# SPECTRUM OF THE MULTI-REGGEON COMPOUND STATES IN MULTI-COLOUR QCD\*

## Jan Kotański

## M. Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

(Received June 28, 2002)

We study the properties of the colour-singlet compound states of reggeized gluons in multi-colour QCD. Applying the methods of integrable models, we calculate their spectrum and discuss the application of the obtained results to high-energy asymptotics of the scattering amplitudes in perturbative QCD.

PACS numbers: 11.55.Jy, 12.38.Cy

The high-energy asymptotics of the scattering amplitude is governed by the *t*-channel exchange of arbitrary number N = 2, 3, ... of reggeized gluons described by the so-called "fish-net" or "checker-board" Feynman diagrams. The problem of resuming such diagrams formulated in sixties [1]. The lowest non-trivial contribution for N = 2 was calculated by Balitski, Fadin, Kuraev and Lipatov in 1978 [2], who derived and solved an equation for intercept of the compound state of N = 2 reggeized gluons — the BFKL Pomeron. The equation for three and more reggeized gluons was formulated in the 1980's by Bartels, Kwieciński, Praszałowicz [3] and Jaroszewicz [4]. However, it took almost twenty years to obtain the solution for N = 3, which corresponds to the QCD odderon [5, 6]. Finally, the solutions for higher N = 4, ..., 8 and their generalizations to arbitrary N were obtained recently in the multi-colour limit in series of papers [7–9] written in collaboration with S.É. Derkachov<sup>1</sup>, G.P. Korchemsky<sup>2</sup>, A.N. Manashov<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>\*</sup> Presented at the X International Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS2002) Cracow, Poland, 30 April-4 May, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, St. Petersburg Technology Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Université de Paris XI, 91405 Orsay Cédex, France.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Department d'ECM, Universitat de Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.

#### J. Kotański

The leading contribution to the total elastic scattering amplitude of two hadrons (A, B) can be written in QCD in the Regge limit:  $s \to \infty$ , t = const., as a power series in a strong coupling constant  $\overline{\alpha}_s = \alpha_s N_c / \pi$ 

$$\mathcal{A}(s,t) \sim -i \sum_{N=2}^{\infty} (i\overline{\alpha}_{s})^{N} \frac{s^{1+\overline{\alpha}_{s}\varepsilon_{N}}}{\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{s}\ln s}} \beta_{A}^{(N)}(t)\beta_{B}^{(N)}(t) \,. \tag{1}$$

Above, N denotes the number of reggeized gluons, called Reggeons, propagating in the *t*-channel and the residue factors,  $\beta_{A(B)}^{(N)}$ , measure the overlap of the wave function of the compound state of N reggeized gluons with the wave functions of two scattered particles. The parameter  $\varepsilon_N$  is defined as the maximal energy for the Schrödinger (BKP) equation

$$\mathcal{H}_N \Psi\left(\{\vec{z}_k\}\right) = \varepsilon_N \Psi\left(\{\vec{z}_k\}\right) \,, \tag{2}$$

where  $\Psi(\{\vec{z}_k\})$  is the Reggeon wave function and  $\vec{z}_k$  denotes two-dimensional transverse coordinates of  $k^{\text{th}}$  reggeized gluon.  $\mathcal{H}_N$  is the effective QCD Hamiltonian describing pair-wise interaction between N Reggeons.

In the multi-colour limit, this Hamiltonian simplifies significantly [10,11] leading to

$$\mathcal{H}_N = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} H(\vec{z}_k, \vec{z}_{k+1}), \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{z}_0 \equiv \vec{z}_N.$$
(3)

It describes [8] the nearest neighbor interaction of the Reggeons and has a hidden cyclic and mirror permutational symmetry. Moreover, it possesses the set of the (N-1) integrals of motion, which are the eigenvalues of conformal charges,  $\hat{q}_k$  and  $\overline{\hat{q}}_k^{-4}$  [7],

$$\left[\mathcal{H}_N, \hat{q}_n\right] = \left[\hat{q}_n, \hat{q}_m\right] = \left[\mathcal{H}_N, \hat{\overline{q}}_n\right] = \left[\hat{\overline{q}}_n, \hat{\overline{q}}_m\right] = 0, \quad n, m = 2, \dots, N.$$
(4)

Thus, this system is completely integrable. The lowest integral may be expressed in terms of the conformal SL(2) weight of the state h as  $q_2 = -h(h-1)$ . The Hamiltonian (3) is equivalent to the XXX Heisenberg spin magnet [7].

