# SYSTEMATIC STUDIES OF THE THREE-NUCLEON SYSTEM DYNAMICS IN THE DEUTRON–PROTON BREAKUP REACTION\*

B. Klos<sup>a</sup>, I. Ciepal<sup>b</sup>, St. Kistryn<sup>b</sup>, E. Stephan<sup>a</sup>, A. Biegun<sup>c</sup>, K. Bodek<sup>b</sup> A. Deltuva<sup>d</sup>, E. Epelbaum<sup>e</sup>, M. Eslami-Kalantari<sup>c,p</sup>, A.C. Fonseca<sup>d</sup> J. GOLAK<sup>b</sup>, B. JAMRÓZ<sup>a</sup>, V. JHA<sup>f</sup>, N. KALANTAR-NAYESTANAKI<sup>c</sup>, H. KAMADA<sup>g</sup> G. KHATRI<sup>b</sup>, DA. KIRILLOV<sup>h</sup>, DI. KIRILLOV<sup>i</sup>, ST. KLICZEWSKI<sup>j</sup>, A. KOZELA<sup>j</sup> M. Kravcikova<sup>k</sup>, H. Machner<sup>e</sup>, A. Magiera<sup>b</sup>, G. Martinska<sup>1</sup> J. Messchendorp<sup>c</sup>, A. Nogga<sup>m</sup>, W. Parol<sup>b</sup>, A. Ramazani-Moghaddam-Arani<sup>c,p</sup> B.J. Roy<sup>f</sup>, H. Sakai<sup>n</sup>, K. Sekiguchi<sup>o</sup>, I. Sitnik<sup>i</sup>, R. Siudak<sup>j</sup>, R. Skibiński<sup>b</sup> R. Sworst<sup>b</sup>, J. Urban<sup>1</sup> H. Witała<sup>b</sup>, A. Wrońska<sup>b</sup>, J. Zejma<sup>b</sup> <sup>a</sup>University of Silesia, 40-007 Katowice, Poland <sup>b</sup>Jagiellonian University, 30-059 Kraków, Poland <sup>c</sup>Kernfysisch Versneller Instituut, 9747 AA Groningen, The Netherlands <sup>d</sup>Centro Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal <sup>e</sup>Institut f
ür Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universit
ät Bochum, 44780 Bochum, Germany <sup>f</sup>BARC, Bombay-400 085, India <sup>g</sup>Dept. of Physics, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Kitakyushu 804-8550, Japan <sup>h</sup>Forschungszentrum Jülich, Institut für Kernphysik, 52425 Jülich, Germany <sup>i</sup>JINR, Dubna, 141980 Dubna, Russia <sup>j</sup>Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, 31-342 Kraków, Poland <sup>k</sup>Technical University, 04101 Kosice, Slovakia <sup>1</sup>P.J. Safarik University, 04154 Kosice, Slovakia <sup>m</sup>FZ Jülich, IKP-3 (Theorie), IAS-4 and JCHP, 52425 Jülich, Germany <sup>n</sup>University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, 1130033, Tokyo, Japan <sup>o</sup>Tohoku University, Sendai, 9808578, Japan <sup>p</sup>Faculty of Physics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

(Received December 10, 2012)

Precise and large sets of data for cross section, vector and tensor analyzing powers for the  ${}^{1}\text{H}(\vec{d}, pp)n$  breakup reaction were obtained in experiments carried out at KVI Groningen and FZ-Jülich at deuteron beam energies of 100 MeV, 13 MeV and 160 MeV (cross sections only). These precise experimental data obtained in a wide phase-space region allowed to establish evidences for three-nucleon force contributions and to confirm predictions of sizable effect of the Coulomb force. The vector analyzing powers data are generally quite well described by theoretical predictions even with pure nucleon–nucleon interactions. Tensor analyzing powers can be also very well reproduced by calculations in most of the studied region but in some regions locally discrepancies are observed at energy of 130 MeV.

DOI:10.5506/APhysPolB.44.345 PACS numbers: 21.30.-x, 24.70.+s, 25.10,+s, 13.75.Cs

<sup>\*</sup> Presented at the Zakopane Conference on Nuclear Physics "Extremes of the Nuclear Landscape", Zakopane, Poland, August 27–September 2, 2012.

## 1. Introduction

Few-nucleon system are ideal laboratories to study nuclear forces. Among them, the system composed of three nucleons (3N) is the simplest nontrivial environment, in which various models of the nucleon–nucleon (NN) interaction can be tested. Three-nucleon system dynamics can be investigated quantitatively by comparing observables calculated with the use of Faddeev equations with results of precise measurements. The breakup observables can be predicted using modern realistic pairwise nucleon–nucleon (NN) interactions, combined with model of 3N forces [1]. Moreover, the two- and three-nucleon interactions can be modeled within the coupled-channel (CC) framework by an explicit treatment of the  $\Delta$ -isobar [2]. Alternatively, the dynamics is generated by the Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT), so far at the next-to-next-to-leading order with all relevant NN and 3N contributions taken into account [3]. The modern theoretical calculations include different pieces of nucleon-nucleon dynamics like the above-mentioned threenucleon force but also the long-range Coulomb interaction or relativistic effects. Cross section observables in the region of medium energies are very sensitive to all these effects which reveal their influence in different regions of the phase space.

