ANTISYMMETRIC-TENSOR FIELD MEDIATING IN HIDDEN SECTOR AND REDUCTION OF ITS POLARIZATION DEGREES OF FREEDOM

Wojciech Królikowski

Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Warsaw Hoża 69, 00-681 Warszawa, Poland

(Received March 2, 2010)

In the model of hidden sector of the Universe, proposed and commented recently, a new nongauge mediating field transforming as an antisymmetric tensor (of dimension one) plays a crucial role. If it gets definite parity, say, -, it can be split into two three-dimensional fields of spin 1 and parity - and +, respectively, much like the electromagnetic field (of dimension two) is split into its electric and magnetic parts. Then, the parity is preserved by a new weak interaction in the hidden sector. A priori, the parts of the nongauge mediating field may be either independent or dependent. We discuss a simple natural constraint that may relate them to each other in a relativistically covariant way, reducing their independent polarization degrees of freedom to three. In Appendix, we describe another option, where the mediating field (of dimension one) is gauged by a vector field (of dimension zero).

PACS numbers: 14.80.-j, 04.50.+h, 95.35.+d

1. Introduction

In previous papers [1,2], we have proposed a model of hidden sector of the Universe, consisting of sterile spin-1/2 Dirac fermions ("sterinos"), sterile spin-0 bosons ("sterons"), and sterile nongauge mediating bosons ("A bosons") described by an antisymmetric-tensor field (of dimension one) weakly coupled to steron-photon pairs and, more obviously, to the antisterino-sterino pairs,

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{f}\left(\varphi F_{\mu\nu} + \zeta \bar{\psi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi\right) A^{\mu\nu} , \qquad (1)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ is the Standard-Model electromagnetic field (of dimension two), while \sqrt{f} and $\sqrt{f} \zeta$ denote two dimensionless small coupling constants. Here, it is presumed that $\varphi = \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} + \varphi_{\text{ph}}$ with a spontaneously

nonzero vacuum expectation value $\langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} \neq 0$. Such a coupling of photons to the hidden sector has been called "photonic portal" (to hidden sector). It provides a weak coupling between the hidden and Standard-Model sectors of the Universe. The photonic portal is an alternative to the popular "Higgs portal" (to hidden sector) [3].

In the present note, we discuss the polarization degrees of freedom for A bosons, in particular, a simple natural constraint that may reduce these degrees to three in a relativistically covariant way.

The new interaction Lagrangian (1), together with the A-boson kinetic and Standard-Model electromagnetic Lagrangians, leads to the following field equations for $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $A_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\partial^{\nu} \left[F_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{f} \left(\langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} + \varphi_{\text{ph}} \right) A_{\mu\nu} \right] = -j_{\mu} , \qquad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\left(\Box - M^2\right) A_{\mu\nu} = -\sqrt{f} \left[\left(\langle \varphi \rangle_{\rm vac} + \varphi_{\rm ph} \right) F_{\mu\nu} + \zeta \bar{\psi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi \right] , \qquad (3)$$

where j_{μ} denotes the Standard-Model electric current and M stands for a mass scale of A bosons, expected typically to be large.

The field equations (2) ("supplemented Maxwell's equations") are modified due to the presence of hidden sector. This modification has a magnetic character, because the hidden-sector contribution to the total electric sourcecurrent $j_{\mu} + \partial^{\nu} [\sqrt{f} (\langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} + \varphi_{\text{ph}}) A_{\mu\nu}]$ for the electromagnetic field A_{μ} is a four-divergence giving no contribution to the total electric charge $\int d^3x \{j_0 + \partial^k [\sqrt{f} (\langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} + \varphi_{\text{ph}}) A_{0k}]\} = \int d^3x j_0 = Q$. In particular, it can be seen that the vacuum expectation value $\langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} \neq 0$ generates spontaneously a small sterino magnetic moment

$$\mu_{\psi} = \frac{f\zeta}{2M^2} \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} \,, \tag{4}$$

though sterinos are electrically neutral. This is a consequence of an effective sterino magnetic interaction

$$-\mu_{\psi}\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi F^{\mu\nu} \tag{5}$$

appearing, when the low-momentum-transfer approximation

$$A_{\mu\nu} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{f} \zeta}{M^2} \bar{\psi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi \tag{6}$$

effectively implied by Eq. (3) is used in the interaction (1) with $\varphi = \langle \varphi \rangle_{\rm vac} + \varphi_{\rm ph}$.

2. Option of independent field components for A bosons

In analogy with the familiar splitting of $F_{\mu\nu}$ into \vec{E} and \vec{B} , we can split the field $A_{\mu\nu}$ into the three-dimensional vector and axial fields $\vec{A}^{(E)}$ and $\vec{A}^{(B)}$ of spin 1 and parity – and +, respectively (if the field $A_{\mu\nu}$ has a definite parity, say, –). Then,

$$(A_{\mu\nu}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_1^{(E)} & A_2^{(E)} & A_3^{(E)} \\ -A_1^{(E)} & 0 & -A_3^{(B)} & A_2^{(B)} \\ -A_2^{(E)} & A_3^{(B)} & 0 & -A_1^{(B)} \\ -A_3^{(E)} & -A_2^{(B)} & A_1^{(B)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (7)