Our solution of the Schrödinger equation (2) is based on the method of the Baxter Q-operator [7]. It relies on the existence of the operator  $Q(u, \bar{u})$ depending on the pair of complex spectral parameters u and  $\bar{u}$  and satisfying the following relations. It commutes with itself for different values of the spectral parameters and with the integrals of motion

$$[\mathbb{Q}(u,\overline{u}),\mathbb{Q}(v,\overline{v})] = \left[\hat{t}_N(u,\{\hat{q}_n\}),\mathbb{Q}(v,\overline{v})\right] = \left[\hat{t}_N(\overline{u},\{\hat{\overline{q}}_n\}),\mathbb{Q}(v,\overline{v})\right] = 0, \quad (5)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> bar does not denote complex conjugation for which we use an asterisk.

where

$$\hat{t}_N(u, \{\hat{q}_n\}) = 2u^N + \hat{q}_2 u^{N-1} + \ldots + \hat{q}_N,$$
 (6)

and u, v are two complex spectral parameters. It also has to satisfy the Baxter equations

$$\hat{t}_N(u, \{\hat{q}_n\})\mathbb{Q}(u, \overline{u}) = u^N \mathbb{Q}(u+i, \overline{u}) + u^N \mathbb{Q}(u-i, \overline{u}),$$

$$\hat{t}_N(\overline{u}, \{\hat{\overline{q}}_n\})\mathbb{Q}(u, \overline{u}) = (\overline{u}+i)^N \mathbb{Q}(u, \overline{u}+i) + (\overline{u}-i)^N \mathbb{Q}(u, \overline{u}-i).$$
(7)

Furthermore, the Q-operator has prescribed analytical properties, *i.e.* known pole structure, and asymptotic behavior at infinity. The above conditions fix the Q-operator uniquely and allow us to quantize the integrals  $q_k$  [7, 13–15]. It turns out that it is possible to express the Hamiltonian (3) in terms of the Baxter Q-operator [7]. Combining together the solutions of the Baxter equations and the quantum conditions for  $q_k$  with the Schrödinger equation (2) we can calculate the energy spectrum.

For N = 3 there exist two integrals of motion,  $q_2$  and  $q_3$ . The quantized values of  $q_3$  exhibit some structure that can be seen on Fig. 1 (left panel) [9]. The circled crosses denote the ground states. They have the highest energy for fixed N.

The spectrum of quantized  $q_3$  at N = 3 may be approximated by the following formula [12]

$$\left[q_3^{\text{approx}}(\ell_1, \ell_2)\right]^{1/3} = \frac{\Gamma^3(2/3)}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2}\ell_1 + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\ell_2\right), \quad (8)$$

where  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\ell_1 + \ell_2$  is even. Similar structure is observed for N = 4, h = 1/2 and  $q_3 = 0$  (Fig. 1 right panel)

$$\left[q_4^{\text{approx}}(\ell_1, \ell_2)\right]^{1/4} = \frac{\Gamma^2(3/4)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ell_1 + i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ell_2\right).$$
(9)



Fig. 1. Quantized values of the integrals of motion at h = 1/2 for different number of Reggeons N = 3 (left) and N = 4 (right).

All these states have continuation in  $\nu_h = \Im(h)$ , so, the eigenvalues of  $\{\hat{q}_k\}$  form linear trajectories in the full  $q_k$  space [16, 17]. The spectrum of  $q_N$  has similar structure for higher N.

For the ground states (Table I), the integrals of motion are either purely real or purely imaginary. Moreover, the odd integrals are equal to zero for even N's. The numbers at N = 2 and N = 3 agree with the previously published ones [2,5,8,15,17], while the results for higher N are new.

### TABLE I

Quantum numbers  $q_k$  and energy,  $\varepsilon_N(\{q_k\})$ , of the N-Reggeons states in the multicolour QCD for h = 1/2.

| N | $iq_3$  | $q_4$   | $iq_5$  | $q_6$   | $iq_7$  | $q_8$   | $\varepsilon_N$ |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 2 |         |         |         |         |         |         | 2.7726          |
| 3 | 0.20526 |         |         |         |         |         | -0.2472         |
| 4 | 0       | 0.15359 |         |         |         |         | 0.6742          |
| 5 | 0.26768 | 0.03945 | 0.06024 |         |         |         | -0.1275         |
| 6 | 0       | 0.28182 | 0       | 0.07049 |         |         | 0.3946          |
| 7 | 0.31307 | 0.07099 | 0.12846 | 0.00849 | 0.01950 |         | -0.0814         |
| 8 | 0       | 0.39117 | 0       | 0.17908 | 0       | 0.03043 | 0.2810          |

