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The excited states of ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$ have been investigated via ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}(p, n \gamma){ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$ reaction with the proton beam energies from $2.7-4.3 \mathrm{MeV}$. The angular distributions have been used to assign the spins and the multipole mixing ratios using statistical theory for compound nuclear reactions. The ambiguity in the spin values for the $2181.3,2247.3$ and 2539.3 keV levels have been removed. The multipole mixing ratios eight $\gamma$-transitions have been newly measured. The lifetimes of the levels at 2539.3 and 2642.0 keV have been measured for the first time using Doppler shift attenuation method. The experimental results are compared with the existing theoretical models.

PACS numbers: $27.60 .+$ j

## 1. Introduction

The nucleus ${ }^{93}$ Mo with one neutron outside its closed shell of 50 neutrons is described as a relatively simple "Shell Model" nucleus. The level properties of this nucleus have been calculated by Auerbach and Talmi [1], Bhatt and Ball [2], Vervier [3], Chuu et al. [4] and Itaya [5] on the basis of shell model, and by Choudhury and Clemens [6] using the intermediate coupling model. Most of these calculations have predicted the existence and relative spacing of many of the observed low-lying levels reasonably well.

The excited levels of ${ }^{93}$ Mo have been studied experimentally via $\beta$ decay $[7,8]$ and nuclear reactions with the light ions $[9,10]$ and the heavyions $[11,12]$. Many more levels were observed in ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}(p, n)$ reaction time-offlight spectroscopy by Finckh and Jahnke [13] but the limited energy resolution in this experiment and also other experiments [10-12] made comparison with the previous work difficult. Rutledge et al. [14] and later Mitarai and Minehara [9] also investigated and constructed the level scheme of ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$ nucleus from the ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}(p, n \gamma)$ reaction but the spins and parities of many levels have not been established. The lifetimes of the low-lying levels in this nucleus have been measured by Gill and Jones [11] and Rutledge et al. [14] using Doppler Broadened Line Shape (DBLS) method.

The purpose of the present study was to provide an additional experimental information on the existing level structure [15] of ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$ and remove the ambiguities in the work reported earlier $[11,14,15]$ through $(p, n \gamma)$ reaction. In this work we have measured the lifetimes of the levels using Doppler Shift Attenuation (DSA) technique. The spin values and the multipole mixing ratios were extracted from the angular distributions of gamma-rays. The branching ratios for various transitions were extracted from the gamma-ray spectra recorded at $55^{\circ}$. Finally from the measured experimental values of lifetimes, spins and multipole mixing ratios for various transitions, the reduced transition probabilities $B(\mathrm{M} 1)$ and $B(\mathrm{E} 2)$ were extracted. The experimental level structure and the transition rates are compared with the predictions of the available shell model as well as with the intermediate coupling model calculations. Our results indicate that the low energy excitations are close to the single particle estimates while at higher energies the transitions are more collective in nature, indicating the possible shape coexistence at higher excitation.

## 2. Experimental procedure

A self-supporting $0.55 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ thick metal foil of natural spectroscopically pure ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}$ was bombarded with proton beam of $2.7-4.3 \mathrm{MeV}$ energy available from the Variable Energy Cyclotron at Panjab University, Chandigarh. The target was placed at an angle of $45^{\circ}$ with respect to the beam direction and was thick enough to stop incident protons. The angular distributions were measured at $0^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}, 55^{\circ}, 75^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$. The $\gamma$-rays were detected with a $70 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ coaxial HPGe detector with a resolution of 1.9 keV for the $1332 \mathrm{keV} \gamma$-ray of ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co}$. The detector was placed at a distance of 10 cm from the target and a graded filter consisting of $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Cu}$ and Al was placed infront of the detector to suppress the high flux of x-rays and very low energy gamma-rays. A $5^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{Tl})$ detector was placed at $-90^{\circ}$ to act as a monitor for the angular distribution measurements. The target with an electron suppresser acted as a faraday cup. The signals from HPGe detector were stored using a Multichannel Pulse-Height Analyser. Electronic drift in the amplifier gain, if any, was monitored using background photopeaks at $440,1461,1779.1$ and 2614.1 keV . At each angle a number of spectra were recorded and the drift in the gain was found to be negligible. The peak shifts are measured by first moment analysis to the significant figures which is important for DSAM technique. The excitation functions of various $\gamma$-rays have been measured at $55^{\circ}$ with respect to the beam direction at 2.7 , $3.0,3.5,4.0$ and 4.3 MeV beam energies to ascertain that the channel of the compound decay is dominant as compared to the Coulomb excitation at the incident proton energy of 4.3 MeV . The energies of the gamma-rays
were measured from the spectra recorded at $90^{\circ}$ to avoid any shift due to the Doppler effect.

## 3. Data analysis

The gamma ray spectra were analysed using the computer code PEAKFIT [16]. A typical gamma-ray spectrum at $90^{\circ}$ for incident proton energy of 4.3 MeV is shown in Fig. 1. The peaks corresponding to the background $\gamma$-rays in the spectrum are labelled as B , while unidentified peaks are la-


Fig. 1. A typical gamma-ray spectrum from the reaction ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Nb}(p, n \gamma){ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$ at $E_{p}=4.3 \mathrm{MeV}$ with the detector placed at $90^{\circ}$ to the beam direction.
belled as U . The remaining $\gamma$-rays were assigned to the de-excitation of the levels populated in the ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n} \gamma$ ), ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p} ' \gamma$ ) and ( $\mathrm{p}, \gamma$ ) reactions. The peaks due to Aluminium placed infront of the detector are marked as Al. The excitation functions of all observed gamma-rays were analysed carefully as a function of energy and those from ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n} \gamma$ ) reaction were easily identified with a char-
acterstic rise above their threshold energy. The level scheme for ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$ as established earlier $[9,14]$ is shown in Fig. 2. The gamma-ray energies and the branching ratios measured in the present work are given in Table I.