Similarly, for the spin tensor $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = (i/2)[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}]$ with $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_k) = (\gamma^0 \gamma^k) = (i\sigma^{k0})$ and $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_k) = \gamma_5 \vec{\alpha} = (1/2) \left(\varepsilon_{klm} \sigma^{lm} \right)$ (k = 1, 2, 3), we get

$$(\sigma^{\mu\nu}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\alpha_1 & i\alpha_2 & i\alpha_3 \\ -i\alpha_1 & 0 & \sigma_3 & -\sigma_2 \\ -i\alpha_2 & -\sigma_3 & 0 & \sigma_1 \\ -i\alpha_3 & \sigma_2 & -\sigma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (8)

Then, the interaction (1) can be rewritten in the form

$$\left(\varphi\vec{E} - i\zeta\bar{\psi}\,\vec{\alpha}\,\psi\right) \cdot \vec{A}^{(E)} - \left(\varphi\vec{B} - \zeta\bar{\psi}\,\vec{\sigma}\psi\right) \cdot \vec{A}^{(B)}\,,\tag{9}$$

where $\varphi = \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} + \varphi_{\text{ph}}$ with $\langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} \neq 0$. Consequently, the first and second of supplemented Maxwell's equations (2) for photons can be split as follows:

$$\vec{\partial} \times \left(\vec{B} + \sqrt{f}\,\varphi\vec{A}^{(B)}\right) = \partial_0\left(\vec{E} + \sqrt{f}\,\varphi\vec{A}^{(E)}\right) + \vec{j},$$
$$\vec{\partial} \cdot \left(\vec{E} + \sqrt{f}\,\varphi\vec{A}^{(E)}\right) = j_0, \qquad \vec{\partial} \times \vec{E} = -\partial_0\vec{B}, \qquad \vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \qquad (10)$$

and the field equation (3) for A bosons as:

$$(\Box - M^2) \vec{A}^{(E)} = -\sqrt{f} \left(\varphi \vec{E} - i\zeta \bar{\psi} \vec{\alpha} \psi \right) , (\Box - M^2) \vec{A}^{(B)} = -\sqrt{f} \left(\varphi \vec{B} - \zeta \bar{\psi} \vec{\sigma} \psi \right) ,$$
(11)

where $\varphi = \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} + \varphi_{\text{ph}}$ with $\langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} \neq 0$. Here, $(j_{\mu}) = (j_0, -\vec{j})$ is the Standard-Model current $(\vec{E} = -\partial_0 \vec{A} - \vec{\partial} A_0 \text{ and } \vec{B} = \vec{\partial} \times \vec{A} \text{ with } (\partial_{\mu}) = (\partial_0, \vec{\partial}) \text{ and}$ $(A_{\mu}) = (A_0, -\vec{A})$. Note that the source-free Eqs. (10) are, of course, the ordinary source-free Maxwell's equations. The sterile A bosons described by the fields $\vec{A}^{(E)}$ and $\vec{A}^{(B)}$, when they propagate freely in space $(\sqrt{f} \rightarrow 0)$, get the one-particle wave functions

$$\vec{A}_{\vec{k}_A}^{(E,B)}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_A}} \vec{e}^{(E,B)} e^{-ik_A \cdot x}, \qquad (12)$$

where $k_A = (\omega_A, \vec{k}_A)$ with $\omega_A = \sqrt{\vec{k}_A^2 + M^2}$, while $\vec{e}^{(E,B)}$ are linear polarizations of $A^{(E)}$ and $A^{(B)}$ bosons [2]. If the field $A_{\mu\nu}$ has a definite parity, say, -, then due to Eq. (7) the polarizations $\vec{e}^{(E)}$ and $\vec{e}^{(B)}$ are polar and axial vectors, respectively. Then, the parity is preserved by the new weak interaction (1) or (9) in the hidden sector.

Denoting by $e_{\mu\nu}$ the antisymmetric polarization tensor appearing in the *A*-boson relativistic free wave function

$$A_{\mu\nu\vec{k}_A}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_A}} e_{\mu\nu} e^{-ik_A \cdot x}$$
(13)

split according to Eq. (7) into $\vec{A}_{\vec{k}_A}^{(E,B)}$ given in Eq. (12), we can write

$$(e_{\mu\nu}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_1^{(E)} & e_2^{(E)} & e_3^{(E)} \\ -e_1^{(E)} & 0 & -e_3^{(B)} & e_2^{(B)} \\ -e_2^{(E)} & e_3^{(B)} & 0 & -e_1^{(B)} \\ -e_3^{(E)} & -e_2^{(B)} & e_1^{(B)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (14)

(Of course, there is a triplet of antisymmetric polarization tensors $e_{\mu\nu a}$ (a = 1, 2, 3) split into two triplets of linear polarizations $\vec{e}_a^{(E,B)} = \left(e_{ka}^{(E,B)}\right)$ (a = 1, 2, 3, k = 1, 2, 3).)

If the fields $\vec{A}^{(E)}$ and $\vec{A}^{(B)}$ are independent (as can be in Eqs. (11)), then the corresponding polarizations form two independent triplets of orthonormal versors,

$$\vec{e}_{a}^{(E,B)} \cdot \vec{e}_{b}^{(E,B)} = \delta_{ab} (a, b = 1, 2, 3), \quad \sum_{a=1}^{3} e_{ka}^{(E,B)} e_{la}^{(E,B)} = \delta_{kl} (k, l = 1, 2, 3)$$
(15)

with $\vec{e}_a^{(E,B)} = (e_{ka}^{(E,B)}) (a = 1, 2, 3, k = 1, 2, 3)$ [2].

