 33.

The Tevatron Collider 1999-2000 run (Run II) is expected to accumulate a total of 2 fb-1 of integrated luminosity. In addition, Ferbmilab is invetigating the possibility of accelerator improvements that can yield data samples with 10-30 fb-1 of integrated luminosity (TeV33). Studies [16] at the 1996 Snowmass workshop using Monte Carlo generated events indicate that using the maximum likelihood method, the expected sensitivities to compositeness scale Λ are:

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{LL}}^{-}(ee) \ge 9 \text{ TeV}(\mathrm{Run II}, 2 \text{ fb}^{-1}), \tag{12}$$

$$A_{\rm LL}^{+}(\epsilon e) \ge 6.5 \text{ TeV}(\text{Run II}, 2 \text{ fb}^{-1}). \tag{13}$$

$$\Lambda_{\text{LL}}^{-}(ee) \ge 20 \text{ TeV}(\text{TeV}33, 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}),$$
 (14)

$$\Lambda_{\rm LL}^{+}(ee) \ge 14 \text{ TeV}(\text{TeV}33, 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}).$$
 (15)

Simlarly, with 2 fb-1 of data, sensitivity to Z' mass of 1.0–1.1 TeV is expected.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2624 (1995).
- [2] F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 1458, (1992).
- [3] A.D. Martin, R.G. Roberts, W.J. Stirling, RAL-93-077, RAL-94-055.
- [4] J. Botts, J.G. Morfin, J.F. Owens, J. Qiu, W.K. Tung, H. Weerts, CTEQ Parton Dist., Phys. Lett. 304B, 159 (1993).
- [5] NMC Collab., P. Amaudruz et al., Phys. Lett. 295B, 278 (1992).
- [6] F. Abe et al.(CDF), Phys. Rev. **D49**, 1-6 (1994).
- [7] F. Abe et al.(CDF), Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1463 (1992).
- [8] F. Abe et al.(CDF), Phys. Rev. **D51**, 3 (1995).
- [9] E. Eichten, K. Lane, M. Peshkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 811 (1983).
- [10] W. Giele, E. Clover, D.A. Kosower, (DYRAD) Nucl. Phys. B403, 633 (1993).
- [11] C. Balazs, J. Qui, C.P. Yuan (RESBOS) Phys. Lett. B355, 548 (1995).
- [12] F. Abe et al., (CDF), Inclusive Jet Cross Section, subitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
- [13] J. Huston et al., MSU Preprint HEP-50812, submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
- [14] P. de Barbaro et al., The CDF Collaboration, Summary of Exotic Physics Results at CDF, Recontres de Moriond, Electroweak Session, March 1996.
- [15] K.S. McFarland, et al., The CCFR/NuTeV Collaboration, presented at the Recontres de Moriond, Electroweak Session, March 1996. (results updated July 1996, K.S. McFarland private communication).
- [16] P. de Barbaro (CDF), et al., Sensitivity to Compositeness Scale for 2 and 30 fb⁻¹ using Drell-Yan ee and $\mu\mu$ events at the Tevatron, Proceedings of Snowmass 96 Conference.