# PROMPT $J/\Psi$ AND $b \rightarrow J/X$ PRODUCTION IN pp COLLISIONS AT $\sqrt{(s)} = 7$ TeV AT LHCb\*

# E. Santovetti

### for the LHCb Collaboration

Università degli Studi di Roma "Tor Vergata", Italy and I.N.F.N., Italy

#### (Received April 29, 2011)

The LHCb measurement of the  $J/\Psi$  production cross-section is presented. The double differential cross-section is measured as a function of the  $J/\Psi$  transverse momentum  $p_{\rm T}$  and of the  $J/\Psi$  rapidity y in the fiducial region  $p_{\rm T} \in [0; 14]$  GeV/c and  $y \in [2; 4.5]$ . The analysis is based on a sample of 5.2 pb<sup>-1</sup> collected in September 2010 at the p-p Large Hadron Collider at CERN, at a centre of mass energy of 7 TeV. The contributions from prompt  $J/\Psi$  and  $J/\Psi$  from *B*-meson are separated using the  $J/\Psi$  pseudo-proper time.

DOI:10.5506/APhysPolB.42.1567 PACS numbers: 14.40.Pq, 13.60.Le

# 1. Introduction

Theoretical motivations for a measurement of the quarkonium production cross-section are several. Charmonium production description is an important challenge of the QCD theory and the cross-section measurement represents a very important test of the several QCD theory models.

This article presents the measurement with the LHCb detector of the production cross-section of prompt  $J/\Psi$  and  $J/\Psi$  from b as a function of the its transverse momentum  $p_{\rm T}$  and rapidity y. Three major sources of  $J/\Psi$  production in p-p collisions can be distinguished: direct production; feed-down from other heavier charmonium states produced promptly, like  $\Psi(2s)$  or  $\chi_c$  decays; from b-hadron decays. In the following, the first two sources will be called prompt  $J/\Psi$  and the third one  $J/\Psi$  from b quark

<sup>\*</sup> Presented at the Cracow Epiphany Conference on the First Year of the LHC, Cracow, Poland, January 10–12, 2011.

or beauty hadrons. The analysis will lead to a measurement of the production cross-sections  $\sigma$  (prompt  $J/\Psi$ ;  $p_{\rm T} < 14$  GeV/c; 2 < y < 4.5) and  $\sigma$  ( $J/\Psi$  from b;  $p_{\rm T} < 14$  GeV/c; 2 < y < 4.5) in *p*-*p* collisions at  $\sqrt{(s)} = 7$  TeV.

#### 2. The LHCb detector and dataset

The study reported here uses 5.2  $pb^{-1}$  of pp collision data recorded by the LHCb experiment at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at a centre of mass energy of 7 TeV in September 2010. The LHCb detector is a forward spectrometer described in detail in [1]. All detectors were fully operational and in a stable condition for the data that are included in this analysis. The data were collected using two Level 0 (L0) trigger lines: the single-muon line, which requires one muon candidate with a  $p_{\rm T}$  larger than 1.4 GeV/c. and the dimuon line, which requires two muon candidates with  $p_{\rm T}$  larger than 0.56 GeV/c and 0.48 GeV/c, respectively. They provide the input candidates for the corresponding High Level Trigger 1 (HLT1) lines: the first one confirms the single-muon candidates from L0, and applies a harder  $p_{\rm T}$  selection at 1.8 GeV/c; the second line confirms the dimuon candidates and requires their combined mass to be greater than 2.5  $\text{GeV}/c^2$ . The HLT2 algorithm selects events having two muon candidates with an invariant mass greater than 2.9 GeV/ $c^2$ . For a fraction of the data, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 3.0  $\text{pb}^{-1}$ , the HLT1 single muon line was pre-scaled by a factor of five. To avoid the possibility that a few events with a high occupancy dominate the HLT CPU time, a set of global event cuts (GEC) is applied on the hit multiplicities of each sub-detector used by the pattern recognition algorithms. These cuts were introduced to cope with conditions encountered during the 2010 running period of the LHC, in which the average number of visible interactions per bunch crossing was a factor of five above the design value. The GEC were chosen to reject busy events with a large number of pile-up interactions with minimal loss of luminosity.