TABLE I
Summary of level energies, gamma-ray energies and branching ratios for the transitions in ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$ at $E_{p}=4.3 \mathrm{MeV}$

| S. No. | Level energy (keV) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gamma } \\ \text { ray } \\ (\mathrm{keV}) \end{gathered}$ | Branching ratios (percent) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Present work | Ref. [6] | Ref. [7] |
| 1 | 943.2 | $943.2 \pm 0.1$ | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2 | 1363.1 | $1363.1 \pm 0.1$ | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 3 | 1477.3 | $114.2 \pm 0.2$ | $0.9 \pm 0.01$ | $0.9 \pm 0.1$ | $0.7 \pm 0.1$ |
| 456 |  | $1477.3 \pm 0.1$ | $99.1 \pm 0.37$ | $99.1 \pm 2$ | $99.3 \pm 0.1$ |
|  | 1492.3 | $1492.3 \pm 0.2$ | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1520.3 | $1520.3 \pm 0.1$ | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1695.0 | $331.9 \pm 0.2$ | $9.9 \pm 1.04$ | $6.8 \pm 0.7$ | $6.9 \pm 0.7$ |
|  |  | $1695.0 \pm 0.2$ | $90.1 \pm 2.0$ | 82.2 |  |
| 7 | 2142.0 | $778.9 \pm 2.2$ | $15.3 \pm 0.2$ | $13.6 \pm 0.7$ | $14.7 \pm 3.4$ |
| 8 | 2161.9 | $2142.0 \pm 0.3$ | $84.7 \pm 3.9$ | $86.4 \pm 3.5$ | $85.3 \pm 3.4$ 100 |
| 9 | 2181.3 | $2181.3 \pm 0.4$ | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 10 | 2247.3 | $770.0 \pm 0.2$ | $96.9 \pm 1.2$ | $97.8 \pm 0.2$ | $96.7 \pm 0.5$ |
|  |  | $884.2 \pm 0.2$ | $3.1 \pm 0.4$ | $2.2 \pm 0.2$ | $3.3 \pm 0.5$ |
| 12 | 2304.4 | $827.1 \pm 0.2$ | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 2356.1 | $835.8 \pm 0.2$ | $51.2 \pm 2.1$ | $49.9 \pm 1.5$ | $47.6 \pm 2.5$ |
|  |  | $863.8 \pm 0.2$ | $15.6 \pm 1.7$ | $13.2 \pm 1.3$ | $15.1 \pm 2.1$ |
|  |  | $2356.1 \pm 0.2$ | $33.2 \pm 0.7$ | $36.9 \pm 1.5$ | $37.3 \pm 2.4$ |
| 13 | 2398.1 | $905.8 \pm 0.4$ | $14.9 \pm 2.7$ | $15.5 \pm 3.1$ |  |
| 14 |  | $2398.1 \pm 0.2$ | $85.1 \pm 4.1$ | $84.5 \pm 3.4$ | 100 |
|  | 2409.1 | $161.8 \pm 0.2$ | $4.8 \pm 0.3$ | $6.7 \pm 0.6$ | $3.7 \pm 0.5$ |
|  |  | 931.8 2409.1 | $42.0 \pm 2.3$ 53.2 | $34.2 \pm 1.0$ | $42.6 \pm 2.5$ |
| 15 | 2430.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2409.1 \\ & 268.1 \pm 0.2 \\ & \\ & 0.2\end{aligned}$ | $53.2 \pm 1.3$ | ${ }_{59.7}{ }_{100} \pm 2.9$ | $53.7 \pm 2.6$ 100 |
| 16 | 2431.0 | $1067.9 \pm 0.5$ | $2.7 \pm 0.9$ | $2.7 \pm 0.2$ | $2.5 \pm 0.4$ |
|  |  | $2431.0 \pm 0.2$ | $97.3 \pm 2.4$ | $97.3 \pm 2.1$ | $97.5 \pm 0.4$ |
| 17 | 2440.4 | $136.0 \pm 0.2$ | $0.2 \pm 0.04$ |  | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  | $278.5 \pm 0.2$ | $0.3 \pm 0.12$ |  | $0.8 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  | $963.1 \pm 0.2$ | $99.5 \pm 1.0$ | 100 | $98.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| 18 | 2440.6 | $920.3 \pm 0.2$ | $23.3 \pm 0.9$ | $4.0 \pm 0.2$ | $21.8 \pm 1.7$ |
|  |  | $1077.5 \pm 0.2$ | $76.7 \pm 1.4$ | $93.3 \pm 0.4$ | $78.2 \pm 1.7$ |
| 19 | 2450.2 | $145.8 \pm 0.2$ | $4.4 \pm 1.1$ | $5.9 \pm 0.5$ | $4.7 \pm 0.6$ |
|  |  | $202.9 \pm 0.2$ | $92.1 \pm 3.3$ | $94.1 \pm 4.0$ | $92.0 \pm 1.1$ |
| 20 | 2479.0 | 288.3 1001.7 | $3.5 \pm 0.3$ $38.5 \pm 4.2$ | $43.9 \pm 3.4$ | $3.3 \pm 0.3$ $35.8 \pm 2.6$ |
|  |  | $1115.9 \pm 0.2$ | $53.5 \pm 4.8$ | $40.2 \pm 1.4$ | $49.4 \pm 2.9$ |
|  |  | $2479.0 \pm 0.2$ | $7.9 \pm 1.2$ | $15.9 \pm 1.0$ | $14.7 \pm 1.9$ |
| 21 | 2534.5 | $287.2 \pm 0.5$ | $14.1 \pm 1.4$ | $12.8 \pm 0.9$ | $13.0 \pm 1.6$ |
|  |  | $1057.2 \pm 0.3$ | $53.4 \pm 4.5$ | $54.4 \pm 1.5$ | $54.6 \pm 4.9$ |
|  |  | $1171.4 \pm 0.5$ | $12.9 \pm 2.2$ | $12.0 \pm 0.5$ | $12.1 \pm 2.4$ |
|  |  | $2534.5 \pm 0.3$ | $19.6 \pm 1.2$ | $20.8 \pm 0.8$ | $20.3 \pm 1.0$ |
| 22 | 2539.3 | $1047.0 \pm 0.5$ | 100 |  |  |
| 23 | 2573.1 | $122.9 \pm 0.2$ | $76.5 \pm 1.2$ | $77.9 \pm 6.0$ | $75.6 \pm 1.9$ |
|  |  | $143.1 \pm 0.5$ | $0.4 \pm 0.11$ |  | $2.6 \pm 0.4$ |
|  |  | $411.2 \pm 0.3$ | $23.1 \pm 2.3$ | $22.1 \pm 1.5$ | $21.8 \pm 1.6$ |
| 24 | 2642.0 | $212.0 \pm 0.3$ | $29.2 \pm 2.9$ | $29.0 \pm 1.5$ | $27.5 \pm 1.9$ |
|  |  | $480.1 \pm 0.3$ | $70.8 \pm 21.9$ | $71.0 \pm 3.6$ | $72.5 \pm 1.9$ |
| 25 | 2667.9 | $420.6 \pm 0.2$ $506.0 \pm 0.2$ | $21.8 \pm 5.3$ $78.2 \pm 12.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.9 \pm 1.8 \\ & 73.1 \pm 3.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \pm 5 \\ & 75 \pm 5 \end{aligned}$ |



