## LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

## COMMENT ON ACTA PHYS. POL. B 32 (2001) 3303 PAPER BY A.M. OLEŚ "MAGNETIC ORDER IN TRANSITION METAL OXIDES WITH ORBITAL DEGREES OF FREEDOM"

R.J. RADWANSKI

Center for Solid State Physics, św. Filipa 5, 31-150 Kraków, Poland and Institute of Physics, Pedagogical University, 30-084 Kraków, Poland

AND Z. ROPKA

Center for Solid State Physics, św. Filipa 5, 31-150 Kraków, Poland

(Received June 24, 2004)

We argue that the  ${}^{3}A_{2}$  state considered by Oleś in Acta Phys. Pol. B **32**, 3303 (2001) for the  $d^{2}$  system occurring in the V<sup>3+</sup> ion in V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and LaVO<sub>3</sub> as well as in Ti<sup>2+</sup> ion in TiO and in many other oxides is wrong. The proper ground state is  ${}^{3}T_{1g}$  — its 9-fold degeneracy is further split in a crystal by intra-atomic spin-orbit interactions and lattice distortions.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 71.27.+a, 75.30.Et, 75.30.Vn

Oleś in Acta Phys. Pol. B **32**, 3303 (2001) [1] presents in Fig. 1 excitations spectra for  $d^8$ ,  $d^5$ ,  $d^2$  and  $d^3$  systems. According to Fig. 1(b) excitations spectra in cubic transition metal oxides for  $d^2$  ions have the ground state  ${}^{3}A_{2}$  and higher states  ${}^{1}T_{2}$ ,  ${}^{1}E$  and  ${}^{1}A_{1}$ . According to us this ground state is wrong. For the  $d^2$  system in the octahedral anion surrounding the ground state is  ${}^{3}T_{1g}$  [2,3]. The state  ${}^{3}T_{1g}$  is completely different from the Oleś ground state  ${}^{3}A_{2}$  — the latter has 3-fold degeneracy whereas the former — 9-fold degeneracy. The state  ${}^{3}A_{2}$  is the orbital singlet whereas  ${}^{3}T_{1g}$  is an orbital triplet. This difference is of fundamental importance in modern solid-state physics owing to widely discussed properties of  $V_{2}O_{3}$ , LaVO<sub>3</sub> and  $YVO_3$  not mention TiO or CrO<sub>2</sub>. Behind these states is completely different physics. By this Comment we would like to clarify the ground state of the  $V^{3+}$  and  $Ti^{2+}$  ions in the octahedral crystal field as it becomes at present a subject of strong discussion.

The  ${}^{3}T_{1g}$  state as the ground state in the  $d^{2}$  system occurring in V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has been calculated by us for the SCES-02 Conference [5]. This ground state in the solid compound (3d ions form the crystallographic lattice) is in agreement with the ground state discussed in Ref. [4] for the  $d^{2}$  ions dissolved in a lattice as impurities. For the scientific honesty we have to say that there is at present much more scientists who came out to completely different description of 3d electrons ignoring the atomic integrity of the 3d ion, what is visible in no using the atomic many-electron notation. In a recent paper by Horsch *et al.* [6], of which Oles is the coauthor, a state  ${}^{3}T_{2}$  is mentioned to be the ground state of  $V^{3+}$  ions in V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. However, there was no explanation for the change of the ground state compared to the commented paper.

In conclusion, we argue that the  ${}^{3}A_{2}$  state considered by Oleś in the commented paper for  $d^{2}$  system occurring in the V<sup>3+</sup> ion in V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and LaVO<sub>3</sub> as well as in Ti<sup>2+</sup> ion in TiO and in many other oxides is wrong. The proper ground state for the octahedral crystal field is  ${}^{3}T_{1g}$  — its 9-fold degeneracy is further split in a crystal by intra-atomic spin-orbit interactions and lattice distortions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.M. Oleś, Acta Phys. Pol. B **32**, 3303 (2001).
- [2] R.J. Radwanski, Z. Ropka, cond-mat/9907140.
- [3] R.J. Radwanski, Z. Ropka, cond-mat/0303194.
- [4] R.J. Radwanski, Z. Ropka, "Quantum numbers of the V<sup>3+</sup> ion in V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>", presented as poster MOT026 at the Strongly-Correlated Electron System Conference, SCES-02, Krakow, 10-13 July 2002.
- [5] A. Abragam, B. Bleaney, in *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions*, Clarendon, Oxford 1970, p. 384.
- [6] P. Horsch, G. Khaliullin, A.M. Oleś, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 257203 (2003).