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It is argued for the hypothesis that the SDYM equations for the Moyal bracket algebra (the master equation) is in a sense a universal integrable system. We show how the $\operatorname{su}(N)$ SDYM equations, the KP equation and the integrable equations in two dimensions can be encoded in the master equation.
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## 1. Introduction

In an interesting paper by Mason [1] it has been suggested that the heavenly equation of self-dual gravity may be a universal integrable system. Here we intend to argue for the hypothesis that the universal integrable system is, perhaps, defined by the Moyal deformation of the heavenly equation lifted to six dimensions (the master equation ).The master equation can be also considered to be the SDYM system for the Moyal bracket algebra. It is known that the Moyal bracket algebra appears to be the most general 2-index infinite Lie algebra [2] and it contains the Poisson algebra as well as the $\operatorname{su}(N)$ algebra [3]. To find the master equation we first deal with the $\operatorname{su}(N)$ SDYM equations in $R^{4}$ of the metric $(++--)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{o}^{2}=2\left(d x \otimes_{s} d \tilde{x}+d y \otimes_{s} d \tilde{y}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations read [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x y}=0, \quad F_{\tilde{x} \tilde{y}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad F_{x \tilde{x}}+F_{y \tilde{y}}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\mu \nu} \varepsilon \operatorname{su}(N) \otimes C^{\infty}\left(R^{4}\right), \mu, \nu \varepsilon\{x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}\}$, denotes the Yang-Mills field tensor. Then, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mu \nu}=\left[\partial_{\mu}+A_{\mu}, \partial_{\nu}+A_{\nu}\right] \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(A_{\mu} \varepsilon \mathrm{su}(N) \otimes C^{\infty}\left(R^{4}\right)\right.$ stands for the Yang-Mills potential) one finds (1.2) to read

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{x} A_{y}-\partial_{y} A_{x}+\left[A_{x}, A_{y}\right]=0  \tag{1.4}\\
\partial_{\tilde{x}} A_{\tilde{y}}-\partial_{\tilde{y}} A_{\tilde{x}}+\left[A_{\tilde{x}}, A_{\tilde{y}}\right]=0,  \tag{1.5}\\
\partial_{x} A_{\tilde{x}}-\partial_{\tilde{x}} A_{x}+\partial_{y} A_{\tilde{y}}-\partial_{\tilde{y}} A_{y}+\left[A_{x}, A_{\tilde{x}}\right]+\left[A_{y}, A_{\tilde{y}}\right]=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (1.4) it follows that there exists the gauge such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x}=0=A_{y} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{\tilde{x}} A_{\tilde{y}}-\partial_{\tilde{y}} A_{\tilde{x}}+\left[A_{\tilde{x}}, A_{\tilde{y}}\right]=0  \tag{1.8}\\
\partial_{x} A_{\tilde{x}}+\partial_{y} A_{\tilde{y}}=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (1.9) one infers that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\tilde{x}}=-\partial_{y} \theta \quad \text { and } \quad A_{\tilde{y}}=\partial_{x} \theta \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\theta=\theta(x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \varepsilon \mathrm{su}(\mathrm{N}) \otimes C^{\infty}\left(R^{4}\right)$.
Inserting (1.10) into (1.8) we obtain [4-9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x} \partial_{\tilde{x}} \theta+\partial_{y} \partial_{\tilde{y}} \theta+\left[\partial_{x} \theta, \partial_{y} \theta\right]=0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also well known that Eqs. (1.8), (1.9) can be derived from the following Lax pair [10]

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\lambda \partial_{y}+\partial_{\tilde{x}}\right) \psi_{\lambda} & =-A_{\tilde{x}} \psi_{\lambda} \\
\left(-\lambda \partial_{x}+\partial_{\tilde{y}}\right) \psi_{\lambda} & =-A_{\tilde{y}} \psi_{\lambda}, \quad \lambda \varepsilon C P^{1} \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

We generalize (1.12) to the Moyal *-product algebra. Thus we write

$$
\begin{align*}
i \hbar\left(\lambda \partial_{y}+\partial_{\tilde{x}}\right) \varphi_{\lambda} & =-a_{\tilde{x}} * \varphi_{\lambda} \\
i \hbar\left(-\lambda \partial_{x}+\partial_{\tilde{y}}\right) \varphi_{\lambda} & =-a_{\tilde{y}} * \varphi_{\lambda}, \quad \lambda \varepsilon C P^{1} \tag{1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi_{\lambda}, a_{\tilde{x}}$ and $a_{\tilde{y}}$ are functions of $(\hbar, x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, p, q)$; the Moyal $*$-product is defined by [11-13]

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1} * f_{2}: & =f_{1} \exp \left(\frac{i \hbar}{2} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{P}\right) f_{2} \\
\overleftrightarrow{P}: & =\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial q} \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial p}-\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial p} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