Fig. 2. Level scheme of ${ }^{93}$ Mo showing the excitation energy and high spin-parity values.

The mean lifetimes were determined using Doppler Shift Attenuation (DSA) method from the singles gamma-ray spectra obtained at various angles between $0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$. As the observed shifts were small because of the low recoil velocity, the drift in the gain of the electronics was continuously monitored with the background photopeaks at 440.0, 1461.0, 1779.1 and 2614.0 keV due to ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na},{ }^{40} \mathrm{~K},{ }^{203} \mathrm{Bi}$ and ${ }^{208} \mathrm{Tl}$, respectively. The plots of the centroids of the photopeaks at different angles versus $\operatorname{Cos} \theta$ for a few transitions are shown in Fig. 3. The straight line represents the least squar fit. The experimental values of the attenuation factors $\mathrm{F}(\tau)$ were calculated from


Fig. 3. Plots of photo peak centroid energy vs $\cos \theta$ for a few typical gamma-rays from ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$ observed in the present work.
the slope of the straight line. The values of theoretical $\mathrm{F}(\tau)$ were obtained using Lindhard, Scharff and Schiott theory [17] for stopping power alongwith the Blaugrund correction [18] for atomic scattering. The details of the DSAM analysis are given in our earlier publications [19,20]. The values of
the measured lifetimes of various levels are given in Table II alongwith their respective experimental $F(\tau)$ values. The mean $F(\tau)$ denotes the average of the $F(\tau)$ values observed for the various transitions from the same level. In the last two columns of this table the values of the lifetimes measured by Rutledge et al. [14] are also reported.

TABLE II
Summary of lifetimes and $F(\tau)$ for the excited states in ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$.