In place of the option of independent field components for A bosons, we will discuss in Section 3 an option with a simple natural constraint that may relate the fields $\vec{A}^{(E)}$ and $\vec{A}^{(B)}$ to each other in a relativistically covariant way, reducing their independent polarization degrees of freedom to three.

3. Option of $A_{\mu\nu}A^{\mu\nu} = 0$ for A bosons

Consider the natural option, where the axial polarizations $\vec{e}_a^{(B)}$ (a = 1, 2, 3) are related to the polar polarizations $\vec{e}_a^{(E)}$ (a = 1, 2, 3) through the constraint

$$\vec{e}_{1,2,3}^{(B)} = \vec{e}_{2,3,1}^{(E)} \times \vec{e}_{3,1,2}^{(E)}$$
(16)

(both in right- and left-handed frame of reference), where

$$\vec{e}_{2,3,1}^{(E)} \times \vec{e}_{3,1,2}^{(E)} = (+ \text{ or } -)\vec{e}_{1,2,3}^{(E)}$$
 (17)

in a right- or left-handed frame of reference, respectively. Thus, the constraint (16) can be trivially rewritten as

$$\vec{e}_a^{(B)} = (+ \text{ or } -)\vec{e}_a^{(E)}$$
 (18)

(a = 1, 2, 3), showing that $\vec{e}_a^{(B)}$ are parallel or antiparallel to $\vec{e}_a^{(E)}$ and have the same magnitudes as $\vec{e}_a^{(E)}$,

$$\vec{e}_a^{(B)2} = \vec{e}_a^{(E)2} \,. \tag{19}$$

Then, from Eqs. (14) and (19) it follows that the products

$$e_{\mu\nu a}e_a^{\mu\nu} = 2\left(\vec{e}_a^{(B)2} - \vec{e}_a^{(E)2}\right) = 0$$
(20)

(a = 1, 2, 3) are relativistically covariant in a trivial way. Notice that, when $\vec{e}_a^{(E)2} = 1$, the orthonormal conditions (15) are valid in the present option as previously in the option of independent $\vec{e}_a^{(E)}$ and $\vec{e}_a^{(B)}$ (a = 1, 2, 3), though now $\vec{e}_a^{(B)}$ are dependent on $\vec{e}_a^{(E)}$ (through Eqs. (18)).

For the field operators $\vec{A}^{(E,B)}(x)$, we can write in the Heisenberg picture that

$$\vec{A}^{(E,B)}(x) = \int d^3 \vec{k}_A \sum_{a=1}^3 a_a(\vec{k},t) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_A}} \vec{e}_a^{(E,B)} e^{-ik_A \cdot x} + \text{h.c.}, \quad (21)$$

where the annihilation and creation operators, $a_a(\vec{k}, t)$ and $a_a^{\dagger}(\vec{k}, t)$, are the same for (E) and (B) components of $A_{\mu\nu}(x)$. In the case of constraint (18), we can infer from Eq. (21) that

$$\vec{A}^{(B)}(x) = (+ \text{ or } -)\vec{A}^{(E)}(x)$$
 (22)

and hence,

$$\vec{A}^{(B)2}(x) = \vec{A}^{(E)2}(x).$$
 (23)

Then, Eqs. (7) and (23) imply that the product

$$A_{\mu\nu}(x)A^{\mu\nu}(x) = 2\left[\vec{A}^{(B)2}(x) - \vec{A}^{(E)2}(x)\right] = 0$$
(24)

is relativistically covariant in a trivial manner.

Thus, in conclusion, the new natural option, accepting the constraint (18) for the polarizations $\vec{e}_a^{(E)}$ and $\vec{e}_a^{(B)}$ or, equivalently, the constraint (22) for the fields $\vec{A}^{(B)}(x)$ and $\vec{A}^{(E)}(x)$, may be satisfactory in describing polarizations of the mediating A bosons in our model of hidden sector (communicating with the Standard-Model sector through the photonic portal). In this option, the parity is preserved by the new weak coupling (1) or (9) in the hidden sector. It is a scheme practically realizing three axial $\vec{e}_a^{(B)}$ in terms of three polar $\vec{e}_a^{(E)}$ in a relativistically covariant, trivial way. From the methodological point of view, the constraint (18) (see also its form (16)) has the character of a definition of $\vec{e}_a^{(B)}$ in terms of $\vec{e}_a^{(E)}$ that, in consequence, is included in the definition of field $A_{\mu\nu}(x)$.

In Sections 4 and 5, we will describe as an illustration two simple particle processes that may be important for the hypothetic phenomenology of A bosons.

4. Illustration 1: annihilation of a pair AA into a pair $\gamma\gamma$

To illustrate the working of our formalism consider in the lowest order the annihilation channel $AA \to \varphi_{\rm ph}^* \gamma \varphi_{\rm ph}^* \gamma \to \gamma \gamma$ induced by the coupling

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{f}\,\varphi_{\rm ph}F_{\mu\nu}\,A^{\mu\nu}\tag{25}$$

following from the interaction Lagrangian (1) with $\varphi = \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} + \varphi_{\text{ph}}$.