( $\hbar$ is the deformation parameter). The integrability conditions of the system (1.13) read

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{\tilde{x}} a_{\tilde{y}}-\partial_{\tilde{y}} a_{\tilde{x}}+\left\{a_{\tilde{x}}, a_{\tilde{y}}\right\}_{\mathrm{M}}=0  \tag{1.15}\\
\partial_{x} a_{\tilde{x}}+\partial_{y} a_{\tilde{y}}=0 \tag{1.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathrm{M}}$ denotes the Moyal bracket [11-13]

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}\right\}_{\mathrm{M}} & :=\frac{1}{i \hbar}\left(f_{1} * f_{2}-f_{2} * f_{1}\right) \\
& =f_{1} \frac{2}{\hbar} \sin \left(\frac{\hbar}{2} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{P}\right) f_{2} \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.16) we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a_{\tilde{x}}=-\partial_{y} \Theta, \quad \text { and } \quad a_{\tilde{y}}=\partial_{x} \Theta \\
\Theta=\Theta(\hbar ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, p, q) . \tag{1.18}
\end{array}
$$

Consequently, inserting (1.18) into (1.15) one finds the master equation $[9,14]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x} \partial_{\tilde{x}} \Theta+\partial_{y} \partial_{\tilde{y}} \Theta+\left\{\partial_{x} \Theta, \partial_{y} \Theta\right\}_{\mathrm{M}}=0 \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Lax pair (1.13) and from (1.18) it follows that under the assumption that the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{\tilde{x}}= & \varphi_{\lambda} * i \hbar\left(\lambda \partial_{y}+\partial_{\tilde{x}}\right) \varphi_{\lambda}^{-1} \\
a_{\tilde{y}}= & \varphi_{\lambda} * i \hbar\left(-\lambda \partial_{x}+\partial_{\tilde{y}}\right) \varphi_{\lambda}^{-1} \\
& \left(\varphi_{\lambda} * \varphi_{\lambda}^{-1}=1\right) \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

are independent of $\lambda$, the solution $\varphi_{\lambda}$ and $\Theta$ are related by

$$
\begin{align*}
-\partial_{y} \Theta & =i \hbar \varphi_{1} *\left(\partial_{y}+\partial_{\tilde{x}}\right) \varphi_{1}^{-1} \\
\partial_{x} \Theta & =i \hbar \varphi_{1} *\left(-\partial_{x}+\partial_{\tilde{y}}\right) \varphi_{1}^{-{ }^{-}} \tag{1.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we recall how the master equation (1.19) can be reduced to the heavenly equations (for details see $[9,14]$ ). Assume first the following symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{x}-\partial_{\tilde{x}}\right) \Theta=0=\left(\partial_{y}-\partial_{\tilde{y}}\right) \Theta \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, equation (1.19) takes the form of the Moyal deformation of the Husain-Park equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{2} \Theta+\partial_{y}^{2} \Theta+\left\{\partial_{x} \Theta, \partial_{y} \Theta\right\}_{\mathrm{M}}=0 \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if $\Theta$ is analytic in $\hbar$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{n} \Theta_{n} \\
\Theta_{n} & =\Theta_{n}(x+\tilde{x}, y+\tilde{y}, p, q) \tag{1.24}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0}\left\{\partial_{x} \Theta, \partial_{y} \Theta\right\}_{\mathrm{M}}=\left\{\partial_{x} \Theta_{0}, \partial_{y} \Theta_{0}\right\}_{\mathrm{P}}, \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathrm{P}}$ stands for the Poisson bracket, and (1.23) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{2} \Theta_{0}+\partial_{y}^{2} \Theta_{0}+\left\{\partial_{x} \Theta_{0}, \partial_{y} \Theta_{0}\right\}_{\mathrm{P}}=0 \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is exactly the Husain-Park heavenly equation $[15,16]$.
The symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{x}-\partial_{\tilde{x}}\right) \Theta=0=\partial_{\tilde{y}} \Theta \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to the Moyal deformation of Grant's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{2} \Theta+\left\{\partial_{x} \Theta, \partial_{y} \Theta\right\}_{\mathrm{M}}=0 \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{x}-\partial_{q}\right) \Theta=0=\left(\partial_{y}-\partial_{p}\right) \Theta \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

then one gets the Moyal deformation of Plebański's second heavenly equation and, under (1.24), the second heavenly equation [18]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x} \partial_{\tilde{x}} \Theta_{0}+\partial_{y} \partial_{\tilde{y}} \Theta_{0}+\partial_{x}^{2} \Theta_{0} \partial_{y}^{2} \Theta_{0}-\left(\partial_{x} \partial_{y} \Theta_{0}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar considerations lead to the first heavenly equation or to the CauchyKovalevskaya form of the second heavenly equation (see [9]). Concluding, we have found the reduction of the master equation (1.19) to the heavenly equations.

