AN X-RAY METHOD FOR IDENTIFICATION OF THE ATOMIC NUMBER OF THE ACCELERATED HEAVY ION BEAMS*

I. Fijal^a, M. Jaskóła^a, A. Korman^a, T. Czyżewski^a D. Banaś^b, J. Braziewicz^b, U. Majewska^b, W. Kretschmer^c and S. Chojnacki^{b,d}

^aThe A. Sołtan Institute for Nuclear Studies, 05-400 Świerk, Poland ^bInstitute of Physics, Świętokrzyska Academy in Kielce, 25-509 Kielce, Poland ^cPhysikalisches Institut, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, D-91058, Germany ^dHeavy Ion Laboratory, Warsaw University, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

(Received December 17, 2001)

Accelerated heavy ions are identified by the characteristic X-rays they emit as a result of inelastic collisions with target atoms. Detection sensitivity of the projectiles passing thin carbon foils is discussed.

PACS numbers: 29.40.Cs, 32.30.Rj

1. Introduction

Swift ions slowing down in the matter (among other effects) cause the emission of X-rays characteristic of both the projectiles and the target atoms due to filling of inner-shell vacancies. The X-ray spectra emitted by fast heavy ions ($Z \ge 12$) as they traverse matter are useful tool for testing the atomic number of the ions passing the target. Characteristic X-rays have been used as a method for detection and identification of ions in accelerator mass spectrometry so called PXAMS (Projectile X-ray Accelerator Mass Spectrometry) [1].

In this paper we would like to present a simple and fast method for Z-value determination of heavy ions accelerated by tandem Van de Graaff accelerators and cyclotrons. This problem is particularly important for heavy ions emitted from tandem accelerator sputtering ion sources with small mass resolution injection system.

^{*} Presented at the XXVII Mazurian Lakes School of Physics, Krzyże, Poland, September 2–9, 2001.

2. Experimental procedure

In our experiments projectiles of S^{q+}, Ti^{q+}, and Fe^{q+} at various energies were provided by the tandem accelerator at Erlangen-Nürnberg University and S^{q+} by U-200P cyclotron at the Heavy Ion Laboratory of the Warsaw University. The Erlangen tandem is equipped with a 20° entrance magnet with relatively poor mass resolution and with two 90° exit magnets. The exit deflection system filters out particles of constant magnetic rigidity ($mE/q^2 =$ const). In most cases this eliminates all mass impurities in the beam. It is obvious, however, that magnetic selection alone does not guarantee a unique mass, e.g. the ⁴⁸Ti⁶⁺ ions have the same magnetic rigidity as the ⁵⁶Fe⁷⁺ ones for the same ion velocity ($v = \sqrt{2E/m}$). With this problem we have met during our experiments when we accelerated Ti and Fe ions.

Heavy ion beams with a typical intensity about 1 nA, after collimation to a diameter of 2 mm produced X-rays passing a thin (30 and 70 μ g/cm²) carbon target tilted at 30° to the beam direction. The X-rays were detected by a 30 mm² Si(Li) detector placed perpendicularly to the beam direction outside the target chamber, while elastically scattered ions were detected at 150° (or for light targets with mass $M_{\rm T} < M_{\rm HI}$ at forward angle) by a Si detector for normalisation purposes. The geometry of the experiment is shown in Fig. 1. Further details concerning the measurements can be found



Fig. 1. Schematic (not to scale) diagram of the experimental arrangement.

elsewhere [2,3]. The X-rays emerged from the vacuum chamber through the 25 μ m mylar window and passed a few millimetre air gap before striking the 25 μ m Be window of the Si(Li) X-ray detector with the energy resolution of about 180 eV for 6.4 keV X-rays.

3. Results

Typical X-ray spectra emitted by S, Ti and Fe projectiles with 0.7 MeV/u energies passing the 30 μ g/cm² carbon target are shown in Fig. 2(a), (b), (c), respectively. The purity of the foil was tested by PIXE method (shown in Fig. 2(d)) using 3 MeV protons and the presence of Al, S, Ca, Mn, and Fe elements was proved. The impurity levels of these elements are less than few ng/cm². The measured values of K_{α} X-rays energies of highly



Fig. 2. The X-rays spectra of a thin carbon foil bombarded by S (a), Ti (b), Fe (c), and protons (d).

ionised sulphur, titanium and iron projectiles passing through carbon foil are presented in Table I, together with the projectiles energies (MeV/u), average equilibrium charge state \bar{q} (according the Ref. [4]) and diagram values of the K_{α} X-ray energies [5].

The parameters of K_{α} X-ray spectra from highly ionised projectiles (S, Ti, and Fe) passing through thin carbon foil of different incident energy and average equilibrium charge state \bar{q} .