The corresponding S-matrix element reads (in an obvious notation):

$$S(AA \to \gamma\gamma) = -if \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{12}} \frac{1}{16\omega_1\omega_2\omega_{A1}\omega_{A2}}\right]^{1/2} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (k_1 + k_2 - k_{A1} - k_{A2})$$

$$\times \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{1}{i} e_2^{\mu\nu} (k_{2\mu}e_{2\nu} - k_{2\nu}e_{2\mu}) \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_{A1})^2 - m_{\varphi}^2} \frac{1}{i} (k_{1\rho}e_{1\sigma} - k_{1\sigma}e_{1\rho})e_1^{\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{i} e_2^{\mu\nu} (k_{1\mu}e_{1\nu} - k_{1\nu}e_{1\mu}) \frac{1}{(k_2 - k_{A1})^2 - m_{\varphi}^2} \frac{1}{i} (k_{2\rho}e_{2\sigma} - k_{2\sigma}e_{2\rho})e_1^{\rho\sigma}\right], \quad (26)$$

where according to the matrix (14) for the antisymmetric polarization tensor $e_{\mu\nu}$ of A bosons we put

$$e^{\mu\nu} = \begin{cases} e_k^{(E)} & \mu\nu = k \, 0 \,, \\ -\varepsilon_{klm} e_m^{(B)} & \mu\nu = k \, l \,, \\ -e_l^{(E)} & \mu\nu = 0 \, l \,, \end{cases}$$
(27)

and, subsequently, take into account the constraint (18)

$$\vec{e}^{(B)} = \pm \vec{e}^{(E)}$$
 (28)

in a right- or left-handed frame of reference, respectively. Then, in Eq. (26) there appears four times the expression of the type

$$\frac{1}{i}e^{\mu\nu} \left(k_{\mu}e_{\nu} - k_{\nu}e_{\mu}\right) = -\frac{1}{i}2\vec{e}^{(E)} \cdot \left(\omega\vec{e} \pm \vec{k} \times \vec{e}\right) \,. \tag{29}$$

Here, $\omega = |\vec{k}|$ and $\omega_A = \sqrt{\vec{k}_A^2 + M^2}$, giving $(k - k_A)^2 - m_{\varphi}^2 = -2(\omega\omega_A - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}_A) + M^2 - m_{\varphi}^2$ with $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}_A = \omega \sqrt{\omega_A^2 - M^2} \cos \theta_{\vec{k}}$.

From Eq. (26) we calculate the differential and total cross-sections for the channel $AA \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$:

$$\frac{d^6\sigma(AA \to \gamma\gamma)}{d^3\vec{k}_1 d^3\vec{k}_2} = \frac{(2\pi)^6}{v_{\rm rel}} \sum_{e_1} \sum_{e_2} \frac{1}{3} \sum_{e_1^{(E)}} \frac{1}{3} \sum_{e_2^{(E)}} \frac{|S(AA \to \gamma\gamma)|^2}{(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(0)}$$
(30)

and (two photons are indistinguishable)

$$\sigma(AA \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 \vec{k}_1 d^3 \vec{k}_2 \frac{d^6 \sigma(AA \to \gamma\gamma)}{d^3 \vec{k}_1 d^3 \vec{k}_2} \,. \tag{31}$$

The result we obtain in the centre-of-mass frame, where $\vec{k}_{A1} + \vec{k}_{A2} = 0$ and $v_{\rm rel} = 2\sqrt{\omega_{A1}^2 - M^2}/\omega_{A1} = 2|\vec{k}_{A1}|/\omega_{A1} = 2v_{A1}$, is

$$\sigma \left(AA \to \gamma\gamma\right) 2v_{A1} = \frac{1}{144\pi\omega_{A1}^2} \frac{\xi}{v_{A1}^2} \left(\frac{\xi}{1-\xi^2} + \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi}\right)$$
(32)

with

$$\xi \equiv \frac{2\omega_{A1}\sqrt{\omega_{A1}^2 - M^2}}{2\omega_{A1}^2 - M^2 + m_{\varphi}^2} = \frac{2\omega_{A1}^2}{2\omega_{A1}^2 - M^2 + m_{\varphi}^2} v_{A1}$$
(33)

(here, of course, $\omega_{A1} = \omega_{A2} = \omega_1 = \omega_2$ and $v_{A1} = v_{A2}$).

Note that for nonrelativistic A bosons (when $\omega_{A1} \to M$) we get $\xi \to [2M^2/(M^2 + m_{\varphi}^2)]v_{A1}$. If it happens that $M^2 \sim m_{\varphi}^2$ or $\gg m_{\varphi}^2$, then $\xi \to v_{A1}$ or $2v_{A1}$, respectively. Thus, in the nonrelativistic case, we have from Eq. (32)

$$\sigma \left(AA \to \gamma\gamma\right) 2v_{A1} \to \frac{f^2}{72\pi M^2} \left(\frac{2M^2}{M^2 + m_{\varphi}^2}\right)^2 \sim \frac{f^2}{72\pi M^2} \,, \qquad (34)$$

the last step working if it happens that $M^2 \sim m_{\varphi}^2$.

5. Illustration 2: decay of an A boson into a fermion pair $\bar{f}f$

In contrast to sterinos, sterons and A bosons are not stable. For an illustration consider in the lowest order the decay channel $A \to \gamma^* \to \bar{f}f$, where f is a charged fermion (e.g. $f = e^-, \mu^-, p$). This process is induced by the coupling

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{f}\langle\varphi\rangle_{\rm vac}F_{\mu\nu}A^{\mu\nu} - e_f\bar{\psi}_f\gamma^\mu\psi_f A_\mu\,,\qquad(35)$$

where the first term follows from the interaction Lagrangian (1) with $\varphi = \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} + \varphi_{\text{ph}}$, while the second presents the Standard-Model electromagnetic interaction for f fermions (e.g. $e_f = -e, -e, e$).