In Section 2 we show how the Lie algebra representation of the Moyal bracket algebra onto $\operatorname{su}(N)$ algebra leads from the master equation to the $\mathrm{su}(N) \mathrm{SDYM}$ equations or to the chiral model equations.

Section 3 is devoted to the reduction of the master equation to the KP equation. In Section 4 we show that the Lax equation for the function dependent on two variables can be written in the form of our master equation. Finally, some concluding remarks close the paper.

## 2. From the master equation to the $\operatorname{su}(N) \operatorname{SDYM}$ and chiral models

To start with we recall some results of [3] where the basis of the $\operatorname{su}(N)$ algebra, which appears to be useful for our purpose, has been investigated.

Define two $N \times N$ matrices

$$
\begin{gather*}
S:=\sqrt{\omega}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \omega & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \omega^{2} & \ldots & 0 \\
. & . & . & \ldots & . \\
0 & 0 & .0 & \ldots & \omega^{N-1}
\end{array}\right) \\
\omega:=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{N}\right), \quad \sqrt{\omega}=\exp \left(\frac{\pi i}{N}\right), \\
T:=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
. & . & . & . & . \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & .0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
S^{N}=T^{N}=-1,  \tag{2.1}\\
T \cdot S=\omega S \cdot T .
\end{gather*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\vec{m}}:=\frac{i N}{2 \pi} \omega^{\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{2}} S^{m_{1}} T^{m_{2}} ; \quad \vec{m}:=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \varepsilon Z \times Z . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrices $L_{\vec{m}}$ have the following properties

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{\vec{m}+N \vec{r}}=(-1)^{\left(m_{1}+1\right) r_{2}+\left(m_{2}+1\right) r_{1}+N r_{1} r_{2}} L_{\vec{m}} \\
\operatorname{Tr} L_{\vec{m}}=0 \text { except for } m_{1}=m_{2}=0 \bmod N  \tag{2.3}\\
\operatorname{Tr} L_{N \vec{r}}:=(-1)^{r_{2}+r_{1}+N r_{1} r_{2}} \frac{i N^{2}}{2 \pi}  \tag{2.4}\\
L_{\vec{m}} L_{\vec{n}}=\frac{i N}{2 \pi} \omega^{\frac{\vec{n} \times \vec{m}}{2}} L_{\vec{m}+\vec{n}} \\
\vec{n} \times \vec{m}:=n_{1} m_{2}-n_{2} m_{1}  \tag{2.5}\\
{\left[L_{\vec{m}}, L_{\vec{n}}\right]=\frac{N}{\pi} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{N} \vec{m} \times \vec{n}\right) L_{\vec{m}+\vec{n}}} \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, as $S^{\dagger}=S^{-1}$ and $T^{\dagger}=T^{-1}$ we get (see also (2.5))

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\vec{m}}^{\dagger}=-L_{-\vec{m}}=\left(\frac{N}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} L_{\vec{m}}^{-1} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from formulae $\operatorname{det} S=\operatorname{det} T=(-1)^{N}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} L_{\vec{m}}:=(-1)^{N\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{1} m_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{i N}{2 \pi}\right)^{N} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been shown in [3] that $N^{2}-1$ matrices $L_{\vec{\mu}}, 0 \leq \mu_{1} \leq N-1,0 \leq$ $\mu_{1} \leq N-1$ and $\vec{\mu} \neq(0,0)$, span the su( $N$ ) algebra. (Henceforth, the Greek indices $\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}, \ldots$, etc. are assumed to satisfy the above conditions).

Now we deal with the basis of smooth functions on the 2-torus $T^{2}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{\vec{m}}:=\exp \left[i\left(m_{1} p+m_{2} q\right)\right]  \tag{2.9}\\
\vec{m}:=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \varepsilon Z \times Z \quad \text { and } \quad(p, q) \varepsilon T^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Employing (1.14) and (1.17) one quickly finds the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{\vec{m}} * E_{\vec{n}}=\exp \left(\frac{i \hbar}{2} \vec{m} \times \vec{n}\right) E_{\vec{m}+\vec{n}}  \tag{2.10}\\
\left\{E_{\vec{m}}, E_{\vec{n}}\right\}_{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{2}{\hbar} \sin \left(\frac{\hbar}{2} \vec{m} \times \vec{n}\right) E_{\vec{m}+\vec{n}} \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Take the deformation parameter $\hbar$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hbar=\frac{2 \pi}{N} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.11) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{E_{\vec{m}}, E_{\vec{n}}\right\}_{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{N}{\pi} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{N} \vec{m} \times \vec{n}\right) E_{\vec{m}+\vec{n}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (2.13) with (2.6) we are led to the linear mapping of smooth function on $T^{2}$ onto $\mathrm{su}(N)$ defined by the linear extension of the following mapping

$$
\chi: \begin{array}{ccc}
E_{\vec{\mu}+N \vec{r}} & \longmapsto & L_{\vec{m}+N \vec{r}}=(-1)^{\left(\mu_{1}+1\right) r_{2}+\left(\mu_{2}+1\right) r_{1}+N r_{1} r_{2}} L_{\vec{\mu}}  \tag{2.14}\\
E_{N \vec{r}} & \longmapsto & 0,
\end{array}
$$

where, as before, $\vec{\mu}:=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right), 0 \leq \mu_{1} \leq N-1,0 \leq \mu_{2} \leq N-1$, and $\vec{\mu} \neq(0,0)$, and $\vec{r}:=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \varepsilon Z \times Z$.

