CONDUCTANCE OF A MOLECULAR WIRE ATTACHED TO MESOSCOPIC LEADS: CONTACT EFFECTS*

G. CUNIBERTI, G. FAGAS AND K. RICHTER

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme Nöthnitzer Straße 38, D–01187 Dresden, Germany

(Received November 14, 2000)

We study linear electron transport through a molecular wire sandwiched between nanotube leads. We show that the presence of such electrodes strongly influences the calculated conductance. We find that depending on the quality and geometry of the contacts between the molecule and the tubular reservoirs, linear transport can be tuned between an effective Newns spectral behavior and a more structured one. The latter strongly depends on the topology of the leads. We also provide analytical evidence for an anomalous behavior of the conductance as a function of the contact strength.

PACS numbers: 73.50.-h, 73.61.Wp, 85.65.+h

The success of semiconductor industry is evidently represented by the empirical exponential "law" for the transistors density as a function of time (known as Moore's law [1]). Its extrapolation would predict an atomic size gate length in less than two decades. The length domain in between is manifestly quantum mechanically dominated: here conventional methods adopted to characterize electronic devices [2] have to be updated to more sophisticated ones, including a microscopic treatment of electronics at the molecular scale [3].

The interest in the basic mechanisms of conduction across molecular junctions bridging metallic pads, already object of scanning tunneling microscope oriented research, has been intensified by recent experimental achievements. For example, I–V characteristics of a benzene-1,4-dithiol ring [4], and

^{*} Presented at the XXIV International School of Theoretical Physics "Transport Phenomena from Quantum to Classical Regimes", Ustroń, Poland, September 25– October 1, 2000.

a poly(G)-poly(C) 30 base pair long double stranded DNA [5] have been reported. In a parallel development the use of networks of carbon nanotubes (CNT) has been the focus of intense experimental and theoretical activity as another promising direction for building blocks of molecular circuits [6]. CNT are known to exhibit a wealth of novel properties depending on their nano-metrical diameter, orientation of graphene roll up, which is conventionally characterized by means of the chiral couple (n, m), and whether they consist of a single (single-wall) or many (multi-wall) cylindrical surfaces [7]. Recent experiments also confirm the possibility of producing heterojunctions made of nanotubes [8]. When such junctions involve different materials [9], the characterization of contacts becomes a fundamental issue. This could be the case when CNT are attached to a single molecule or a molecular cluster with a privileged direction along the current flow, namely a molecular wire. CNT have also been recently employed in similar configurations for enhancing the resolution of scanning probe tips [10]. In such systems the traditional picture of electron transfer between continuum state donor and acceptor species has to be reconsidered in view of the fact that the electrodes are mesoscopic and the molecule can bear an electric current [11].

In this paper we drop the conventional assumption of a continuum of (free or quasi-free) lead states. This is a reasonable assumption for mimicking the presence of large reservoirs provided by bulky electrodes, but it may be inadequate when the lead lateral dimensions are of the order of the bridged molecule. This is indeed the case for CNT.

In what follows, we assume a homogeneous tight binding chain as a model molecular wire to isolate contact effects. Electrodes are taken as either square lattice tubes (SLT), obtained by imposing periodic boundary conditions on the longitudinal cuts parallel to the lattice bonds, or CNT. The latter consist of a rolled stripe of a graphene honeycomb lattice, also parallel to the lattice bonds. This configuration generates armchair singlewall CNT, (ℓ, ℓ) . The consideration of SLT delivers additional analytical insight to the numerically studied model of CNT [12].

The electronic Hamiltonian $H=H_{\rm tubes}+H_{\rm wire}+H_{\rm coupling}$ of the system reads

$$H = \sum_{\alpha = L, R, wire} \sum_{n_{\alpha}, n'_{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}}{2} \delta_{n_{\alpha}, n'_{\alpha}} - \gamma_{\langle n_{\alpha}, n'_{\alpha} \rangle}^{\alpha} \right) \left| n_{\alpha} \right\rangle \left\langle n'_{\alpha} \right|$$
(1)
$$- \sum_{m_{L} \leq M_{c}} \Gamma \left| m_{L} \right\rangle \left\langle n_{wire} = 1 \right| - \sum_{m_{R} \leq M_{c}} \Gamma \left| m_{R} \right\rangle \left\langle n_{wire} = N \right| + h.c.$$