| Incident energy $[{ m MeV}/u]$ | $ar{q}$ | ${ m S}^{q+}$ ions ${ m K}_{lpha}$ energy (keV) | $ar{q}$ | Γi ^{q+} ions K _α energy (keV) | $ar{q}$ | Fe^{q+} ions $\operatorname{K}_{\alpha}$ energy (keV) |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---|----------------|--|------------------|---|
| | | $2.308^{*})$ | _ | 4.511*) | _ | $6.404^{*})$ |
| 0.3 | $7.8 {\pm} 0.1$ | $2.353 {\pm} 0.009$ | 10.5 ± 0.1 | $4.569 {\pm} 0.009$ | $12.0 {\pm} 0.1$ | 6.461 ± 0.010 |
| 0.5 | $9.1 {\pm} 0.1$ | $2.371 {\pm} 0.009$ | 12.2 ± 0.1 | $4.592 {\pm} 0.009$ | 14.2 ± 0.1 | 6.475 ± 0.010 |
| 0.7 | 9.9 ± 0.1 | $2.388 {\pm} 0.009$ | 13.3 ± 0.1 | $4.611 {\pm} 0.009$ | $15.5 {\pm} 0.1$ | 6.501 ± 0.010 |
| 1.0 | $10.8\!\pm\!0.1$ | $2.390 {\pm} 0.008$ | | | | |
| 1.2 | 11.3 ± 0.1 | $2.408 {\pm} 0.008$ | | | | |
| 2.1 | $12.7\!\pm\!0.1$ | $2.410 {\pm} 0.010$ | | | | |
| 3.1 | 13.7 ± 0.1 | $2.421 {\pm} 0.010$ | | | | |
| 3.8 | 14.3 ± 0.1 | $2.422 {\pm} 0.010$ | | | | |

*) diagram values of the K_{α} X-rays lines according the Ref. [5] for S, Ti, and Fe, respectively.



Fig. 3. Experimental K_{α} energy for S, Ti, and Fe projectiles passing the 30 μ g/cm² carbon foil (black circles). The open circles indicate the diagram values of the K_{α} X-ray lines [5]. The solid lines are the results of the polynomial fit.

According to Ref. [4,6] heavy ions are highly ionised when they pass through the target. In our experiments its thickness is much enough to provide an equilibrium charge state distribution. The effective charge state of the projectile depends on its energy and produces an energy shift of the emitted characteristic X-rays relative to the diagram values [5]. The energy shifts of the K_{α} X-ray lines are the same for both carbon foils used and justify the assumption that the 30 $\mu g/cm^2$ carbon foil is thick enough to obtain charge state equilibrium. In Fig. 3 we present the dependence of the energy of the K_{α} lines emitted by S, Ti, and Fe ions passing through the carbon target relative to their bombarding energies. The open circles in Fig. 3 indicate the K_{α} diagram energies [5] of the projectiles (S, Ti, and Fe). From this figure and Table I it can be seen that the K_{α} energy shifts are significant and increase with increasing beam energy, which means that the incident projectiles are multiply ionised in the L and M shells at the time of emission of the K X-rays. As shown in Refs. [7,8] the energy shifts of the $K_{\alpha\beta}$ X-rays for sulphur ions versus incident energy are explained (on the basis of single configuration Dirac–Fock calculation) by removing electrons from L and M shells.

The sensitivity of the method is limited by the competition between the emission of X-rays from the projectile, from the target trace elements and the background radiation from the target.

In the spectrum shown in Fig. 2(a) the detector solid angle was $\Delta \Omega = 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$ sr, the target thickness $t_z = 30 \ \mu g/cm^2$, and the accumulated charge $Q = 5.29 \times 10^{-2} \ \mu C$ (corresponding to $N_p = 8.26 \times 10^{10}$ particles of S⁴⁺). Thus the ratio of the number of incident particles N_p to the number of recorded X-rays is $N_p/N_x \cong 1.03 \times 10^5$. This value for higher energy of S ions (32 MeV) is 1×10^4 . Significant improvements in sensitivity (about 10^3) can be easily realised by increasing the solid angle of the X-rays detector to 10^{-1} sr, by increasing 2–3 times the thickness of carbon foil, and reducing the absorption of the X-rays on their way from the target to the detector. In the case when windowless X-ray detector will be located as closed as possible to the target the detections of X-rays can reach 1 X-ray photon per few incident ions. It is close to the result published by McAninch *et al.* [1].

4. Conclusions.

The results reported here indicate that characteristic X-rays provide an effective tool for the "on-line" identification of the atomic number of the projectiles (with $Z \ge 12$) and for detection with high efficiency extremely weak (e.g. 10^3 p/s) ion beams. The detection efficiency strongly depends on the geometry of the measurements, energy of the projectiles, target foils thickness and from the attenuation of the X-rays on their way from the target

I. FIJAŁ ET AL.

to the detector. For practical reasons it is necessary to use thin, pure, and light element targets (to reduce the interfering X-ray peaks) for example: clean C or Al foil with thicknesses of $20-40 \ \mu g/cm^2$.

Additionally X-rays emitted by S ions can be observed when projectiles pass the target chamber (without carbon foil) with relatively poor vacuum (few 10^{-5} Torr), see Fig. 4. In this case sensitivity is few orders of magnitude smaller in comparison when carbon foil is used.



Fig. 4. The X-rays spectra measured by Si(Li) detector from the 32 MeV, S⁶⁺ ions passing the target chamber with vacuum 4×10^{-5} Torr (a), and 2×10^{-5} Torr (b), respectively.

This work was supported by the Federal Ministry for Research and Technology, Germany, under Contract No. POL-017-98, and the Polish State Committee for Scientific Research (KBN) under Grants: no. 2P03B06514, and no. 2P03B09719.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.E. McAninch, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B99, 541 (1995).
- [2] J. Semaniak, et al., Phys. Rev. A52, 1125 (1995).
- [3] D. Banaś, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B154, 247 (1999).
- [4] H.D. Betz, Rev. Mod. Phys. 44, 465 (1972).
- [5] J.A. Bearden, Rev. Mod. Phys. 39, 78 (1967).
- [6] K. Shima, et al., At. Data and Nucl. Data Tables 51, 173 (1992).
- [7] U. Majewska, et al., Acta Phys. Pol. B31, 511 (2000).
- [8] K. Słabkowska, et al., Acta Phys. Pol. B31, 507 (2000).