Using (2.3), (2.6) and (2.13) one easily finds that the mapping (2.14) defines the Lie algebra homomorphism of the Moyal bracket algebra on $T^{2}$ with $\hbar=\frac{2 \pi}{N}$ onto su( $N$ ).

Let $\Theta=\Theta(\hbar ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, p, q)$ be a solution of the master equation (1.19) on $V \times T^{2}, V \subset R^{4}$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\Theta(\hbar ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, p, q)=\sum_{\vec{m}} \Theta_{\vec{m}}(\hbar ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) E_{\vec{m}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Fourier expansion of $\Theta$. Substituting $\hbar=\frac{2 \pi}{N}$ into (2.15) and employing (2.14) we get
$\theta=\theta(N ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}):=\chi\left(\Theta\left(\frac{2 \pi}{N} ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, p, q\right)\right)=\sum_{\vec{\mu}} \theta_{\vec{\mu}}(N ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) L_{\vec{\mu}}$,
where
$\theta_{\vec{\mu}}=\theta_{\vec{\mu}}(N ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}):=\sum_{\vec{r}}(-1)^{\left(\mu_{1}+1\right) r_{2}+\left(\mu_{2}+1\right) r_{1}+N r_{1} r_{2}} \Theta_{\vec{\mu}+N \vec{r}}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{N} ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}\right)$.

As $\chi$ is the Lie algebra homomorphism the $\operatorname{su}(N)$-valued function defined by (2.16) and (2.17) fulfills the $\operatorname{su}(N)$ SDYM equation (1.11) on $V$.

Conversely, every analytic solution of Eq. (1.11) can be obtained in this way. Indeed, let the $\operatorname{su}(N)$-valued function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\theta(N ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})=\sum_{\vec{\mu}} \theta_{\vec{\mu}}(N ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) L_{\vec{\mu}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the analytic solution of Eq. (1.11) for the following analytic Cauchy data

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\rho}=\tilde{\rho}(x, y, \tilde{y})=\sum_{\vec{\mu}} \tilde{\rho}_{\vec{\mu}}(x, y, \tilde{y}) L_{\vec{\mu}}:=\left.\theta(N ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})\right|_{\tilde{x}=x}, \\
& \tilde{\sigma}=\tilde{\sigma}(x, y, \tilde{y})=\sum_{\vec{\mu}} \tilde{\sigma}_{\vec{\mu}}(x, y, \tilde{y}) L_{\vec{\mu}}:=\left.\left(\partial_{x}+\partial_{\tilde{x}}\right) \theta(N ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})\right|_{\tilde{x}=x} . \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Define the functions $\rho_{\vec{\mu}+N \vec{r}}=\rho_{\vec{\mu}+N \vec{r}}(x, y, \tilde{y})$ and $\sigma_{\vec{\mu}+N \vec{r}}=\sigma_{\vec{\mu}+N \vec{r}}(x, y, \tilde{y})$ by (compare with (2.17))

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\rho}_{\vec{\mu}}=\sum_{\vec{r}}(-1)^{\left(\mu_{1}+1\right) r_{2}+\left(\mu_{2}+1\right) r_{1}+N r_{1} r_{2}} \rho_{\vec{m}+N \vec{r}}, \\
& \tilde{\sigma}_{\vec{\mu}}=\sum_{\vec{r}}(-1)^{\left(\mu_{1}+1\right) r_{2}+\left(\mu_{2}+1\right) r_{1}+N r_{1} r_{2}} \sigma_{\vec{m}+N \vec{r}} . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\Theta\left(\frac{2 \pi}{N} ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, p, q\right)$ be the unique solution of the master equation (1.19) in $R^{4} \times T^{2}$ for $\hbar=\frac{2 \pi}{N}$ and for the Cauchy data

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\Theta\left(\frac{2 \pi}{N} ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, p, q\right)\right|_{\tilde{x}=x} & =\sum_{\vec{\mu}, \vec{r}} \rho_{\vec{\mu}+N \vec{r}} E_{\vec{\mu}+N \vec{r}} \\
\left.\left(\partial_{x}+\partial_{\tilde{x}}\right) \theta(N ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})\right|_{\tilde{x}=x} & =\sum_{\vec{\mu}, \vec{r}} \sigma_{\vec{\mu}+N \vec{r}} E_{\vec{\mu}+N \vec{r}} \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