| Level energy (keV) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \gamma \text {-Ray } \\ (\mathrm{keV}) \end{gathered}$ | Present experimental $F(\tau)$ |  | Lifetime (fs.) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $F(\tau)$ | Mean $F(\tau)$ |  | Rutledge Ref.[14] <br> $E_{p}=4.6$ <br> (MeV) | Rutledge Ref.[14] $E_{p}=3$. $(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| 943.2 | 943.2 | < 0.03 | < 0.03 | $>1000$ | $>1150$ | $>610$ |
| 1363.1 | 1363.1 | $0.305 \pm 0.030$ | $0.305 \pm 0.030$ | $120_{-14}^{+18}$ | $260_{-65}^{+110}$ | $152_{-8}^{+12}$ |
| 1477.3 | 1477.3 | $0.025 \pm 0.005$ | $0.025 \pm 0.005$ | $950_{-200}^{+150}$ | > 550 | $1100_{-380}^{+900}$ |
| 1492.3 | 1492.3 | $0.712 \pm 0.141$ | $0.712 \pm 0.141$ | $25_{-14}^{+18}$ | $22_{-4}^{+5}$ | $20 \pm 3$ |
| 1520.3 | 1520.3 | $<0.02$ | < 0.02 | > 1200 | > 930 | $1450{ }_{-400}^{+900}$ |
| 1695.0 | 331.9 | $0.338 \pm 0.020$ | $0.335 \pm 0.025$ | $106_{-8}^{+9}$ | $80_{-10}^{+15}$ | $105_{-10}^{+45}$ |
|  | 1695.0 | $0.332 \pm 0.030$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2142.0 | 778.9 | $0.185 \pm 0.040$ | $0.187 \pm 0.05$ | $220{ }_{-48}^{+79}$ | $175{ }_{-35}^{+110}$ | - |
|  | 2142.0 684.6 | $0.189 \pm 0.060$ $<0.03$ | < 0.03 | > 1000 | > 800 | > 2300 |
| 2181.3 | 2181.3 | $0.506 \pm 0.1$ | $0.506 \pm 0.1$ | $55_{-17}^{+25}$ | $53_{-15}^{+21}$ |  |
| 2247.3 | 770.0 | $0.116 \pm 0.035$ | $0.116 \pm 0.035$ | $380_{-97}^{+180}$ | $380_{-105}^{+1210}$ | $405_{-85}^{+130}$ |
| 2304.4 | 827.1 | $0.097 \pm 0.01$ | $0.097 \pm 0.01$ | $460_{-45}^{+60}$ | $470_{-100}^{+190}$ | $460_{-102}^{+180}$ |
| 2356.1 | 835.8 | $0.095 \pm 0.011$ | $0.093 \pm 0.015$ | $480_{-27}^{+96}$ | $465_{-120}^{+185}$ | - |
|  | 863.8 | $0.091 \pm 0.019$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2356.1 905.8 | $0.094 \pm 0.015$ $0.702 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2398.1 | $0.704 \pm 0.05$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2409.1 | $161.8$ | $0.065 \pm 0.020$ | $0.066 \pm 0.015$ | $690{ }_{-75}^{+106}$ | $680_{-90}^{+140}$ | - |
|  | 931.8 | $0.064 \pm 0.015$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2430.0 | 2409.1 268.1 | $\begin{gathered} 0.068 \pm 0.011 \\ <0.025 \end{gathered}$ | $<0.025$ | > 1200 |  | - |
| 2431.0 | 2431.0 | $0.23 \pm 0.042$ | $0.23 \pm 0.042$ | $170_{-30}^{+50}$ | $175_{-20}^{+25}$ | - |
| 2440.4 | 963.1 | $0.113 \pm 0.023$ | $0.113 \pm 0.023$ | $390_{-72}^{+110}$ | $\stackrel{380}{\substack{-140}}$ | - |
| 2440.6 | 920.3 | $<0.025$ | < 0.025 | > 1200 | $>595$ | - |
| 2450.2 | 145.8 | < 0.025 | $<0.025$ | > 1200 | - | - |
|  | 202.9 | < 0.025 |  |  |  |  |
| 2479.0 | 1001.7 | $0.497 \pm 0.046$ | $0.501 \pm 0.053$ | $56_{-6}^{+9}$ | $49_{-5}^{+6}$ | - |
|  | 1115.9 2479 | $0.502 \pm 0.044$ $0.504 \pm 0.068$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2534.5 | 2479.0 287.2 | $0.504 \pm 0.068$ $0.35 \pm 0.070$ | $0.355 \pm 0.046$ | $97_{-10}^{+14}$ | $100_{-6}^{+15}$ | - |
|  | 1057.2 | $0.34 \pm 0.033$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1171.4 | $0.37 \pm 0.040$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2534.5 | $0.36 \pm 0.039$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2539.3 | 1047.0 122.9 | $0.38 \pm 0.03$ $<0.025$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.38 \pm 0.03 \\ <0.025 \end{gathered}$ | $88_{-10}^{+12}$ $>1200$ | $>260$ | - |
| 2642.0 | 480.1 | $0.148 \pm 0.02$ | $0.148 \pm 0.02$ | $290_{-40}^{+50}$ | > 260 | - |
| 2667.9 | 420.6 | <0.03 | < 0.03 | > 1000 | > 425 | - |



Fig. 4. The angular distributions (a) and $\chi^{2}$ fit (a') for 778.9 keV transition. Similarly (b,b') for 2181.3 keV transition, (c, c') for 770.0 keV transition and (d, d') for the 835.8 keV transition in ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$.

The angular distribution data was used to extract the experimental values of the $A_{2}$ and $A_{4}$ coefficients by the least-square fit for the expression

$$
W(\theta)=1+A_{2} Q_{2} P_{2}(\cos \theta)+A_{4} Q_{4} P_{4}(\cos \theta)
$$

where $Q_{2}$ and $Q_{4}$ are the attenuation factors due to the finite solid angle subtended by the detector. The $A_{2}$ and $A_{4}$ coefficients were generated theoretically using the computer code CINDY [21] based on the Hauser-Feshbach theory of compound nucleus. The method of analysis of angular distribution data has been described earlier [20]. Figures 4 and 5 show the experimental angular distributions for some of the observed thransitions alongwith theoretical curves for different assumed spins of the decaying states and the respective $\chi^{2}$ fits as a function of the multipole mixing ratios $(\delta)$. The $0.1 \%$ confidence limit was used to exclude the unacceptable spin and delta values. The experimental values of the $A_{2}$ and $A_{4}$ coefficients alongwith multipole mixing ratios are given in Table III.
TABLE III
Summary of angular distribution measurements and multipole mixing ratios ( $\delta$ ) for gamma-rays observed in ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}(p, n \gamma)$ reaction at $E_{p}=4.3 \mathrm{MeV}$.