The corresponding S-matrix element reads (in an obvious notation):

$$S(A \to \bar{f}f) = -ie_f \sqrt{f} \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} \left[\frac{1}{(2\pi)^9} \frac{m_f^2}{E_1 E_2 2\omega_A} \right]^{1/2} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - k_A) \\ \times \frac{1}{2} \bar{u}(p_1) \frac{1}{i} \left(k_A^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - k_A^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \right) v(p_2) \frac{1}{k_A^2} e_{\mu\nu} , \qquad (36)$$

where the A-boson antisymmetric polarization tensor $e_{\mu\nu}$ is given as in Eq. (27) and the constraint (28) is taken into account. Then,

$$\left(k_A^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} - k_A^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\right)e_{\mu\nu} = 2\left[\omega_A\vec{\gamma} - \vec{k}_A\beta \mp \left(\vec{k}_A \times \vec{\gamma}\right)\right] \cdot \vec{e}^{(B)} = 2M\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{e}^{(E)} , \quad (37)$$

the last step being valid for the A boson at rest, where $\vec{k}_A = 0$ and so, $\omega_A = M$. In this case, in consequence of energy-momentum conservation, $\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2 = 0$ and $E_1 = E_2 = \omega_A/2 = M/2$.

From Eq. (36) we calculate the differential and total decay rates in the channel $A \to \bar{f}f$:

$$\frac{d^6 \Gamma(A \to \bar{f}f)}{d^3 \bar{p_1} d^3 \bar{p_2}} = (2\pi)^3 \frac{1}{3} \sum_{e^{(E)}} \sum_u \sum_v \frac{|S(A \to \bar{f}f)|^2}{(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(0)}$$
(38)

and

$$\Gamma(A \to \bar{f}f) = \int d^3 \vec{p_1} \, d^3 \vec{p_2} \frac{d^6 \Gamma(A \to \bar{f}f)}{d^3 \vec{p_1} \, d^3 \vec{p_2}} \,. \tag{39}$$

For the A boson at rest, we obtain

$$\Gamma\left(A \to \bar{f}f\right) = \frac{e_f^2 f\langle\varphi\rangle_{\rm vac}^2}{12\pi M} \frac{\left(M^2 + 2m_f^2\right)\sqrt{M^2 - 4m_f^2}}{M^3}.$$
 (40)

When $M^2 \gg m_f^2$, then Eq. (40) gives

$$\Gamma(A \to \bar{f}f) \simeq \frac{e_f^2 f \langle \varphi \rangle_{\rm vac}^2}{12\pi M} \sim \frac{e_f^2 f M}{12\pi} \,, \tag{41}$$

the last step applying if it happens that $M^2 \sim \langle \varphi \rangle^2_{\rm vac}$.

Stable sterinos are candidates for thermal cold dark matter. In this case, under the tentative assumption that

$$m_{\psi}^2 \sim \left(10^{-3} \text{ to } 1\right) \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}}^2 \sim m_{\varphi}^2, \qquad M^2 \sim \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}}^2, \qquad (42)$$

and putting boldly

$$f \sim e^2 \simeq 0.0917, \qquad \zeta \sim 1,$$
 (43)

we estimate (in a similar way as in the third Ref. [1]) that

$$m_{\psi} \sim (13 \text{ to } 770) \text{ GeV}$$
. (44)

This gives $M^2 \sim (400 \text{ to } 770)^2 \text{ GeV}^2$. Here, the experimental value $\Omega_{\text{DM}}h^2 \sim 0.11$ is taken for the dark-matter relic abundance [4]. Then, the annihilation cross-section for an antisterino–sterino pair (improved in comparison with the third Ref. [1]) is equal to

$$\sigma_{\rm ann}\left(\bar{\psi}\psi\right)v_{\rm rel} \sim \left[\sigma\left(\bar{\psi}\psi \to \varphi_{\rm ph}\gamma\right) + (20/3 \text{ to } 8)\sigma\left(\bar{\psi}\psi \to e^+e^-\right)\right]2v_\psi \sim pb \tag{45}$$

(pb = 10^{-12} b = 10^{-36} cm²), where $3 \cdot [1 + 3 \cdot (4/9 + 1/9)] = 8$ for $m_t < m_{\psi}$ ~ 770 GeV and without top quark $3 + 2 \cdot 3 \cdot (4/9 + 1/9) + 3 \cdot (1/9) = 20/3$ for $m_t > m_{\psi} \sim 13$ GeV (masses of active leptons and quarks are neglected versus $E_{\psi} \sim m_{\psi}$).