From our previous considerations it follows that the $\operatorname{su}(N)$-valued function $\chi(\Theta)$ fulfills the $\operatorname{su}(N)$ SDYM equation (1.11) for the Cauchy data given by (2.19). Consequently, by the uniqueness of the solution of the Cauchy problem for Eq. (1.11) we conclude that $\chi(\Theta)=\theta$, where $\theta$ is defined by (2.18). Gathering, one arrives at the theorem

Theorem 2.1
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\Theta(\hbar ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, p, q)=\sum_{\vec{m}} \Theta_{\vec{m}}(\hbar ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \exp \left[i\left(m_{1} p+m_{2} q\right)\right] \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a solution of the master equation (1.19) on $V \times T^{2}, V \subset R^{4}$. Then, the $\operatorname{su}(N)$-valued function

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta=\theta(N ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \\
& =\sum_{\vec{\mu}}\left(\sum_{\vec{r}}(-1)^{\left(\mu_{1}+1\right) r_{2}+\left(\mu_{2}+1\right) r_{1}+N r_{1} r_{2}} \Theta_{\vec{\mu}+N \vec{r}}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{N} ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}\right)\right) L_{\vec{\mu}} \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

is the solution of the $\operatorname{su}(N)$ SDYM equation (1.11) on $V$. Conversely, every analytic solution of Eq. (1.11) can be obtained in this way.

Consider now an especially interesting case when the solution $\Theta$ has the symmetry (1.22) i.e., it is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\Theta(\hbar ; x+\tilde{x}, y+\tilde{y}, p, q) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, as we know the function $\Theta$ satisfies the Moyal deformation of the Husain-Park equation (1.23) and then the $\operatorname{su}(N)$-valued function $\theta=$ $\theta(N ; x+\tilde{x}, y+\tilde{y})$ defined by (2.23) appears to be the solution of the $\operatorname{su}(N)$ principal chiral equation in two dimensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{2} \theta+\partial_{y}^{2} \theta+\left[\partial_{x} \theta, \partial_{y} \theta\right]=0 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [19, 20]). Moreover, if $N \rightarrow \infty$ then $\hbar=\frac{2 \pi}{N} \rightarrow 0$. Assuming that $\Theta$ given by (2.24) is analytic in $\hbar$ we find that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{0}=\Theta_{0}(x+\tilde{x}, y+\tilde{y}, p, q):=\Theta(0 ; x+\tilde{x}, y+\tilde{y}, p, q) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

fulfills the Husain-Park heavenly equation (1.26). From (2.23) one concludes that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \theta(N ; x+\tilde{x}, y+\tilde{y})=\sum_{\vec{\mu} \neq(0,0)} \Theta_{\vec{\mu}}(0 ; x+\tilde{x}, y+\tilde{y}) L_{\vec{\mu}} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results can be interpreted as follows;
If $\Theta(\hbar ; x+\tilde{x}, y+\tilde{y}, p, q)$ is a solution of the master equation on $V \times T^{2}$, $V \subset R^{4}$, analytic in $\hbar$, then the sequence of su(N) chiral fields $\theta(N ; x+$ $\tilde{x}, y+\tilde{y}):=\chi\left(\Theta\left(\frac{2 \pi}{N} ; x+\tilde{x}, y+\tilde{y}, p, q\right)\right)$, for $N=2,3, \ldots$ tends (in general) to a curved space in the limit.

This is a partial answer to Ward's question [21] (see also [22]).
Another interesting case corresponds to the integrable chiral equations in $2+1$ dimensions [23-26]. Here the following symmetry is assumed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{x}-\partial_{\tilde{x}}\right) \Theta=0 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $\Theta$ is of the form $\Theta=\Theta(\hbar ; x+\tilde{x}, y, \tilde{y}, p, q)$. Consequently, by Theorem 2.1, the $\operatorname{su}(N)$-valued function $\theta$ defined by $(2.23), \theta=\theta(N ; x+\tilde{x}, y, \tilde{y})$ fulfills the chiral equation in $2+1$ dimension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{2} \theta+\partial_{y} \partial_{\tilde{y}} \theta+\left[\partial_{x} \theta, \partial_{y} \theta\right]=0 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark: The proof of the second part of Theorem 2.1 is based on the Cauchy-Kovalevskaya theorem. Consequently, this part has been proved under the assumption that the solutions are analytic. However, we suppose that it holds for the general case.