The energy is positive for even N's and negative for odd N's and it is shown in a Fig. 2. The contribution of these states to the scattering amplitude increases with s for the positive energy  $\varepsilon_N$  and decreases for the negative energy  $\varepsilon_N$ . The exact values of the energy are denoted by crosses on the left panel of Fig. 2. The upper and the lower curves stand for the functions 1.8402/(N-1.3143) and -2.0594/(N-1.0877), respectively.



Fig. 2. The dependence of the ground state energy,  $\varepsilon_N$ , on the number of particles N and on the  $\nu_h$ .

The right panel in the Fig. 2 shows the dependence of the energy  $\varepsilon(\nu_h)$ along the ground state trajectory for different number of particles  $2 \le N \le 8$ . These functions are symmetrical in  $\nu_h$ . The maximum energy is in  $\nu_h = 0$ . At large  $\nu_h$ ,  $\varepsilon_8 > \ldots > \varepsilon_3 > \varepsilon_2$ .

Summarizing we found the spectrum of the multi-Reggeon compound states in QCD. In the Pomeron sector (even N) the intercept of states is bigger than 1 but smaller than the intercept of the BFKL Pomeron:  $\alpha_2 > \alpha_4 > \ldots > 1$ . In the odderon sector (odd N) the intercept of the states is smaller than 1 but it increases with N:  $\alpha_3 < \alpha_5 < \ldots < 1$ .

Recently Lipatov and de Vega [18] found another set of the solutions for N = 3, 4 which differ from our expressions. This discrepancy requires further studies.

#### REFERENCES

- S.C. Frautschi, Regge Poles and S-matrix Theory, Ed. W.A. Benjamin, New York 1963; V. de Alfaro, T. Regge, Potential Scattering, North Holland, Amsterdam 1965; P.D.B. Collins, An Introduction to the Regge Theory and High Energy Physics, Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- [2] E.A. Kuraev, L.N. Lipatov, V.S. Fadin, *Phys. Lett.* B60, 50 (1975);
   *Sov. Phys. JETP* 44, 443 (1976); *Sov. Phys. JETP* 45, 199 (1977); I. Balitski,
   L.N. Lipatov, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 28, 822 (1978).
- [3] J. Bartels, Nucl. Phys. B175, 365 (1980); J. Kwieciński, M. Praszałowicz, Phys. Lett. B94, 413 (1980).
- [4] T. Jaroszewicz, Trieste preprint IC/80/175, see also Acta Phys. Pol. B11, 965 (1980).
- [5] R. Janik, J. Wosiek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2935 (1997); Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1092 (1999).
- [6] L. Lukaszuk, B. Nicolescu, Lett. Nuovo Cim. 8, 405 (1973).
- [7] S.É. Derkachov, G.P. Korchemsky, A.N. Manashov, Nucl. Phys. B617, 375 (2001).
- [8] G.P. Korchemsky, J. Kotański, A.N. Manashov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 122002 (2002).
- [9] S.É. Derkachov, G.P. Korchemsky, J. Kotański, A.N. Manashov, hep-th/0204124.
- [10] L.N. Lipatov, JETP Lett. 59, 596 (1994).
- [11] L.D. Faddeev, G.P. Korchemsky, Phys. Lett. B342, 311 (1995); G.P. Korchemsky, Nucl. Phys. B443, 255 (1995).
- [12] G.P. Korchemsky, Nucl. Phys. B498, 68 (1997); preprint LPTHE-Orsay-97-73, hep-ph/9801377.
- [13] Z. Maassarani, S. Wallon, J. Phys. A28, 6423 (1995).

- [14] H.J. de Vega, L.N. Lipatov, Phys. Rev. D64, 114019 (2001).
- [15] J. Kotański, M. Praszałowicz, Acta. Phys. Pol. B33, 665 (2002).
- [16] M.A. Braun, St. Petersburg preprint SPbU-IP-1998/8, hep-ph/9804432.
- [17] M. Praszałowicz, A. Rostworowski, Acta Phys. Pol. B30, 349 (1999).
- [18] H.J. de Vega, L.N. Lipatov, hep-ph/0204245.