In the case of these assumptions, we can estimate from Eqs. (34) and (41) that

$$\sigma(AA \to \gamma\gamma) 2v_{A1} \sim (2.3 \text{ to } 0.62) \times \frac{10^{-4}}{\text{TeV}^2} = (0.088 \text{ to } 0.024) \text{ pb}$$
 (46)

and

$$\Gamma(A \to \bar{f}f) \sim (90 \text{ to } 170) \text{ MeV} = \left(\frac{1}{0.72} \text{ to } \frac{1}{0.38}\right) \times \frac{10^{23}}{\text{s}}$$
(47)

 $(\hbar = 1 = c).$

Appendix

Option of a dimensionless vector field gauging our mediating field

The sterile mediating field $A_{\mu\nu}$ of dimension one, discussed in this paper, is not gauged in the conventional manner *i.e.*, it is not the four-dimensional curl of a vector field of *dimension one*, as in the case of electromagnetic field $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ gauged by the vector field A_{μ} . In this Appendix, we ask the question, what would happen, if the mediating field $A_{\mu\nu}$ (still of dimension one) were the four-dimensional curl of a new *dimensionless* vector field χ_{μ} ,

$$A_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\chi_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\chi_{\mu} \,, \tag{A.1}$$

where, for simplicity, we would apply the analogue of electromagnetic Lorentz gauge

$$\partial_{\mu}\chi^{\mu} = 0 \tag{A.2}$$

giving $\partial^{\nu} A_{\mu\nu} = -\partial^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \chi_{\mu} = \Box \chi_{\mu}.$

In the case of option (A.1), the hidden-sector interaction Lagrangian (1) in Section 1 takes the form

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{f}\left(\varphi F^{\mu\nu} + \zeta \bar{\psi} \,\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi\right) \left(\partial_{\mu}\chi_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\chi_{\mu}\right) \tag{A.3}$$

that up to a four-divergence can be replaced by the coupling

$$-\sqrt{f} \left[\partial_{\nu} \left(\varphi F^{\mu\nu} + \zeta \bar{\psi} \,\sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi\right)\right] \chi_{\mu} \,. \tag{A.4}$$

Here, the formal current $\partial_{\nu}(\varphi F^{\mu\nu} + \zeta \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi)$ (of dimension four) is *identically* conserved,

$$\partial_{\mu} \left[\partial_{\nu} \left(\varphi F^{\mu\nu} + \zeta \bar{\psi} \, \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi \right) \right] \equiv 0 \,, \tag{A.5}$$

not providing a new gauge charge (of dimension one), since

$$Q^{(\chi)} \equiv \int d^3 \vec{x} \, \partial_\nu \left(\varphi F^{0\nu} + \zeta \bar{\psi} \, \sigma^{0\nu} \psi\right) = 0 \tag{A.6}$$

 $(F^{00} = 0 \text{ and } \sigma^{00} = 0)$ due to the presence of ∂_{ν} at front of the integrand. However, this guarantees a trivial gauge invariance with respect to χ_{μ} , when the kinetic Lagrangian (of dimension four) for χ_{μ} is built up only from $A_{\mu\nu}$ (of dimension one) in the conventional way:

$$-\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\partial_{\lambda}A_{\mu\nu}\right)\left(\partial^{\lambda}A^{\mu\nu}\right) - M^{2}A_{\mu\nu}A^{\mu\nu}\right].$$
(A.7)

Then, the field equations (2) and (3) in Section 1 transit respectively into the forms

$$\partial^{\nu} \left[F_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{f} \varphi \left(\partial_{\mu} \chi_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \chi_{\mu} \right) \right] = -j_{\mu} \quad \text{or} \quad \Box A_{\mu} = -j_{\mu} - \sqrt{f} \partial^{\nu} \left[\varphi \left(\partial_{\mu} \chi_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \chi_{\mu} \right) \right]$$
(A.8)

(with $\partial^{\nu} A_{\nu} = 0$) and

$$(\Box - M^2)\Box\chi_{\mu} = -\sqrt{f}\,\partial^{\nu}\left(\varphi F_{\mu\nu} + \zeta\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi\right) \tag{A.9}$$

(with $\partial^{\nu}\chi_{\nu} = 0$). We can derive Eq. (A.9) either applying the Euler-Lagrange equations to the Lagrangian involving χ_{μ} , $\partial_{\mu}\chi_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\chi_{\mu}$ and $\partial_{\lambda}(\partial_{\mu}\chi_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\chi_{\mu})$ or acting on both sides of the field equation (3) with the operator ∂^{ν} . Note that in the low-momentum-transfer approximation, when \Box can be neglected *versus* the large mass scale squared M^2 , we obtain from Eq. (A.9)

$$\Box \chi_{\mu} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{f}}{M^2} \partial^{\nu} \left(\varphi F_{\mu\nu} + \zeta \bar{\psi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi \right) \,. \tag{A.10}$$

In contrast, for processes near the mass shell, $\Box \sim M^2$, we can write $(\Box - M^2)\chi_{\mu} \simeq (\sqrt{f}/M^2)\partial^{\nu} (\varphi F_{\mu\nu} + \zeta \bar{\psi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi).$

Now, consider for χ_{μ} the vacuum solution $\chi_{\mu}^{(\text{vac})}$ to the field equation (A.9) (with $\langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} \neq 0$ and $\varphi_{\text{ph}} = 0$ as well as $\psi = 0$), satisfying, therefore, the simpler field equation

$$(\Box - M^2) \Box \chi_{\mu}^{(\text{vac})} = -\sqrt{f} \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} \Box A_{\mu}^{(\text{vac})} = \sqrt{f} \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} j_{\mu} + f \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}}^2 \Box \chi_{\mu}^{(\text{vac})}$$
(A.11)

or

$$\left(\Box - \widetilde{M}^{2}\right) \Box \chi_{\mu}^{(\text{vac})} = \sqrt{f} \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} j_{\mu} , \qquad (A.12)$$