# 3. $J/\Psi$ selection

The analysis selects events in which at least one primary vertex is reconstructed.  $J/\Psi$  candidates are formed from pairs of opposite sign tracks reconstructed in the full tracking system. Each track must have  $p_{\rm T}$  above 0.7 GeV/c, have a good quality of the track fit ( $\chi^2/{\rm ndf} < 4$ ) and be identified as a muon. The two muons are required to originate from a common vertex, and only candidates with a  $\chi^2$  probability of the vertex fit larger than 0.5% are kept.  $J/\Psi$  from b tend to be produced away from the primary vertex and can be separated from prompt  $J/\Psi$ , which are produced at the primary vertex, by exploiting the  $J/\Psi$  pseudo-proper time defined as

$$t_z = \frac{(z_{J/\Psi} - z_{\rm PV}) \times M_{J/\Psi}}{p_z}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $z_{J/\Psi}$  and  $z_{\rm PV}$  are the positions along the z-axis of the  $J/\Psi$  decay vertex and of the primary vertex respectively;  $p_z$  is the measured  $J/\Psi$  momentum in the z direction and  $M_{J/\Psi}$  the nominal  $J/\Psi$  mass.

# 4. Cross-section determination

The differential cross-section for  $J/\Psi$  production in a given  $(p_{\rm T},y)$  bin is defined as

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dy\,dp_{\rm T}} = \frac{N(J/\Psi \to \mu^+ \,\mu^-)}{\mathcal{L} \times \epsilon_{\rm tot} \times \mathcal{B}(J/\Psi \to \mu^+ \,\mu^-) \times \Delta p_{\rm T} \times \Delta y}\,,\tag{2}$$

where  $N(J/\Psi \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$  is the number of observed  $J/\Psi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$  decays in bin  $(p_{\rm T}, y)$ ,  $\epsilon_{\rm tot}$  the  $J/\Psi$  detection efficiency including acceptance and trigger efficiency in bin  $(p_{\rm T}, y)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  the integrated luminosity,  $\mathcal{B}(J/\Psi \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ the branching fraction of the  $J/\Psi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$  decay ( $(5.93 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-2}$  [2]), and  $\Delta y = 0.5$  and  $\Delta p_{\rm T} = 1$  GeV/c the y and  $p_{\rm T}$  bin sizes, respectively. In each bin of  $p_{\rm T}$  and y, the number of signal  $J/\Psi$  from all sources is estimated from an extended unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the invariant mass distribution of the reconstructed  $J/\Psi$  candidates, where the signal is described by a Crystal Ball function [3,4] and the combinatorial background by an exponential function. The fraction of  $J/\Psi$  from b is then extracted from a fit to the  $t_z$  distribution. As an example, Fig. 1 (left) shows the mass distribution together with the fit results for one specific bin (3 GeV/c  $< p_{\rm T} < 4$  GeV/c, 2.5 < y < 3.0). Summing over all bins, a total signal yield of 565 000 events is obtained.

# 4.1. Determination of the fraction of $J/\Psi$ from b

The fraction of  $J/\Psi$  from b is determined from the fits to the pseudoproper time  $t_z$  and the  $\mu^+ \mu^-$  invariant mass in each bin of  $p_T$  and y. The signal proper-time distribution is described by a delta function at  $t_z = 0$  for the prompt  $J/\Psi$  component, an exponential decay function for the  $J/\Psi$  from b component and a long tail arising from the association of the  $J/\Psi$  candidate with the wrong primary vertex. Since the tail distribution affects the measurement of the  $J/\Psi$  from b component, a method has been developed to extract its shape from data. The method consists of associating a  $J/\Psi$  from a given event with the primary vertex of the next event in the  $J/\Psi$  sample.