Here, $\gamma^{L,R}$, γ^{wire} , and Γ are nearest neighbour hopping terms between atoms of the left (L) or right (R) leads, molecular bridge, and the bridge/lead interface, respectively; ε^{wire} is the on-site or orbital energy of each of the

 $n_{\text{wire}} = 1, \ldots, N$ chain-atoms relative to that of the leads, $\varepsilon^{\text{L,R}}$. Note that $n_{\text{L,R}}$ is a two-dimensional coordinate spanning the tube lattice. Summations over m_{L} and m_{R} run over interfacial end-atoms of the leads. In general, there are M such atomic positions depending on the perimeter of the tubes, whereas, the number of hybridization contacts ranges from a single contact (SC) $M_{\text{c}} = 1$, to multiple contacts (MC) $M_{\text{c}} = M$.

In order to derive transport properties, we make use of the Landauer theory [13] which relates the conductance of the system to an independentelectron scattering problem [14]. The electron wavefunction is assumed to extend coherently across the device and the two-terminal, linear-response conductance g at zero temperature is simply proportional to the total transmittance for injected electrons at the Fermi energy $E_{\rm F}$. That is, $g = (2e^2/h)T(E_{\rm F})$, where $T = \sum_{j_{\rm LJR}} |S_{j_{\rm L}J_{\rm R}}|^2$ is a sum over scattering matrix elements labelled by open channels in the leads $j_{\rm L,R}$. The factor two accounts for spin degeneracy. The transmission function can be calculated from the knowledge of the molecular energy levels, the nature, and the geometry of the contacts. It can be obtained by solving the Lippman–Schwinger equation for H and can be written in the following convenient form [11, 15],

$$T(E) = 4\Delta_1^{\rm L}(E)\Delta_N^{\rm R}(E) |G_{1N}(E)|^2 , \qquad (2)$$

where G_{1N} is the Green function element connecting the two ends of the N-atom-molecule and $\Delta^{L(R)}$ is the left (right) lead spectral density. The latter is related to the semi-infinite lead Green function matrix G_{lead} and is minus the imaginary part of the self-energy

$$\Sigma_{n_{\text{wire}}}^{\alpha=\text{L,R}} = \sum_{n_{\alpha},n_{\alpha}'} \langle n_{\text{wire}} | H | n_{\alpha} \rangle \, G_{\text{lead}} \left(n_{\alpha}, n_{\alpha}' \right) \left\langle n_{\alpha}' | H | n_{\text{wire}} \right\rangle \,. \tag{3}$$

The calculation of the spectral function $\Sigma_{n_{\text{wire}}}^{\text{L,R}}$ simplifies due to the form of the interfacial coupling in our model. Assuming identical electrodes, lead-indices are dropped. Hence, the self-energy becomes

$$\Sigma = rac{\Gamma_{ ext{eff}}^2}{M_{ ext{c}}} \sum_{m,m' \leq M_{ ext{c}}} G_{ ext{lead}} \left(m,m'
ight) \,,$$

where only surface terms enter in the sum over the states in the leads and the effective coupling is defined as $\Gamma_{\text{eff}} = \Gamma \sqrt{M_{\text{c}}}$.

In Fig. 1 the spectral function $\Delta = -\text{Im}\Sigma$ is plotted for both SLT and CNT leads in the SC and MC configurations. As a function of the number of contacts M_c the system interpolates two different scenarios. In the MC case the spectral density is effectively the spectral density of one-dimensional leads, obtained by Newns in his theory of chemisorption [16]. Only the



Fig. 1. Spectral density plots for square lattice tubes with circumference of M = 20 atoms (left panels) and (10, 10) armchair carbon nanotubes (right). The two different scenarios of a single contact, $M_c = 1$ (top), and of multiple contacts, $M_c = M = 20$ (bottom), are shown.

channel without modulation in the transverse profile of its wavefunction contributes to transport [17]. The two-dimensional character of the leads enters as an energy shift of $2\gamma^{L,R}$ for SLT and of $\gamma^{L,R}$ for CNT, yielding an asymmetric density profile with respect to the atom on-site energy $\varepsilon^{L,R}$. In contrast, the SC spectrum is symmetric and richer due to the contribution of all available channels. Additional features characterize CNT leads. The minima in Δ (upper right panel of Fig. 1) are responsible for antiresonances observed in the conductance spectrum [12].