## 3. From the master equation to the KP equation

Consider the pseudo-differential operator [27-29]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathcal{L}}:=\partial+\sum_{n=1} u_{n}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots\right) \partial^{-n}, \quad \partial:=\partial_{t_{1}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the following operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}:=\left[\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{n}\right]_{+}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\hat{\mathcal{R}}]_{+}$stands for the projection of the pseudo-differential operator $\stackrel{\wedge}{\mathcal{R}}$ onto differential part, i.e., $[\hat{\mathcal{R}}]_{+}$denotes the part of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ containing non negative powers of $\partial$. Then the KP hierarchy is given by the Lax equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial t_{n}}=\left[\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}\right], \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(About KP hierarchy see also [5]).
Alternatively, the KP hierarchy can be defined by the zero-curvature conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t_{m}} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}-\partial_{t_{n}} \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{m}+\left[\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{m}\right]=0, \quad m, n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

One quickly finds that for $n=1$ or $m=1$ Eq. (3.4) appears to be trivial.
Now, for the further convenience we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
q:=t_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the operators $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\stackrel{\mathcal{B}}{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$ can be considered to be the operators acting in the Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(R^{1}\right)$. Therefore one can use the machinery of the Weyl-Wigner-Moyal formalism [11-13, 30-33].

In particular the Weyl correspondence $W^{-1}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& W^{-1}: \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n} \mapsto \mathcal{B}_{n}=\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\hbar ; p, q, t_{2}, t_{3}, \ldots\right) \\
& :=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left\langle q-\frac{\xi}{2}\right| \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n}\left|q+\frac{\xi}{2}\right\rangle \exp \left(\frac{i p \xi}{\hbar}\right) d \xi, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}:=-i \hbar \mathcal{B}_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus Eq. (3.4) can be equivalently written in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t_{m}} b_{n}-\partial_{t_{n}} b_{m}+\left\{b_{m}, b_{n}\right\}_{\mathrm{M}}=0, \quad m, n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

An especially interesting case is when $m=2$ and $n=3$. Straightforward calculations give

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{2}=\frac{i}{\hbar} p^{2}-2 i \hbar u_{1} \\
& b_{3}=-\frac{1}{\hbar^{2}} p^{3}+3 p u_{1}-3 i \hbar\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{q} u_{1}+u_{2}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Then Eq. (3.8) for $m=2$ and $n=3$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t_{2}} b_{3}-\partial_{t_{3}} b_{2}+\left\{b_{2}, b_{3}\right\}_{\mathrm{M}}=0 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.9) into (3.10) one gets

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 \partial_{t_{3}} u_{1}-3 \partial_{q} \partial_{t_{2}} u_{1}-6 \partial_{t_{2}} u_{2}-\partial_{q}^{3} u_{1}-12 u_{1} \partial_{q} u_{1}=0  \tag{3.11}\\
-3 \partial_{t_{2}} u_{1}+3 \partial_{q}^{2} u_{1}+6 \partial_{q} u_{2}=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Differentiating (3.11) with respect to $q$ and (3.12) with respect to $t_{2}$ and comparing the results one gets the well known KP equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{q}\left(4 \partial_{t_{3}} u_{1}-12 u_{1} \partial_{q} u_{1}-\partial_{q}^{3} u_{1}\right)=3 \partial_{t_{2}}^{2} u_{1} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (3.10) resembles very much Eq. (1.15). Therefore we put

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x}: & =t_{2}, \quad \tilde{y}:=t_{3} \\
a_{\tilde{x}} & =a_{\tilde{x}}(\hbar ; \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, p, q):=\frac{i}{\hbar} p^{2}-2 i \hbar u \\
a_{\tilde{y}} & =a_{\tilde{y}}(\hbar ; \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, p, q)=-\frac{1}{\hbar^{2}} p^{3}+3 p u-3 i \hbar v \\
u & =u(q, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \quad \text { and } \quad v=v(q, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Then by $(3.9),(3.10),(3.11)$ and $(3.12)$ one infers that $a_{\tilde{x}}$ and $a_{\tilde{y}}$ defined by (3.14) fulfill Eq. (1.15) iff,

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \partial_{\tilde{y}} u-6 \partial_{\tilde{x}} v-\partial_{q}^{3} u-12 u \partial_{q} u=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-3 \partial_{\tilde{x}} u+6 \partial_{q} v=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, as before, we are led to the KP equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{q}\left(4 \partial_{\tilde{y}} u-12 u \partial_{q} u-\partial_{q}^{3} u\right)=3 \partial_{\tilde{x}}^{2} u, \quad u=u(q, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is evident that $a_{\tilde{x}}$ and $a_{\tilde{y}}$ given by (3.14) fulfill also Eq. (1.16). Gathering, one arrives at the lemma

Lemma 3.1
The functions $a_{\tilde{x}}$ and $a_{\tilde{y}}$ given by (3.14) satisfy Eqs. (1.15) and (1.16) iff the function $u$ is a solution of the KP equation (3.17) and the function $v$ is determined by $u$ according to (3.15) and (3.16).