Fig. 1. Dimuon mass distribution (left) for all the  $J/\Psi$  sample and  $t_z$  distribution (right), with fit results superimposed (light grey (blue) curve), corresponding to the region 3 GeV/ $c < p_{\rm T} < 4$  GeV/c and 2.5 < h < 3. In the right plot, the individual contributions due to  $J/\Psi$  and background are also shown.

This simulates the position of an uncorrelated primary vertex with which the  $J/\Psi$  is associated. The prompt and b components of the signal function are convolved with a double-Gaussian resolution function. The background consists of random combinations of muons from semi-leptonic b and c decays, which tend to produce positive  $t_z$  values, as well as of mis-reconstructed tracks from decays in flight of kaons and pions which contribute both to positive and negative  $t_z$  values. The background distribution is parametrized with an empirical function based on the shape of the  $t_z$  distribution seen in the  $J/\Psi$  mass sidebands. It is taken as the sum of a delta function and five exponential components (three for positive  $t_z$  and two for negative  $t_z$ , the negative and positive exponentials with the largest lifetimes having their lifetimes fixed to the same value), convolved with the sum of two Gaussian functions. The total fit function is the sum of the products of the mass and  $t_z$  fit functions for the signal and background. As an example, Fig. 1 (right) represents the  $t_z$  distribution for one specific bin (3 GeV/ $c < p_T < 4$  GeV/cand 2.5 < y < 3) with the fit result superimposed.

# 4.2. Efficiency calculation

A simulated sample of inclusive, unpolarised  $J/\Psi$  mesons is used to estimate the total efficiency  $\epsilon_{\text{tot}}$  in each bin of  $p_{\text{T}}$  and y. The total efficiency is the product of the geometrical acceptance, the detection, reconstruction and selection efficiencies, and the trigger efficiency. The efficiencies are assumed to be equal for prompt  $J/\Psi$  and  $J/\Psi$  from b in a given  $(p_{\text{T}}, y)$  bin because neither the trigger nor the selection makes use of impact parameter or decay length information. A correction to the efficiency is applied to take into account the effect of the global event cuts, introduced during data taking to remove high multiplicity events. The effect of such cuts is estimated from data and found to be equal to  $(93 \pm 2)\%$ .

### 4.3. Effect of the $J/\Psi$ polarisation on the efficiency

The efficiency is evaluated from a Monte Carlo simulation in which the  $J/\Psi$  is produced unpolarised. However, studies show that non-zero  $J/\Psi$  polarisation may lead to very different efficiencies. In this analysis, the efficiency variation is studied in the helicity frame [5,6]. The angular distribution of the  $\mu^+$  from the  $J/\Psi$  decay is

$$\frac{d^2 N}{d\cos\theta d\phi} = 1 + \lambda_{\theta} \cos^2\theta + \lambda_{\theta\phi} \sin 2\theta \cos\phi + \lambda_{\phi} \sin^2\theta \cos 2\phi , \qquad (3)$$

where  $\theta$  is defined as the angle between the direction of the  $\mu^+$  momentum in the  $J/\Psi$  rest frame and the direction of the  $J/\Psi$  momentum in the centreof-mass frame of the colliding protons, and  $\phi$  is the azimuthal angle between the production plane (formed by the the beam line and the  $J/\Psi$  velocity) and the decay plane (formed by the  $J/\Psi$  direction and the  $\mu^+$  momentum in the  $J/\Psi$  rest frame). The three numbers  $\lambda$  parametrize the  $J/\Psi$  polarization. When  $\lambda_{\phi} = 0$  and  $\lambda_{\theta\phi} = 0$ , the values  $\lambda_{\theta} = +1$ , 1 and 0 correspond to fully transverse, fully longitudinal and no polarisation respectively, which are the three default polarisation scenarios considered in this analysis. The polarisation significantly affects the acceptance and reconstruction efficiencies. The relative efficiency change for prompt  $J/\Psi$  varies between 3% and 30% depending on  $p_{\rm T}$  and y, when comparing to the unpolarised case. Therefore, the measurement of the differential prompt  $J/\Psi$  cross-section will be given for the three default polarisations and a separate uncertainty due to the polarisation will be assigned to the integrated cross-section.