## 2. Experiments

The measurements of the breakup reaction were performed at KVI Groningen with the use of the SALAD and BINA detectors covering a large fraction of the reaction phase space [4–7]. Experiment at FZ-Jülich was devoted to studies of Coulomb effects in the breakup reaction at 130 MeV deutron beam energy at very forward angles, making use of the Germanium Wall detection system [8]. The basic informations of these dp breakup experiments are shown in Table I.

TABLE I

Experiment  $dp\,130$  $dp\,100$  $dp\,130$  $dp\,160$ GeWall  $130 \,\mathrm{MeV}$  $100\,\mathrm{MeV}$  $130\,\mathrm{MeV}$  $160\,\mathrm{MeV}$ Beam energy Av. current 50 pA8 pA 10 pA 5 pAPolarization vector & tensor vector & tensor vector No (7 states)(5 states)(2 states)LH2. LD2 Target LH2 LH2LH2 Thickness  $(\sim 4 \text{ and } 6 \text{ mm})$  $(\sim 2 \,\mathrm{mm})$  $(\sim 6 \,\mathrm{mm})$  $(\sim 6 \,\mathrm{mm})$ SALAD Detector BINA GeWall BINA

Basic information of d-p experiments. The analysis of experimental data obtained for deutron breakup reaction at 160 MeV is in progress.

### 3. Results

In order to search for subtle dynamical effects in few-body systems, a precise and systematic database is needed, what makes the measurements very demanding. Our new-generation experiments fulfilled these conditions and provided a very rich set of differential cross section data for the breakup reactions [5, 8]. The cross section data for 130 MeV compared with theoretical predictions showed both the significant influence of 3NF effects [4, 5], and also revealed new unexpectedly strong effects of Coulomb interaction. The calculations with the Coulomb interaction included were performed within coupled-channels (CDB+ $\Delta$ +C) [9] and with realistic AV18 NN potential combined with the Urbana IX 3NF model (AV18+UIX+C) [10]. The role of that additional dynamics in the breakup cross section in the region of very forward angles is presented in Fig. 1 (dp 130 GeWall). The values of  $\chi^2$  per degree of freedom on the relative azimuthal angles  $\phi_{12}$  of the two breakup protons were calculated for the individual configurations. The smallest value of  $\chi^2/d.o.f.$  is obtained when the Coulomb force has been taken into account. In case of calculations which do not take into account the Coulomb force the obtained value of  $\chi^2/d.o.f.$  is about 300.

The obtained results of the vector analyzing powers of the breakup reaction at 100 and 130 MeV are well reproduced by 2N calculations in the whole studied phase space. In case of the tensor analyzing powers certain discrepancies are observed. These problems remain, even if 3NF effects and Coulomb interactions are included in the calculations [7, 11].



Fig. 1. Set  $\chi^2/d.o.f.$  values obtained for the differentional cross sections and compared to various theoretical predictions. Cells of the map refer to individual configurations defined by the polar angles of two outgoing protons,  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ , and their relative azimuthal angle  $\phi_{12}$ .

#### 4. Summary and outlook

The obtained precise experimental data in a wide phase space region at different incident beam energies can serve as valid tool for verification of rigorous theoretical calculations which have been and are being developed.

The future studies of the 3N system dynamics in the breakup reaction with BINA detector in Cyclotron Center of Bronowice were proposed. Moreover, the experiment using the deuteron beam of 340, 360 and 400 MeV and the WASA detector is planned at FZ-Jülich with the aim to study the relativistic effects.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Glöckle et al., Phys. Rep. 274, 107 (1996).
- [2] A. Deltuva, K. Chmielewski, P.U. Sauer, *Phys. Rev.* C67, 034001 (2003);
   A. Deltuva, R. Machleidt, P.U. Sauer, *Phys. Rev.* C68, 024005 (2003).
- [3] E. Epelbaum, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 57, 654 (2006).
- [4] St. Kistryn et al., Phys. Rev. C72, 044006 (2005).
- [5] St. Kistryn et al., Phys. Lett. B641, 23 (2006).
- [6] E. Stephan et al., Phys. Rev. C76, 057001 (2007).
- [7] E. Stephan et al., Phys. Rev. C82, 014003 (2010).
- [8] E. Stephan et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. A24, 515 (2009).
- [9] A. Deltuva, A.C. Fonseca, P.U. Sauer, *Phys. Rev.* C73, 057001 (2006).
- [10] A. Deltuva, *Phys. Rev.* C80, 064002 (2009).
- [11] I. Ciepał et al., Phys. Rev. C85, 017001 (2012).