where

$$\widetilde{M}^2 = M^2 + f \langle \varphi \rangle_{\rm vac}^2 \,. \tag{A.13}$$

In the particular case of electrically charged particle at rest at the point \vec{x}_0 , where

$$j^{\mu}(\vec{x}) = e_0 g^{\mu 0} \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0) , \qquad (A.14)$$

we get from Eq. (A.12)

$$\chi_{\mu}^{(\mathrm{vac})}(\vec{x}) = -\left(\frac{1}{\Delta} - \frac{1}{\Delta - \tilde{M}^2}\right) \frac{1}{\tilde{M}^2} \sqrt{f} \langle \varphi \rangle_{\mathrm{vac}} e_0 g_{\mu 0} \,\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0)$$
$$= \frac{e_0 \sqrt{f} \langle \varphi \rangle_{\mathrm{vac}}}{\tilde{M}^2} \frac{g_{\mu 0}}{4\pi |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|} \left(1 - e^{-\tilde{M}|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|}\right) \tag{A.15}$$

 $(\Box = \Delta - \partial_0^2)$. We can see that here $\chi_{\mu}^{(\text{vac})}$ is spontaneously generated by $\langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} \neq 0$ via the field equation (A.12). In the low-momentum-transfer approximation, we can put $\stackrel{\sim}{M} |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0| \gg 1$ and hence obtain

$$\chi_{\mu}^{(\text{vac})}(\vec{x}) \simeq \frac{e_0 \sqrt{f} \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}}}{\widetilde{M}^2} \frac{g_{\mu 0}}{4\pi |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|} \,. \tag{A.16}$$

Thus, in this approximation, the Coulomb-like dependence on $|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|$ dominates in $\chi_{\mu}^{(\text{vac})}$ given in Eq. (A.15).

The space-integrated interaction energy of two charged particles at rest at the points \vec{x}_0 and \vec{x}'_0 , corresponding to the solution (A.15) for $\chi^{(\text{vac})}_{\mu}$, is equal to (*cf.* Eq. (A.4)):

$$V^{(\text{vac})} = -\int d^{3}\vec{x}\sqrt{f} \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} \partial_{\nu} \left[F^{0\nu}(\vec{x}) \right] \chi_{0}^{\prime(\text{vac})}(\vec{x}) = \int d^{3}\vec{x}\sqrt{f} \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} j^{0}(\vec{x})\chi_{0}^{\prime(\text{vac})}(\vec{x}) + O\left(f^{2}\right) = \frac{e_{0}e_{0}^{\prime}}{4\pi} \frac{f \langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}}^{2}}{\widetilde{M}^{2}} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}_{0} - \vec{x}_{0}^{\prime}|} \left(1 - e^{-\widetilde{M}|\vec{x}_{0} - \vec{x}_{0}^{\prime}|} \right) + O\left(f^{2}\right) = V^{(\text{vac})}(|\vec{x}_{0} - \vec{x}_{0}^{\prime}|), \qquad (A.17)$$

where $\chi_0^{\prime(\text{vac})}(\vec{x}) = (e_0^{\prime}\sqrt{f}\langle\varphi\rangle_{\text{vac}}/\widetilde{M}^2)[1 - \exp(-\widetilde{M}|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0^{\prime}|)]/(4\pi|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0^{\prime}|)$ due to Eq. (A.15). For $\widetilde{M}|\vec{x}_0 - \vec{x}_0^{\prime}| \to 0$ or ∞ , the energy (A.17) tends to $(e_0e_0^{\prime}/4\pi)f\langle\varphi\rangle_{\text{vac}}^2/\widetilde{M}$ or $(e_0e_0^{\prime}/4\pi)f\langle\varphi\rangle_{\text{vac}}^2/\widetilde{M}^2|\vec{x}_0 - \vec{x}_0^{\prime}|) \to 0$, respectively.

 $(e_0 e'_0/4\pi) f\langle \varphi \rangle^2_{\text{vac}} / \tilde{M}$ or $(e_0 e'_0/4\pi) f\langle \varphi \rangle^2_{\text{vac}} / (\tilde{M}^2 | \vec{x}_0 - \vec{x}'_0 |) \to 0$, respectively. This not-observed-yet correction to the Coulomb energy $e_0 e'_0 / (4\pi | \vec{x}_0 - \vec{x}'_0 |)$ of two charged particles, generated spontaneously by $\langle \varphi \rangle_{\text{vac}} \neq 0$, would require a really small value for the constant $f\langle \varphi \rangle^2_{\text{vac}} / (M^2 + f\langle \varphi \rangle^2_{\text{vac}})$ (multiplied by $1 - \exp(-\tilde{M} | \vec{x}_0 - \vec{x}'_0 |)$) in order to be potentially acceptable. In the case of tentative assumption (42) in Section 5, where $M^2 \sim \langle \varphi \rangle^2_{\text{vac}}$ and boldly $f \sim e^2 \simeq 0.0917$, the value of $f\langle \varphi \rangle^2_{\text{vac}} / \tilde{M}^2 \sim e^2/(1 + e^2) \simeq 0.0840$ is dangerously large, so that our correction to the Coulomb energy ought to be seen in experiment. Since it is not yet, this may suggest a smaller value for f. Then, $m_{\psi} \propto f$ becomes also smaller.