Let $a_{\tilde{x}}$ and $a_{\tilde{y}}$ be given by (3.14). Then by (1.18) we find $\Theta$ to be

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta=\Theta(\hbar ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, p, q) & =-\frac{1}{\hbar^{2}} x p^{3}-i \frac{1}{\hbar} y p^{2}+3 x p u+i \hbar(2 y u-3 x v) \\
u & =u(q, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}), \quad v=v(q, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, employing Lemma 3.1, one concludes that the KP equation is encoded in the master equation according to the following theorem

Theorem 3.1
The function $\Theta=\Theta(\hbar ; x, y, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, p, q)$ given by (3.18) is the solution of the master equation (1.19) iff the function $u$ fulfills the KP equation (3.17) and $v$ is defined by $u$ according to (3.15) and (3.16).

The above results suggest that other integrable equations can be encoded in the master equation. We consider this question in the next section.

## 4. From the master equation to the Lax equation

Let $\hat{L}$ be a pseudo-differential operator constructed by $\partial:=\partial_{q}$ and by the functions $u_{i}(q, \tilde{y}), i=1,2, \ldots$. Then, let $\hat{B}_{+}$be a differential operator defined by $\partial$ and $u_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$.

The Lax equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\tilde{y}} \hat{L}=\left[\hat{B}_{+}, \hat{L}\right] . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of the Weyl correspondence (see (3.6)) one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
L & =L(\hbar ; p, q, \tilde{y}):=W^{-1}(\hat{L}) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left\langle q-\frac{\xi}{2}\right| \hat{L}\left|q+\frac{\xi}{2}\right\rangle \exp \left(\frac{i p \xi}{\hbar}\right) d \xi  \tag{4.2}\\
B_{+} & =B_{+}(\hbar ; p, q, \tilde{y}):=W^{-1}\left(\hat{B_{+}}\right) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left\langle q-\frac{\xi}{2}\right| \hat{B_{+}}\left|q+\frac{\xi}{2}\right\rangle \exp \left(\frac{i p \xi}{\hbar}\right) d \xi \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
l:=-i \hbar L \quad \text { and } \quad b_{+}:=-i \hbar B_{+} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $l$ and $b_{+}$the equation (4.1) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\partial_{\tilde{y}} l+\left\{l, b_{+}\right\}_{\mathrm{M}}=0 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\Theta(\hbar ; x, y, \tilde{y}, p, q):=-y l+x b_{+}=i \hbar\left(y L-x B_{+}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

one concludes that the master equation (1.19) with $\Theta$ defined by (4.6) is equivalent to the Lax equation (4.1).

Examples
(i) The KdV equation

If we put in Eqs. (3.15) and (3.16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\tilde{x}} u=0 \quad \text { i.e., } \quad u=u(q, \tilde{y}) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \partial_{\tilde{y}} u-\partial_{q}^{3} u-12 u \partial_{q} u=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last equation appears to be the rescaled KdV equation. Indeed, substituting into (4.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q:=2^{\frac{1}{5}} q, \quad \tilde{Y}:=2^{\frac{3}{5}} \tilde{y} \quad \text { and } \quad U:=2^{\frac{3}{5}} u \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

one gets the KdV equation [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \partial_{\tilde{Y}} U-\partial_{Q}^{3} U-6 U \partial_{Q} U=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, inserting $v=0$ into (3.18) and assuming that $u=u(q, \tilde{y})$ we find that the function $\Theta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\Theta(\hbar ; x, y, \tilde{y}, p, q)=-\hbar^{-2} x p^{3}-\hbar^{-1} i y p^{2}+3 x p u+\hbar 2 i y u \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

fulfills the master equation (1.19) iff $u$ satisfies the rescaled $K d V$ equation (4.9).
(ii) The Toda lattice equation and the Boyer-Finley-Plebański equation Consider the operators $\hat{L}$ and $\stackrel{\wedge}{B}_{+}$to be [29]

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{L} & =\mathrm{e}^{\partial}-u_{0}-u_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\partial} \\
\hat{B}_{+} & =\mathrm{e}^{\partial}-u_{0} \\
u_{0} & =u_{0}(q, \tilde{y}), \quad u_{1}=u_{1}(q, \tilde{y}), \quad \partial:=\partial_{q} \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the Lax equation (4.1) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\tilde{y}} u_{0}(q, \tilde{y}) & =u_{1}(q+1, \tilde{y})-u_{1}(q, \tilde{y}) \\
\partial_{\tilde{y}} u_{1}(q, \tilde{y}) & =-u_{1}(q, \tilde{y})\left\{u_{0}(q, \tilde{y})-u_{0}(q-1, \tilde{y})\right\} \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating $u_{0}$ in (4.14) and substituting $u:=\ln u_{1}$ one gets the Toda lattice equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\tilde{y}}^{2} u(q, \tilde{y})=-\mathrm{e}^{u(q+1, \tilde{y})}+2 e^{u(q, \tilde{y})}-\mathrm{e}^{u(q-1, \tilde{y})} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.2) with (4.13) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
L & =\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{i \hbar} p}-u_{0}(q, \tilde{y})-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{i \hbar} p} u_{1}\left(q+\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{y}\right) \\
B_{+} & =\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{i \hbar} p}-u_{0}(q, \tilde{y}) \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, by (4.6) and (4.16) we find $\Theta$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\Theta(\hbar ; x, y, \tilde{y}, p, q)=i \hbar\left\{(y-x)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{i \hbar} p}-u_{0}(q, \tilde{y})\right)-y \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{i \hbar} p} u_{1}\left(q+\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{y}\right)\right\} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now one can deform Eq. (4.15) as follows. First, we define (compare with [29])

