# SHAPE COEXISTENCE IN <sup>98</sup>Mo\*

M. Zielińska<sup>a,b</sup>, T. Czosnyka<sup>a</sup>, J. Choiński<sup>a</sup>, J. Iwanicki<sup>a</sup>
P. Napiorkowski<sup>a</sup>, J. Srebrny<sup>b</sup>, A. Osa<sup>c</sup>, Y. Utsuno<sup>c</sup>, Y. Toh<sup>c</sup>
M. Oshima<sup>c</sup>, Y. Hatsukawa<sup>c</sup>, J. Katakura<sup>c</sup>, M. Koizumi<sup>c</sup>
M. Matsuda<sup>c</sup>, T. Shizuma<sup>c</sup>, M. Sugawara<sup>d</sup>, T. Morikawa<sup>e</sup>
AND H. Kusakari<sup>f</sup>

 <sup>a</sup>Heavy Ion Laboratory, Warsaw University Pasteura 5A, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland
 <sup>b</sup>Institute of Experimental Physics, Warsaw University Hoża 69, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland
 <sup>c</sup>Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan
 <sup>d</sup>Chiba Institute of Technology, Narashino, Chiba 275-0023, Japan
 <sup>e</sup>Kyushu University, Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan
 <sup>f</sup>Chiba University, Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522, Japan

(Received December 3, 2001)

Quadrupole deformation parameters of the <sup>98</sup>Mo nucleus in two first 0<sup>+</sup> (ground and excited) states are determined using Coulomb Excitation method. Matrix elements were determined using the GOSIA code and then analysed using the Quadrupole Sum Rules formalism. Shape coexistence in <sup>98</sup>Mo manifests in the very different triaxiality of the two 0<sup>+</sup> states. The results are compared with previously known data on <sup>72,74,76</sup>Ge isotopes where the similar trend of low-lying 0<sup>+</sup> states is observed.

PACS numbers: 21.10.Ky, 23.20.Js

## 1. Introduction

Only four even-even stable nuclei with Z > 20, namely <sup>72</sup>Ge, <sup>90</sup>Zr, <sup>96</sup>Zr and <sup>98</sup>Mo, have a 0<sup>+</sup> first excited state. An easy interpretation within the framework of the collective model would be that these levels are the bandheads of the  $\beta$ -vibrational bands. However, Coulomb excitation studies of <sup>72</sup>Ge [1] and <sup>96</sup>Zr [2] proved that the ground state is deformed, while the first excited state has a spherical shape and can be interpreted as an intruder state. Due to the high excitation energies and non-collective structure no

<sup>\*</sup> Presented at the XXVII Mazurian Lakes School of Physics, Krzyże, Poland, September 2–9, 2001.

information is available on the nature of the first excited  $0^+$  in  ${}^{90}$ Zr.  ${}^{98}$ Mo nucleus is the best candidate for further investigation of the nature of the shape coexistence in this mass region.

## 2. Experiments

Experimental data were collected with the GEMINI  $\gamma$ -ray detection system [3], supported by four position sensitive scintillators used for charged particle detection. <sup>84</sup>Kr and <sup>136</sup>Xe beams were provided by JAERI tandem accelerator at Tokai in Japan.

Preliminary data were obtained in a simple experiment with a  $^{20}$ Ne beam, performed at the Heavy Ion Laboratory in Warsaw with the use of the CUDAC set-up [4].



Fig. 1. Low-lying excited states of the <sup>98</sup>Mo nucleus (adopted after [5]). The transitions observed in experiment with the <sup>136</sup>Xe beam are marked with the arrows. All energies are given in keV.

## 3. Data analysis

Excited levels scheme together with available spectroscopic data were adopted after an on-line database of NNDC Brookhaven [5] and combined with measured  $\gamma$ -ray intensities. Those were used as an input to the Coulomb Excitation Least Squares Fitting code GOSIA [6].

A resulting set of reduced matrix elements was rich and precise enough to perform further analysis of the nucleus shape. For example, the crucial matrix elements connecting  $0^+$  states with all observed  $2^+$  states were determined with accuracy better than 15%.

#### 3.1. Quadrupole sum rules

The E2 operator expressed in the principal axes frame of the nucleus may be parameterized using two parameters Q and  $\delta$ :

$$E(2,0) = Q \cos \delta,$$
  

$$E(2,1) = E(2,-1) = 0,$$
  

$$E(2,2) = E(2,-2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Q \sin \delta$$

The Q parameter is a measure of an overall deformation, while the  $\delta$  parameter is a measure of triaxiality. Q and  $\delta$  are equivalent to commonly used deformation parameters  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , except that they are related to the charge distribution rather than mass distribution.