In Eq. (2) G_{1N} can be expressed in the following form [18]

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^{\text{wire}}G_{1N}} = \frac{1}{\zeta(N)} - 2\frac{\Sigma}{\gamma^{\text{wire}}}\frac{1}{\zeta(N-1)} + \left(\frac{\Sigma}{\gamma^{\text{wire}}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\zeta(N-2)},$$

where $\zeta(N)/\gamma^{\text{wire}}$ is the matrix element G_{1N} for the isolated N-atom molecule. It is given in closed form as a function of the normalized energy $\mathcal{E} = (E - \varepsilon^{\text{wire}})/(2\gamma^{\text{wire}})$ and N by

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{E}}(N) = \frac{2\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2 - 1}}{\left(\mathcal{E} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2 - 1}\right)^{N+1} - \left(\mathcal{E} - \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2 - 1}\right)^{N+1}}.$$

In the limit of weak contact coupling the behavior of the G_{1N} element is dominated by $\zeta(N)$ leading to N transmission resonances in the conductance of unit height. Nevertheless, if the effective coupling between the molecule and the lead is much larger than γ^{wire} , $\zeta(N-2)$ will become the dominant term. As a consequence the conductance spectrum is effectively that of an (N-2)-atomic wire [12].

In conclusion, we have pointed out that the conductance of molecular wires attached to mesoscopic leads strongly depends on the geometry and dimensionality of the contacts. In general, a detailed account of the lead states must be provided for describing transport. However, in the multiple contact mesoscopic limit conductance becomes independent of the topology of the tubular electrodes and transport is effectively one-dimensional. In addition, we have explicitly demonstrated the previously observed dependence of the effective wire length on the coupling strength.

The authors would like to thank Markus Porto for critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Moore, *Electronics* **38**, 114 (1965).
- [2] M.V. Fischietti, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 1755 (1983).
- [3] Y. Taur, Proc. IEEE 85, 4 (1997).
- [4] M.A. Reed et al., Science 278, 252 (1997).
- [5] D. Porath, A. Bezryadin, S. de Vries, C. Dekker, Nature 403, 635 (2000).
- [6] T. Rueckes et al., Science **289**, 94 (2000).
- [7] R. Saito, G. Dresselhaus, M.S. Dresselhaus, *Physical Properties of Carbon Nanotubes*, World Scientific Publ., London 1998; P. McEuen, *Physics World* 13, 31 (2000).
- [8] L. Venema, Nature 407, 959 (2000); L.-M. Peng et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3249 (2000).
- M.P. Anantram, S. Datta, Y. Xue, *Phys. Rev.* B61, 14219 (2000);
 P.J. de Pablo et al., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 74, 323 (1999).
- [10] S.S. Wong et al., Nature 394, 52 (1998); H. Nishijima et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 74, 4061 (2000).
- [11] A. Nitzan, to appear in Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. (2001).
- [12] G. Fagas, G. Cuniberti, K. Richter, to appear in *Phys. Rev.* B63, 045416 (2001), cond-mat/0008150.
- [13] Y. Imry, R. Landauer, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, S306 (1999).
- [14] D.K. Ferry, S.M. Goodnick, Transport in Nanostructures, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1999.

- [15] A. Onipko, Y. Klymenko, L. Malysheva, *Phys. Rev.* B62, 10480 (2000);
 L.E. Hall, J.R. Reimers, N.S. Hush, K. Silverbrook, *J. Chem. Phys.* 112, 1510 (2000).
- [16] D.M. Newns, Phys. Rev. 178, 1123 (1969).
- [17] G. Cuniberti, G. Fagas, K. Richter, unpublished (2000).
- [18] V. Mujica, M. Kemp, M.A. Ratner, J. Chem. Phys. 101, 6856 (1994).