$$
\begin{align*}
L & =\frac{1}{i \hbar}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-p}-u_{0}(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y})-\mathrm{e}^{p} u_{1}(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y})\right\} \\
B_{+} & =\frac{1}{i \hbar}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-p}-u_{0}(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y}\}\right. \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Then according to (4.4) one finds

$$
\begin{align*}
l & =-i \hbar L=-\mathrm{e}^{-p}+u_{0}(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y})+\mathrm{e}^{p} u_{1}(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y}) \\
b_{+} & =-i \hbar B_{+}=-\mathrm{e}^{-p}+u_{0}(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y}\} \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, the Lax equation (4.5) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\tilde{y}} u_{0}(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y})=\frac{1}{i \hbar}\left\{u_{1}\left(\hbar ; q+\frac{i \hbar}{2}, \tilde{y}\right)-u_{1}\left(\hbar ; q-\frac{i \hbar}{2}, \tilde{y}\right)\right\} \\
& \partial_{\tilde{y}} u_{1}(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y})=-\frac{1}{i \hbar} u_{1}(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y})\left\{u_{0}\left(\hbar ; q+\frac{i \hbar}{2}, \tilde{y}\right)-u_{0}\left(\hbar ; q-\frac{i \hbar}{2}, \tilde{y}\right)\right\} \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, eliminating $u_{0}$ in (4.20) and substituting $u=\ln u_{1}$ we get the deformation of the Toda lattice equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\tilde{y}} u(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y})=\frac{1}{(i \hbar)^{2}}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-u\left(\hbar ; q+\frac{i \hbar}{2}, \tilde{y}\right)}+2 \mathrm{e}^{u(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y})}-\mathrm{e}^{u\left(\hbar ; q-\frac{i \hbar}{2}, \tilde{y}\right)}\right\} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $i \hbar=1$ one gets the Toda lattice equation (4.15), for $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ we obtain the reduced Boyer-Finley-Plebański equation [34-35]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\tilde{y}}^{2} \Phi(q, \tilde{y})+\partial_{q}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\Phi(q, \tilde{y})}=0 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Phi(q, \tilde{y})=\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} u(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y}) .
$$

From (4.6) and (4.19) it follows that $\Theta$ leading to (4.21) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\Theta(\hbar ; x, y, \tilde{y}, p, q)=(y-x)\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-p}-u_{0}(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y})\right\}-y \mathrm{e}^{p} u_{1}(\hbar ; q, \tilde{y}) . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0}\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathrm{M}}=\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\mathrm{P}}$ one concludes that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{0}=\Theta_{o}(x, y, \tilde{y}, p, q)=(y-x)\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-p}-U_{0}(q, \tilde{y})\right\}-y \mathrm{e}^{p} \mathrm{e}^{\Phi(q, \tilde{y})} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{y} \partial_{\tilde{y}} \Theta_{0}+\left\{\partial_{x} \Theta_{0}, \partial_{y} \Theta_{0}\right\}_{\mathrm{P}}=0 \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

iff

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\tilde{y}} U_{0} & =\partial_{q} \mathrm{e}^{\Phi}, \\
\partial_{\tilde{y}} \Phi & =-\partial_{q} U_{0} \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

(see Eqs (4.20) with $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ ). Eliminating $U_{0}$ we get the reduced Boyer-Finley-Plebański equation (4.22).

## 5. Conclusions

The main purpose of our paper has been to show that the master equation (1.19) is in a sense a universal integrable system. We have proved (Theorem 2.1) that any solution of the master equation on $V \times T^{2}, V \subset R^{4}$, defines by the Lie algebra representation a solution to the $\operatorname{su}(N)$ SDYM equations on $V$.

Conversely, every analytic solution to the $\operatorname{su}(N)$ SDYM equation can be obtained in this manner.

The fundamental problem which should be considered is to generalize Theorem 2.1 on the cases when one deals with the solutions of the master equation on $V \times \Sigma$, where $V \subset R^{4}$ and $\Sigma$ is an arbitrary two dimensional surface.

Such a generalization applied to the results of the Sections 3 and 4 would enable us to encode the KP equation and other integrable equations in the SDYM equations (compare with Ward's hypothesis [5]). We intend to consider this question soon.
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