STATUS OF THE OPERA EXPERIMENT*

R. ZIMMERMANN

on behalf of the OPERA Collaboration

Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg D-22761 Hamburg, Germany

(Received May 15, 2006)

In this article the physics motivation and the detector design of the OPERA experiment will be reviewed. The construction status of the detector, which will be situated in the CNGS beam from CERN to the Gran Sasso laboratory, will be reported. A survey on the physics performance will be given and the physics plan in 2006 will be presented.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq

1. Introduction

The CNGS project is designed to search for the $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ oscillation in the parameter region indicated by the SuperKamiokande, Macro and Soudan2 atmospheric neutrino analysis [1–3]. The main goal is to find ν_{τ} appearance by direct detection of the τ from ν_{τ} CC interactions. One will also search for the subleading $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{e}$ oscillations, which could be observed if θ_{13} is close to the present limit from CHOOZ [4] and PaloVerde [5]. In order to reach these goals a ν_{μ} beam will be sent from CERN to Gran Sasso. In the Gran Sasso laboratory, the OPERA experiment (CNGS1) is under construction.

At the distance of L = 732 km between the CERN and the Gran Sasso laboratory the ν_{μ} flux of the beam is optimized to yield a maximum number of CC ν_{τ} interactions at Gran Sasso. With a mean beam energy of E = 17 GeV the contamination with $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ is around 2% and with ν_e ($\bar{\nu}_e$) is less than 1%. The content of ν_{τ} is negligible. The resulting L/E ratio is 43 km/GeV, thus the CNGS operates in the "off peak" mode due to the high energy needed for τ production. The civil engineering has been completed,

^{*} Presented at the Cracow Epiphany Conference on Neutrinos and Dark Matter, Cracow, Poland, 5–8 January 2006.

all beam parts are produced and will be installed in time. The commissioning will start in May 2006 and the first beam is expected in July 2006. Assuming a beam intensity of $4.5 \times 10^{19} p$ on target/year and a five year run, 31000 neutrino events (CC + NC) are expected for an average target mass of 1.6 kt. At full mixing, 95 ν_{τ} CC interactions are expected for $\Delta m^2 = 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ and 214 ν_{τ} CC interactions for $\Delta m^2 = 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$. The detection of the ν_{τ} 's will be done by looking for the charged τ lep-

ton produced in ν_{τ} CC interaction, and its decay products. The different τ decay modes can be separated into muonic (BR 18%), electronic (BR 18%) and hadronic (BR 64%) channels. In order to observe the decay topology, a spatial resolution at the micrometer scale is necessary. In OPERA, such a resolution is achieved by detecting the charged particle tracks in emulsion sheets interspersed with thin lead plates acting as target material. This technique, known as Emulsion Cloud Chamber (ECC), was validated for τ search in the DONUT experiment [6]. The basic target module is called a brick. It consists of a sandwich of 57 lead plates (1 mm thick) with in total 56 emulsion layers in between. A brick has a size of $10.2 \text{ cm} \times 12.7 \text{ cm}$ with a length of 7.5 cm (10 radiation lengths) and a weight of 8.3 kg. In a separate package, two additional emulsion sheets, called changeable sheets, are glued on its downstream face. The bricks are arranged in brick walls. Within a brick, the achieved spatial resolution is $\Delta x = 1 \,\mu \text{m}$ and the angular resolution is $\Delta \theta = 2$ mrad. With these values, the reconstruction of the ν interaction vertex and of the τ decay topology will be possible. The brick as stand alone detector also allows, to some extent, the momentum measurement of charged particles by multiple scattering, the separation of low energy π and μ by dE/dx measurements and the identification of electrons and photons by measurement of the electromagnetic shower development. To provide a ν interaction trigger and to identify the brick in which the interaction took place, the brick walls are complemented by a target tracker and a muon spectrometer. The target tracker consist of highly segmented scintillator planes inserted between the brick walls while, downstream the target section, the magnetic spectrometer will measure the muon momenta and identify the sign of their charges. Combining the signals left in the electronic detectors by the hadronic shower and, eventually, the muon track, the brick containing the ν interaction vertex can be determined with a good efficiency. The brick is then extracted from the wall and its changeable sheets are developed and scanned using fast automatic microscopes. Once the presence of tracks coming out of an interaction is confirmed, the brick is unpacked and all its emulsion sheets are developed and sent to the scanning laboratories for further analysis.