An invariant is needed to evaluate intrinsic deformation parameters using quantities measured in the laboratory frame.

Zero-coupled products of E2 operators are rotational invariants. The simplest product may be expressed as:

$$\langle i | [\mathrm{E2} \times \mathrm{E2}]_0 | i \rangle = \frac{Q^2}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

Operator products may be expressed using the intermediate state expansion formula:

$$\langle i \| [\mathbf{E}2 \times \mathbf{E}2]_0 \| i \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2I_i + 1)}} \sum_t \langle i \| \mathbf{E}2 \| t \rangle \langle t \| \mathbf{E}2 \| i \rangle \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 2 & 0\\ I_i & I_i & I_t \end{array} \right\}.$$
(1)

To get information on triaxiality, the expectation value of the  $\delta$  parameter must be determined. Therefore the higher order invariant is needed:

$$\langle i | \{ [E2 \times E2]_2 \times E2 \}_0 | i \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{35}} Q^3 \cos 3\delta$$

The similar evaluation using the intermediate state expansion formula yields:

$$\langle i|\{[\mathbf{E}2 \times \mathbf{E}2]_2 \times \mathbf{E}2\}_0|i\rangle = \frac{1}{(2I_i+1)} \sum_{t,u} \langle i||\mathbf{E}2||u\rangle \langle u||\mathbf{E}2||t\rangle \langle t||\mathbf{E}2||i\rangle \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 2 & 2\\ I_i & I_t & I_u \end{array} \right\}.$$
(2)

Sums over intermediate states in equations (1) and (2) extend over all states of the system, which may be reached by a single E2 transition from the state of interest i.

Reduced matrix elements in the above equations are determined experimentally.

# 4. Shape parameters of $0_1^+$ and $0_2^+$ states

Figures 2 and 3 show the quadrupole deformation parameters, calculated for both  $0^+$  states in <sup>98</sup>Mo nucleus, in comparison with available data from selected Ge isotopes [1,7,8] which also have a low-lying second  $0^+$  state.



Fig. 2. Mean values of  $Q^2$  parameter found for the two first  $0^+$  states in <sup>98</sup>Mo and <sup>72,74,76</sup>Ge nuclei.



Fig. 3. Mean values of  $\cos 3\delta$  parameter found for the two first 0<sup>+</sup> states in <sup>98</sup>Mo and <sup>72,74</sup>Ge nuclei.

In case of Ge isotopes the ground state is deformed, while the second  $0^+$  state tends to be spherical. For <sup>98</sup>Mo nucleus overall deformation is the same for both  $0^+$  states.

The triaxiality remains the same for each of Ge isotopes. On the contrary, the ground state of  $^{98}$ Mo is triaxial, while the first excited state has a prolate shape.

#### 5. Summary

The collective properties of the first  $0^+$  states in <sup>98</sup>Mo nucleus have been investigated in a model-independent way using multiple Coulomb excitation. The result shows a clear shape coexistence of the ground and the first excited  $0^+$  states. While the overall quadrupole deformation (intrinsic Q moment) remains almost constant, the nucleus undergoes the transition from triaxial  $(0^+_1)$  to prolate  $(0^+_2)$  shape. The result is in sharp contrast to those obtained for Ge isotopes, although their energy-level structures are similar.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Kotliński, T. Czosnyka, D. Cline, et al., Nucl. Phys. A519, 646 (1990).
- [2] D. Cline, Acta Phys. Pol. **B30**, 1291 (1999).
- [3] K. Furuno, M. Oshima, T. Hayakawa et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A421, 211 (1999).
- [4] J. Iwanicki, J. Choiński, T. Czosnyka et al., Acta Phys. Pol. B28, 153 (1997).
- [5] National Nuclear Data Center On-line Service, Brookhaven National Laboratory, USA, 2001.
- [6] T. Czosnyka, D. Cline, C.Y. Wu, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 28, 745 (1983).
- [7] Y. Toh, T. Czosnyka, M. Oshima et al., Eur. Phys. J. A9, 353 (2000).
- [8] Y. Toh, T. Czosnyka, M. Oshima et al., J. Phys. G27, 1475 (2001).