| Transitions | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text {-Rays } \\ (\mathrm{keV}) \end{gathered}$ | $J_{i}^{\pi} \rightarrow J_{f}^{\pi}$ | $A_{2}$ | $A_{4}$ | Multipole mixing ratios |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Present work | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ref. } \\ & {[14]} \end{aligned}$ | Ref. <br> [15] |
| $1363.1 \rightarrow 0$ | 1363.1 | $\frac{7}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^{+}$ | -0.03(1) | -0.01(1) | $0.5_{-0.7}^{+0.9}$ | M1 | 0.48(7) |
| $1477.3 \rightarrow 1363.1$ | 114.2 | $\frac{9}{2}+{ }^{+} \frac{7}{2}^{+}$ | 0.02(2) | 0.01(2) | $0.05_{-0.02}^{+0.03}$ | M1 | < 1.3 |
| $1477.3 \rightarrow 0$ | 1477.3 | $\frac{9}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^{+}$ | 0.25(1) | -0.04(1) | E2 | E2 | E2 |
| $1492.3 \rightarrow 0$ | 1492.3 | $\frac{3}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^{+}$ | 0.06(1) | 0.04(2) | M1 | M1 | M1 |
| $1520.3 \rightarrow 0$ | 1520.3 | $\frac{7}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^{+}$ | 0.34(5) | 0.03(5) | $1.2_{-0.3}^{+0.5}$ | M1 | 1.3(6) |
| $1695.0 \rightarrow 1363.1$ | 331.9 | $\frac{5}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{7}{2}^{+}$ | $0.05(20)$ | 0.04(2) | M1 | M1 | M1 |
| $1695.0 \rightarrow 0$ | 1695.0 | $\frac{5}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^{+}$ | 0.08(4) | 0.00(5) | M1 | M1 | M1 |
| $2142.0 \rightarrow 1363.1$ | 778.9 | $\frac{5}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{7}{2}^{+}$ | 0.07(2) | 0.05(3) | $\begin{aligned} & -9.7 \pm 0.2 \\ & -0.04_{-0.02}^{+0.01} \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| $2161.9 \rightarrow 1477.3$ | 684.6 | $\frac{13}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{9}{2}^{+}$ | -0.32(1) | 0.05(1) | $-0.15_{-0.02}^{+0.04}$ | $-0.11_{-0.01}^{+0.03}$ | - |
| $2181.3 \rightarrow 0$ | 2181.3 | $\frac{3}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^{+}$ | 0.04(1) | 0.05(1) | M1 | M1 | M1 |
| $2247.3 \rightarrow 1477.3$ | 770.0 | $\frac{11}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{9}{2}^{+}$ | -0.34(3) | 0.08(4) | $-0.1_{-0.03}^{+0.02}$ | - | - |
| $2304.4 \rightarrow 1477.3$ | 827.1 | $\frac{11}{2}^{-} \rightarrow \frac{9}{2}^{+}$ | -0.02(0.3) | -0.00(0.3) | $-0.2_{-0.17}^{+0.12}$ | $-0.36_{-0.19}^{+0.15}$ | - |


| TABLE III (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| $2356.1 \rightarrow 1520.3$ | 835.8 | $\frac{5}{2}^{-} \rightarrow \frac{7}{2}^{+}$ | 0.12(2) | 0.01(2) | $0.05_{-0.03}^{+0.02}$ | - |  |
| $2398.1 \rightarrow 1492.3$ | 905.8 | $\frac{5}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^{+}$ | 0.03(0.3) | 0.00(0.4) | M1 | M1 | - |
| $2398.1 \rightarrow 0$ | 2398.1 | $\frac{5}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^{+}$ | 0.06(3) | 0.01(3) | M1 | M1 | - |
| $2409.1 \rightarrow 2247.3$ | 161.8 | $\frac{9}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{11}{2}^{+}$ | 0.02(2) | 0.01(2) | M1 | M1 | - |
| $2409.1 \rightarrow 1477.3$ | 931.8 | $\frac{9}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{9}{2}^{+}$ | 0.08(4) | 0.01(4) | M1 | M1 | - |
| $2409.1 \rightarrow 0$ | 2409.1 | $\frac{9}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^{+}$ | 0.24(1) | -0.03(1) | E2 | E2 | E2 |
| $2430.0 \rightarrow 2161.9$ | 268.1 | $\frac{17}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{13}{2}^{+}$ | 0.19(1) | -0.00(1) | E2 | E2 | E2 |
| $2431.0 \rightarrow 1363.1$ | 1067.9 | ${ }_{\frac{7}{2}}{ }^{-} \rightarrow \frac{7}{2}^{+}$ | -0.04(1) | 0.01(1) | $\begin{gathered} -0.03 \pm 0.01 \\ 1.2 \pm 0.01 \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| $2431.0 \rightarrow 0$ | 2431.0 | $\frac{7}{2}^{-} \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^{+}$ | -0.06(1) | 0.00(2) | $6.55_{-0.11}^{+0.14}$ | E2 | - |
| $2440.6 \rightarrow 1363.1$ | 1077.5 | $\frac{9}{2}^{-} \rightarrow \frac{7}{2}^{+}$ | -0.02(1) | 0.01(1) | $\begin{aligned} -9.7 & \pm 0.12 \\ 0.05 & \pm 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| $2450.2 \rightarrow 2247.3$ | 202.9 | $\frac{13}{2}^{-} \rightarrow \frac{11}{2}^{+}$ | -0.04(2) | 0.00(2) | E1 | - | E1 |
| $2479.0 \rightarrow 1363.1$ | 1115.9 | $\frac{7}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{7}{2}^{+}$ | 0.02(2) | 0.02(2) | $\begin{gathered} -0.04 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.98 \pm 0.11 \end{gathered}$ | - |  |
| $2534.5 \rightarrow 1477.3$ | 1057.2 | $\frac{9}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{9}{2}^{+}$ | 0.04(2) | 0.01(3) | M1 | M1 | - |
| $2539.3 \rightarrow 1492.3$ | 1047.0 | $\frac{3}{2}^{-} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^{+}$ | 0.24(3) | 0.01(4) | $1.288_{-0.14}^{+0.15}$ | - | - |
| $2642.0 \rightarrow 2430.0$ | 212.0 | $\frac{15}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{17}{2}^{+}$ | 0.04(1) | 0.03(1) | M1 | $0.00 \pm 0.05$ | M1 |
| $2642.0 \rightarrow 2161.9$ | 480.1 | $\frac{15}{2}^{+} \rightarrow \frac{13}{2}^{+}$ | -0.21(4) | 0.05(5) | $0.05 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.02 \pm 0.05$ | - |