2. Construction status

The OPERA detector, shown in Fig. 1, consists of two identical supermodules. Each super-module consists of a target section with 31 target planes in between the brick walls, followed by a muon spectrometer. With a total number of about 206000 bricks, an initial mass of 1.8 kt will be achieved. This mass will be reduced in the course of the experiment due to the extraction of the bricks for analysis. The target tracker covers a total area of 7000 m² and is built of 32000 scintillator strips, each 7 m long and of 25 mm×15 mm cross section. Along the strip, a wavelength shifting fiber of 1 mm diameter transmits the light signals to both ends. The readout will be done by 1000 64 channel matrix PMT's from HAMAMATSU. Since the end of the last year the data taking with cosmics has started. The target of the first super-module (yet to be filled with bricks) was installed in November 2005, the second target will be installed in June 2006. The achieved brick wall position accuracy is better than 1 mm.



Fig. 1. The Opera detector.

The muon spectrometer consists of the magnet supplemented with RPC's and drift tubes. The magnet is a $8 \times 8 \text{ m}^2$ large dipole with a field of 1.55 T in upward direction on one side and in downward direction on the other side. This gives the possibility to measure the momentum twice in order to reduce the error by $\sqrt{2}$. Each magnet side consists of twelve 5 cm thick iron slabs, alternating with RPC planes. This sandwich structure allows the tracking in the magnetic field to identify the muons and to determine their momentum. In addition the precision tracker [7] measures the muon track coordinates in the horizontal plane. It is made of 8 m long drift tubes with an outer diameter of 38 mm. These drift tubes are arranged in planes, located in front and behind the magnet as well as between the two magnet sides. The precision tracker consists of 12 drift tube planes, each covering R. ZIMMERMANN

an area of 8 m×8 m. Together with the precision tracker, the efficiency of the muon identification as well as the accuracy of the momentum measurements and sign determination can be increased; the charge misidentification is expected to be 0.1%–0.3%. This value is important to minimize the background originating from the charmed particles produced in ν_{μ} interactions. With the muon spectrometer a momentum resolution of $\Delta p/p \leq 0.25$ for all muon momenta p up to a maximum of p = 25 GeV/c can be achieved. To reduce the number of ghost tracks two planes of glass RPC's (XPC's), each consisting of two 45° crossed planes, are installed in front of the magnets. The construction status at the end of 2005 is shown in Fig. 2. The brick



Fig. 2. The muon spectrometer.

supporting structure and all the tracker planes of the first super-module are installed. The XPC's and three of the high precision tracker planes of the first super-module are installed. The magnets, including the RPC's for the whole detector and the mechanical structure are completed. To handle the data flow a new DAQ system was developed. It will use a Gigabit network consisting of 1200 nodes. To match the data of the different subdetectors a event time stamp will be used. This will be delivered by a clock distribution system using the GPS¹. The heart of the DAQ is the Mezzanine chip. It contains the CPU, the memory, the clock receiver for the time stamp and

¹ Global Positioning System.

the ethernet connections to the other components. All the components of the DAQ system are available and the final version of the Mezzanine is under test.

The production of the bricks will be done by the brick assembling machine (BAM). It consists of robots for the mechanical packing of the bricks. In total 23 million lead plates and emulsion layers have to be assembled to 206000 bricks. The required production speed will be 2 bricks per minute. The final system was successfully tested and is ready for installation at the Gran Sasso laboratory.

Inside the detector the produced bricks will be handled by the brick manipulator system (BMS). Two large robots, each one operating at one side of the detector, consist of a drum for the brick transfer and a brick storage carousel. A pushing arm will be used to insert the bricks in any given row. The extraction of a brick in the region of interest indicated by the electronic detectors will be done by a vacuum sucker. The first BMS robot was installed successfully end of 2005 and is in the commissioning phase. A picture of the construction status end of 2005 is shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3. The total detector.

3. Physics performance

The detection efficiency of a tau decay has been studied by detailed Monte Carlo simulations. One may distinguish two cases: in so-called short decays, the tau decays in the lead plate in which it is produced and the only signature is a non-zero impact parameter of the decay products with

R. ZIMMERMANN

respect to the primary neutrino vertex. This vertex can only be determined reliably for multi-prong deeply inelastic scatterings (DIS). On the contrary, for "long" decays, the tau track direction can be directly measured and the kink angle of the charged decay products accurately determined, both for DIS and quasi elastic (QE) neutrino interactions. The resulting τ detection efficiencies for the detected channels, weighted with their branching ratios, are listed in Table I.