Finally, we would like to point out that our previous option discussed in Section 3, based on the constraint (22), $\vec{A}^{(B)}(x) = (+ \text{ or } -)\vec{A}^{(E)}(x)$, is in contradiction with the new option (A.1) which can be considered as an "orthogonal" proposal. In fact, making use of the relations $A_k^{(E)} = A_{0k}$ and $A_k^{(B)} = -(1/2)\varepsilon_{klm}A_{lm}$ (k = 1, 2, 3) (cf. Eq. (7)), we obtain from Eq. (A.1) that

$$\vec{A}^{(E)} = -\partial_0 \vec{\chi} - \vec{\partial} \chi_0 , \qquad \vec{A}^{(B)} = \vec{\partial} \times \vec{\chi} , \qquad (A.18)$$

where $\vec{A}^{(E,B)} = \left(A_k^{(E,B)}\right)$, $(\chi^{\mu}) = (\chi^0, \vec{\chi})$, $(\partial_{\mu}) = (\partial_0, \vec{\partial})$. Hence, applying also Eq. (A.2), we get two pairs of Maxwell-type equations (but of dimension two instead of dimension three):

$$\vec{\partial} \times \vec{A}^{(E)} = -\partial_0 \vec{A}^{(B)}, \qquad \vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{A}^{(B)} = 0 \tag{A.19}$$

and

$$\vec{\partial} \times \vec{A}^{(B)} = \partial_0 \vec{A}^{(E)} - \Box \vec{\chi}, \qquad \vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{A}^{(E)} = -\Box \chi^0, \qquad (A.20)$$

where $-(\Box - M^2)\Box\chi^{\mu}$ is equal to the formal current $\sqrt{f} \partial_{\nu}(\varphi F^{\mu\nu} + \zeta \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi)$ (of dimension four) according to Eq. (A.9).

In the case of free wave functions (12) of an A boson, we infer from Eqs. (A.19) that

$$\vec{k}_A \times \vec{e}_a^{(E)} = \omega_A \vec{e}_a^{(B)}, \qquad \vec{k}_A \cdot \vec{e}_a^{(B)} = 0 \quad (a = 1, 2)$$
 (A.21)

(not a = 1, 2, 3), where $(k_A^{\mu}) = (\omega_A, \vec{k}_A)$ with $\omega_A = \sqrt{\vec{k}_A^2 + M^2}$. We choose $\vec{e}_1^{(E,B)} \cdot \vec{e}_2^{(E,B)} = 0$. Now, the field operators $\vec{A}^{(E,B)}(x)$ in the Heisenberg picture are given as in Eq. (21), but with the summation over a = 1, 2. The first relation (A.21) is really "orthogonal" to the constraint (18), $\vec{e}_a^{(B)} = (+ \text{ or } -)\vec{e}_a^{(E)}(a = 1, 2, 3)$, considered in Section 3. With the ansatz

$$\vec{k}_A \cdot \vec{e}_a^{(E)} = 0 \quad (a = 1, 2)$$
 (A.22)

and the choice $\vec{e}_a^{(E)\,2} = 1$, the relations (A.21) define two orthogonal triplets $\vec{e}_a^{(E)}$, $\vec{e}_a^{(B)}$, \vec{k}_A/ω_A , where $\vec{e}_a^{(B)\,2} = 1 - M^2/\omega_A^2 < 1$ and $(\vec{k}_A/\omega_A)^2 = 1 - M^2/\omega_A^2 < 1$, so that the vectors $\vec{e}_a^{(B)}$ and (\vec{k}_A/ω_A) are not versors (they become such in the limit of $\omega_A^2/M^2 \to \infty$). The versors $\vec{e}_a^{(E)}$ (a = 1, 2) can be treated as two independent linear polarizations of an A boson.

The first Eq. (A.21)together with Eq. (A.22) implies that

$$\vec{k}_A \times \vec{e}_a^{(B)} = -\omega_A \left(1 - M^2 / \omega_A^2 \right) \vec{e}_a^{(E)} \quad (a = 1, 2).$$
 (A.23)

The last relation and the relation (22) show that the free gauging wave function $\chi^{\mu}_{a\vec{k}}(x)$ of an A boson may be presented as

$$\chi^{\mu}_{a\,\vec{k}_{A}}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{A}}} \frac{1}{i\,\omega_{A}} e^{\mu}_{a} e^{-ik_{A}\cdot x} \tag{A.24}$$

with

$$(e_a^{\mu}) = \left(0, \vec{e}_a^{(E)}\right) \quad (a = 1, 2).$$
 (A.25)

Then, due to the first Eq. (A.21) and Eq. (A.22), it satisfies together with $A^{\mu\nu}_{a\vec{k}_A}(x)$ its defining formulae (A.1) and (A.2). Here, $\chi^0_{a\vec{k}_A}(x) = 0$ in consequence of the ansatz (A.22).

W. Królikowski

REFERENCES

- W. Królikowski, arXiv:0803.2977[hep-ph]; Acta Phys. Pol. B 39, 1881 (2008); Acta Phys. Pol. B 40, 111 (2009); Acta Phys. Pol. B 40, 2767 (2009).
- [2] W. Królikowski, arXiv:0909.2498[hep-ph]; arXiv:0911.5614[hep-ph].
- [3] Cf. e.g. J. March-Russell, S.M. West, D. Cumberbath, D. Hooper, J. High Energy Phys. 0807, 058 (2008).
- [4] C. Amsler et al., [Particle Data Group], Phys. Lett. B667, 1 (2008).