Fig. 5. The angular distributions (a) and $\chi^{2}$ fit (a') for 1067.9 keV transition. Similarly (b,b') for 1077.5 keV transition, (c, c') for 1115.9 keV transition and (d,d') for the 1047.0 keV transition in ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$.

## 4. Results and discussion

The excitation energies of the various levels in ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$ were compared with the values available in the literature $[9,14,15]$. The level energies measured in the present work are in general agreement with the earlier measurements. The weak level at 2539.3 keV not reported earlier $[9,14]$ in $(p, n \gamma)$ reaction but reported in electron capture $\gamma$-decay of ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Tc}$ was seen in the present work at 4.0 and 4.3 MeV incident proton energy. The branching ratios for various transitions are compared with the reported values $[9,14]$ and the overall agreement is found to be good. As is evident from Table II, our results for the lifetimes of most of the levels are in good agreement with previous results [14] except for the levels at 1363.1, 2440.6, 2573.1, 2642.0 and 2667.9 keV . This may be attributed due to the poor resolution and the
efficiency of the $\mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{Li})$ detector used by Rutledge et al. [14]. We were able to measure the lifetimes of the levels at 2539.3 keV and 2642.0 keV as $88_{-10}^{+12} \mathrm{fs}$ and $290_{-40}^{+50} \mathrm{fs}$, respectively for the first time. Lower limits on the lifetimes of 2430.0 keV and 2450.2 keV levels have also been obtained. The spin velues for most of the levels are consistant with the previous results [15]. The ambiguity in the spin values of the levels at 2181.3, 2247.3, 2356.1 and 2539.3 keV have been removed in the present measurements.

### 4.1. The 2142.0 keV level

This level has been reported to decay via two $\gamma$-rays i.e. one to the ground state and other to the 1363.1 keV state with branching ratios [14] of $86.4 \%$ and $13.6 \%$, respectively. Our experimental values of the respective branching ratios are $84.7 \%$ and $15.3 \%$ consistent with the earlier values within experimental errors. The angular distribution of 778.9 keV gammaray from this level confirms the spin of this level as $5 / 2^{+}$in Fig. 4(a). Rutledge et al. were unable to report mixing ratio for the 778.9 keV transition to the 1363.1 keV state. As evident from the $\chi^{2}$ fit in Fig. 4(a'), the mixing ratio for this transition is -0.04 . This low value of mixing ratio indicates that this transition decays predominately by M1 transition. The lifetime of this level was found to be $220_{-48}^{+79}$ fs.

### 4.2. The 2181.3 keV level

From the angular distributions of the 2181.3 keV gamma-ray from this state to the ground state we have assigned $3 / 2^{+}$as the probable spin for this level (Fig. 4(b) and (b')) while Mitarai and Minehara [9] have reported $1 / 2^{+}, 3 / 2^{+}$as two probable spins for this level.

### 4.3. The 2247.3 keV level

This level has been reported [9] to decay via two branches to the 1363.1 keV and 1477.3 keV states with branching ratios as $3.3 \%$ and $96.7 \%$, respectively. We have measured the respective branching ratios as $3.1 \%$ and $96.9 \%$. Mitarai and Minehara [9] have assigned $9 / 2^{+}, 11 / 2^{+}$as the probable spins for this level by analysing the neutron yield excitation functions in the vicinity of the isobaric analogue resonances of odd-odd parent ${ }^{94} \mathrm{Nb}$ nucleus. Rutledge et al. [14] have also reported the $9 / 2^{+}$and $11 / 2^{+}$spins for this level. The angular distributions of the 770 keV transition de-exciting the 2247.3 keV level to $1477.3 \mathrm{keV}\left(9 / 2^{+}\right)$level in Fig. 4(c) suggest $11 / 2^{+}$spin to this level with mixing ratio of -0.1 in Fig. $4\left(c^{\prime}\right)$. The lifetime measured for this level by us is in agreement with the value available in literature [14].

### 4.4. The 2356.1 keV level

This level was reported to have three branches one to the ground state and other two to the 1492.3 keV and 1520.3 keV states. In the present experiment the respective branching ratios are measured as $33.2 \%, 15.2 \%$ and $51.2 \%$ which are in good agreement with the values reported earlier [9,14]. Rutledge et al. [14] have assigned $3 / 2^{+}, 5 / 2^{+}, 7 / 2^{+}$spins for this level. As evident from the Fig. 4(d) the angular distributions of the 835.8 keV transition de-exciting 2356.1 keV level to $1520.3 \mathrm{keV}\left(7 / 2^{+}\right)$level, assigns spin as $5 / 2^{-}$to this level. This assignment is in good agreement with Mitarai and Minehara assignment as compared to Rutledge et al. [14]. The mixing ratios of 0.05 in Fig. 4(d') indicates the transition to be purely E1 with very small mixing, if any, of M2 component.