TABLE I

	DIS long	QE long	DIS short	overall
$\begin{array}{l} \tau \to e \\ \tau \to \mu \\ \tau \to h \\ \text{total} \end{array}$	2.7 2.4 2.8 8.0	$2.3 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 8.3$	$1.3 \\ 0.7 \\ - \\ 1.3$	$3.4 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.9 \\ 9.1$

The τ detection efficiencies in % for the different decay channels of the τ lepton.

The first OPERA run is scheduled for the second half of 2006. After the completion and the commissioning of the CNGS beam, a low intensity run with integrated 0.3×10^{19} pot will be performed. After the super-module 1 is partially filled with bricks, there will be a normal intensity run with a total of $\approx 4 \times 10^{19}$ pot. This physics run is important for the validation and monitoring of the CNGS beam, which will be done by checking the interaction rates, the energy distribution and by analyzing the muon charge to determine the content of $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$. The collected sample of interactions in the bricks will be sufficient to check the full analysis procedure and control the vertex finding efficiencies estimated by simulation. Furthermore the beam induced background sources can be measured and the kinematical analysis can be tuned. In Table II the expected number of τ events is listed for a nominal beam intensity of 4.5×10^{19} pot/year. The values in brackets apply

TABLE II

The expected number of τ events. The numbers in brackets are for a CNGS beam upgrade (factor 1.5 increase in intensity).

$\Delta m^2 [\times 10^{-3} \mathrm{eV}^2]$	1.9	2.4	3.0	BKGD
1.8 kt fiducial $+$ improved brick finding	6.6(10)	10.5(15.8)	16.4(24.6)	0.7(1.1)
+ Improved brick inding and 3 prong decay + BKGD reduction	$\begin{array}{c} 8.0 \ (12.1) \\ 8.0 \ (12.1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.8 \ (19.2) \\ 12.8 \ (19.2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19.9 \; (29.9) \\ 19.9 \; (29.9) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \ (1.5) \\ 0.8 \ (1.2) \end{array}$

1952



Fig. 4. The OPERA sensitivity (vertical lines) and the 4σ discovery probability (solid lines).

for an increase in beam intensity by a factor of 1.5. The resulting sensitivity and the 4σ discovery probability, the probability that one can claim to have seen a signal larger than a 4σ fluctuation of the background, are shown in Fig. 4. This probability is described by the solid lines dependent on the value of Δm^2 . The OPERA nominal run with an average target mass of 1.6 kt includes an improved brick finding and the 3π hadronic decay channel of the τ lepton. The probability can be increased by reducing the background by 30% an by increasing the beam intensity by a factor of 1.5. In the case that no ν_{τ} appearance will be seen, an exclusion region (90% CL) can be given. These sensitivities (vertical lines) are computed using the Feldman and Cousins method. In the plot the values correspond to full mixing. The 3π decay channel is included.

As mentioned before OPERA will also search for $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{e}$ oscillations. If θ_{13} is close to the CHOOZ limit ($\sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \ge 0.14$ for $\Delta m^{2} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^{2}$) [4], OPERA has the potential to observe the appearance of ν_{e} . In case no ν_{e} are observed and assuming $\Delta m^{2} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^{2}$, OPERA will be able to set a limit $\sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} < 0.06$ (90% CL) [8].

R. ZIMMERMANN

4. Conclusion

The OPERA experiment was designed to search for the $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ oscillation. A search for $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{e}$ oscillation will also be performed, in order to measure the angle θ_{13} . The project will start operating in July 2006. The discovery of the ν_{τ} appearance in $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ oscillation is an important part of the neutrino oscillation puzzle. OPERA will also prepare the way for future neutrino appearance experiments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Ashie et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 101801 (2004).
- M. Ambrosio et al., Phys. Lett. B434, 451 (1998); Eur. Phys. J. C36, 323 (2004).
- [3] W.W. Allison *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D72**, 052005 (2005).
- [4] M. Appollonio et al., Eur. Phys. J. C27, 331 (2003).
- [5] F. Boehm et al., Phys. Rev. D64, 112001 (2001).
- [6] K. Kodama et al., Phys. Lett. B 504, 218 (2001).
- [7] R. Zimmermann et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A555, 435 (2005).
- [8] M. Komatsu, P. Migliozzi, F. Terranova, J. Phys. G 29, 443 (2003).