# 4.4. Systematic uncertainties

The different contributions to the systematic uncertainties affecting the cross-section measurement are discussed in the following and summarised in Table I.

#### 5. Results

The measured double-differential cross-sections for prompt  $J/\Psi$  and  $J/\Psi$  from b in the various  $(p_{\rm T}, y)$  bins, after all corrections and assuming no polarisation, are shown in figure 2.

The results for full transverse and full longitudinal polarisation of the  $J/\Psi$  in the helicity frame are given in figure 3.

Source	Systematic uncertainty $(\%)$
Correlate	d between bins
Inter-bin cross-feed	0.5
Mass fits	1.0
Radiative tail	1.0
Muon identification	1.1
Tracking efficiency	8.0
Track c2	1.0
Vertexing	0.8
GEC	2.0
${\cal B}(J/\Psi  o \mu^+ \mu^-)$	1.0
Luminosity	10.0
Uncorrelat	ed between bins
Bin size	0.1 to 15.0
Trigger	1.7 to 4.5
Applied only to $J/\Psi$ from b created by the second	oss-sections, correlated between bins
GEC efficiency on B events	2.0
$t_z$ fits	3.6

Number of signal and background events for each bin of  $p_{\rm T}$ , obtained from the fit of the mass spectrum. The peak central value and the sigma are also reported.



Fig. 2. Differential production cross-section for prompt  $J/\Psi$  (left) and  $J/\Psi$  from b (right) as a function of  $p_{\rm T}$  in bins of y, assuming that prompt  $J/\Psi$  are produced unpolarised. The errors are the quadratic sums of the statistical and systematic uncertainties.

The integrated cross-section for prompt  $J/\Psi$  production in the defined fiducial region, summing over all bins of the analysis, is

$$\sigma_{\rm pr}(p_{\rm T} < 14 \text{ GeV}/c, 2.0 < y < 4.5) = 10.52 \pm 0.04 \pm 1.40^{+1.64}_{-2.20} \ \mu {\rm b} \,, \quad (4)$$



Fig. 3. Differential production cross-section for prompt  $J/\Psi$  as a function of  $p_{\rm T}$  in bins of y, assuming full transverse (left) or full longitudinal (right)  $J/\Psi$  polarisation. The errors are the quadratic sums of the statistical and systematic uncertainties.

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic. The result is quoted assuming unpolarised  $J/\Psi$  and the last error indicates the uncertainty related to this assumption. The integrated cross-section for the production of  $J/\Psi$  from b in the same fiducial region is

$$\sigma_{\text{from }b}(p_{\text{T}} < 14 \text{ GeV}/c, 2.0 < y < 4.5) = 1.14 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.16 \ \mu\text{b}, \qquad (5)$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic.

### REFERENCES

- [1] [The LHCb Collaboration] A.A. Alves *et al.*, *JINST* **3**, S08005 (2008).
- [2] K. Nakamura et al., J. Phys. G 37, 075021 (2010).
- [3] J.E. Gaiser, Ph.D. Thesis, SLAC-R-255 (1982).
- [4] T. Skwarnicki, Ph.D. Thesis, DESY-F31-86-02 (1986).
- [5] K. Gottfried, J.D. Jackson, *Nuovo Cim.* **33**, 309 (1964).
- [6] C.S. Lam, W.K. Tung, *Phys. Rev.* **D18**, 2447 (1978).