### 4.5. The 2431.0 keV level

This level was reported to have two branches, one to the ground state and othe to the 1363.1 keV state with branching ratios of $97.3 \%$ and $2.7 \%$, respectively [14]. Our experimental values of branching ratios are also the same. The angular distribution of 1067.9 keV transition de-exciting this level to the 1363.1 keV level suggests $7 / 2^{-}$as the spin for this level in Fig. 5(a) with multipole mixing ratio as 1.2 Fig. $5\left(\mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right)$.

### 4.6. The 2440.6 keV level

This level decays to 1363.1 and 1520.3 keV levels via 1077.5 and 920.3 $\mathrm{keV} \gamma$-rays. The branching ratios measured in this experiment are $76.7 \%$ and $23.3 \%$, respectively. These values are in good agreement to the values reported by Mitarai and Minehara [9]. As in evedent from Fig. 5(b), the angular distributions of 1077.5 keV transition propose the spin value as $9 / 2^{-}$ to this level with mixing ratio of 0.05 as shown in Fig. $5\left(\mathrm{~b}^{\prime}\right)$ indicating it to be pure M1 transition.

### 4.7. The 2479.0 keV level

This level is reported to have three branches, one to the ground state and others to the 1363.1 keV and 1477.3 keV states with branching ratios of $14.7 \%, 49.4 \%$ and $35.8 \%$, respectively. In our experiment the respective branching ratios are found as $7.9 \%, 53.5 \%$ and $38.5 \%$. Rutledge et al. were not able to assign any spin to this level. The angular distributions of 1115.9 keV transition in Fig. 5(c) assign the $7 / 2^{-}$spin value to this level with 0.98 or -0.04 as a mixing ratio Fig. 5(c'). The measured lifetime for this level is $56_{-6}^{+9} \mathrm{fs}$ which is in good agreement with the value $49_{-5}^{+6}$ fs reported by Rutledge et al. [14].

### 4.8. The 2539.3 keV level

This level was seen only in 43.5 min electron capture decay of ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Tc}$ by Podkopaev et al. [22], but was not reported in (p,n $\gamma$ ) work [9,14]. However in the present experiment at 4.0 and 4.3 MeV proton energy we could clearly see the $1047.0 \mathrm{keV} \gamma$-ray de-exciting this level to 1492.3 keV level. The angular distributions of $1047.0 \mathrm{keV} \gamma$-ray assign $3 / 2^{-}$as possible spin to this level Figs. 5(d) and ( $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ ) with mixing ratio of $1.28(15)$. The spin assignment is in good agreement with the assigned values $(1 / 2,3 / 2)^{-}$in literature [22]. The lifetime of this level deduced from the present data is $88_{-10}^{+12}$ fs Fig. 3(c).

### 4.9. The 2642.0 keV level

This level decays to 2161.9 and 2430.0 keV levels via the 480.1 and 212.0 $\mathrm{keV} \gamma$-rays with branching ratio of $70.8 \%$ and $29.2 \%$, respectively. The branching ratios measured and the spin assignment of this level are in good agreement with the values quoted in the literature $[9,14]$. We could measure a unique value for the lifetime for this level as $290_{-40}^{+50} \mathrm{fs}$ while Rutledge et al. [14] could place only a lower limit of 260 fs .

## 5. Summary

The purpose of the present study was to provide additional experimental information on the existing level structure of ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$ through $(p, n \gamma)$ reaction. We have measured the $\gamma$-ray energies, branching ratios, lifetimes of the excited levels and multipole mixing ratios of various transitions in ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$. We have also deduced the reduced transition probabilities i.e. $B(\mathrm{E} 2)$ and $B(\mathrm{M} 1)$ values for some of the transitions observed in the present experiment.

The level structure of ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$ has been predicted on the basis of the shell model calculations by Bhatt and Ball [2] and Vervier [3] using the $\left(\pi g_{9 / 2}^{2} ; \nu d_{5 / 2}\right)$ configuration. Auerbach and Talmi [1] also made shell model calculations considering the $\left(\pi p_{1 / 2}^{2}, \pi g_{9 / 2}^{2} ; \nu d_{5 / 2}\right)$ and $\left(\pi g_{9 / 2}^{4} ; \nu d_{5 / 2}\right)$ configurations. All these calculations failed to reproduce the experimental level structure in the energy range $2-3 \mathrm{MeV}$. Chuu et al. [4] used the configuration $\left(2 p_{1 / 2}^{2}, 1 g_{9 / 2}\right)$ for four protons and $\left(2 d_{5 / 2}, 3 s_{1 / 2}, 2 d_{3 / 2}, 1 g_{7 / 2}\right)$ for an active neutron outside the ${ }^{88} \mathrm{Sr}$ core. This model predicts reasonable agreement with the observed energy levels in the low-energy region but fails to reproduce the experimental observations in the energy range $2-3 \mathrm{MeV}$. The calculations of Kumar et al. [23] based on weak coupling approximation within the frame work of nuclear shell theory and exact shell model calculations in a model space consisting of $2 p_{1 / 2}, 1 g_{9 / 2}$ proton orbits and $2 d_{5 / 2}, 3 s_{1 / 2}$ neutron orbits outside the ${ }^{88} \mathrm{Sr}$ core, are in reasonable good agreement with the experimental observations. The calculations of Choudhury and Clemens [6]

TABLE IV

Summary of reduced transition probabilities B(E2) and B(M1) values for a few transitions in ${ }^{93} \mathrm{Mo}$ calculated with the predicted $\delta$-values.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Level } \\ & (\mathrm{keV}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \gamma-\mathrm{ray} \\ (\mathrm{keV}) \end{gathered}$ | Multipole <br> mixing <br> ratios | Reduced transition probabilities (w.u.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Present work |  | Ref. [14] |  |
|  |  |  | $B(\mathrm{E} 2)$ | $\begin{gathered} B(\mathrm{M} 1) \\ \times 10^{-3} \end{gathered}$ | $B$ (E2) | $B(\mathrm{M} 1)$ $\times 10^{-3}$ |
| 943.2 | 943.2 | E2 | $21.8 \pm 10.9$ | - | $<38$ | - |
| 1363.1 | 1363.1 | $0.5 \pm 0.8$ | $10.8 \pm 3$ | $85 \pm 12$ | - | $83 \pm 5$ |
| 1477.3 | 114.2 | $0.05_{-0.02}^{+0.03}$ | - | $150 \pm 25$ | - | $170 \pm 85$ |
|  | 1477.3 | E2 | $4.6 \pm 3.5$ | - | $4.2 \pm 2.0$ | - |
| 1492.3 | 1492.3 | M1 | - | $380_{-10}^{+70}$ | - | $480 \pm 70$ |
| 1520.3 | 1520.3 | $1.2_{-0.3}^{+0.5}$ | $1.2 \pm 0.7$ | $1.7 \pm 1.2$ | - | $6.3 \pm 2.4$ |
| 1695.0 | 331.9 | M1 | - | $810 \pm 110$ | - | $590 \pm 85$ |
|  | 1695.0 | M1 | - | $56 \pm 5$ | - | $59 \pm 7$ |
| 2142.0 | 778.9 | $-0.04_{-0.02}^{+0.01}$ | $0.13 \pm 0.2$ | $83 \pm 27$ | - | - |
| 2161.9 | 684.6 | $-0.15_{-0.02}^{+0.04}$ | $2.4 \pm 1.5$ | $48 \pm 24$ | $<93$ | - |
| 2181.3 | 2181.3 | M1 | - | $56 \pm 21$ | - | $60 \pm 20$ |
| 2247.3 | 770.0 | $-0.1_{-0.03}^{+0.02}$ | $3.0 \pm 1.9$ | $180_{-40}^{+80}$ | $10 \pm 5$ | $160 \pm 40$ |
| 2304.4 | 827.1 | $-0.2_{-0.17}^{+0.12}$ | $7.0_{-4.8}^{+1.7}$ | $1.3 \pm 0.1$ | - | $1.5 \pm 0.3$ |
| 2356.1 | 835.8 | $0.05_{-0.03}^{+0.05}$ | $84.33_{-8.6}^{+11.1}$ | $0.56 \pm 0.06$ | - | - |
| 2398.1 | 905.8 | M1 | - | $980 \pm 320$ | - | $220 \pm 55$ |
|  | 2398.1 | M1 | - | $36 \pm 9$ | - | $65 \pm 11$ |
| 2409.1 | 161.8 | M1 | - | $580 \pm 35$ | - | $630 \pm 115$ |
|  | 931.8 | M1 | - | $33 \pm 5$ | - | $20 \pm 3$ |
|  | 2409.1 | E2 | $0.23 \pm 0.03$ | - | $0.35 \pm 0.06$ | - |
| 2430.0 | 268.1 | E2 | $6.4 \pm 0.33$ | - | - | - |
| 2431.0 | 1067.9 | $1.2 \pm 0.01$ | $12.9 \pm 3.5$ | $0.28 \pm 0.1$ | - | - |
|  | 2431.0 | $6.5{ }_{-0.11}^{+0.14}$ | $2.0 \pm 0.5$ | $0.27 \pm 0.06$ | $2.2 \pm 0.03$ | - |
| 2440.6 | 1077.5 | ${ }_{-9.7}{ }_{-0.13}^{+0.12}$ | $5.7_{-1.9}^{+0.6}$ | $0.046_{-0.002}^{+0.005}$ | - | - |
| 2450.2 | 202.9 | E1 | - | $0.037 \pm 0.002$ | - | - |
| 2479.0 | 1115.9 | $-0.04 \pm 0.04$ | - | $200 \pm 30$ | - | - |
| 2534.5 | 1057.2 | M1 | - | $150 \pm 20$ | - | $150 \pm 15$ |
| 2539.3 | 1047.0 | $1.28_{-0.14}^{+0.15}$ | $45.14_{-10.5}^{+17.1}$ | $29_{-8}^{+13}$ | - | - |
| 2642.0 | 212.0 | M1 | - | $910 \pm 355$ | - | - |
|  | 480.1 | $0.05 \pm 0.07$ | $10.1 \pm 2.8$ | $910 \pm 260$ | - | - |

within the frame work of intermediate coupling model are in better agreement with our results up to 2 MeV excitation. However, in the excitation range of $2-3 \mathrm{MeV}$ our results seem to be in better agreement with Kumar et al. [23] calculations.

The Table IV shows the reduced transition probabilities $B(E 2)$ and $B(\mathrm{M} 1)$ for the transitions observed in the present work along with the results of Rutledge et al. [14]. From these results, it is evident that the states at 2356.1 and 2539.3 keV are collective in nature while $943.2,1363.1,2431.0$ and 2642.0 keV states have mixed structure and the rest of the states have single particle character.
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