

# DESIGN OF THE SABAT SYSTEM FOR UNDERWATER DETECTION OF DANGEROUS SUBSTANCES

M. SILARSKI, D. HUNIK, M. SMOLIS, S. TADEJA, P. MOSKAL

The Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University  
Łojasiewicza 11, 30-348 Kraków, Poland

*(Received January 28, 2016)*

We present status of simulations used to design a novel device for the detection of hazardous substances in the aquatic environment using neutron activation. Unlike the other considered methods based on this technique, we propose to use guides for neutron and gamma quanta which speeds up and simplifies identification. First preliminary results show that both the neutron guide and the  $\gamma$ -ray guide increase the performance of underwater threats detection.

DOI:10.5506/APhysPolB.47.497

## 1. Introduction

Growing risk of terrorism demands a constant development of new techniques for hazardous substances detection. One of the best methods for homeland security are based on the Neutron Activation Analysis (NAA) providing non-intrusive detection of explosives or drugs. The principle of the method is to use a fast neutron beam produced using sealed D+T generator to excite nuclei of investigated substance and detect characteristic gamma quanta produced in de-excitation of the nuclei. This allows one to identify the stoichiometry of the substance and determine if it is dangerous [1, 2]. So far, there are several devices based on the Neutron Activation Analysis designed for ground homeland security which were introduced in the USA by Science Applications International Corporation [3] and CALSEC [4], and in Europe in Sodem [5], EURITRACK [6] and SWAN [7] projects. These devices are used in the homeland security or contraband detection on the land. However, in an aquatic environment, one encounters serious problems due to strong attenuation of neutrons in water. Moreover, as in the case of ground detectors, an isotropic generation of neutrons induces a large environmental background. This noise can be significantly reduced by the requirement of the coincident detection of the alpha particles which are produced together

with neutrons [8, 9]. The attenuation of neutrons can be compensated by reducing the distance between generator and examined item [10]. There are also solutions based on low-energy neutrons which are moderated in water before reaching the tested object. The detector is then counting the gamma quanta from thermal neutron capture and secondary neutrons originating from the irradiated object. The identification is done by searching for anomalies in the observed spectra of gamma quanta and neutrons [11]. However, these methods do not allow to detect explosives buried deeper in the bottom of the sea and strong attenuation of neutrons and gamma quanta significantly increases the exposure time.

An alternative solution of a detector which uses the NAA technique and special guides for neutrons and emitted gamma rays was proposed within the SABAT project [12]. The device allows for detection of dangerous substances hidden deep in the bottom of the sea with significantly reduced background and provides determination of the density distribution of the dangerous substance in the tested object [11]. In this article, we present status of the design of the SABAT detector based on Monte Carlo simulations, focusing on the impact of the usage of neutron and gamma quanta guides.

## 2. Status of the simulation

In order to optimize the dimensions and relative positions of detectors and guides, we have developed dedicated open source software package written in the C++ programming language [11]. This simulation tool is using novel methods of geometry definition and particle tracking, and the Open MPI library supporting parallel computing [13]. To define the geometry of simulated objects, we use a polygon mesh, which is, in the simplest form, a collection of vertices and edges that are used to define a surface of the polyhedral 3D object. In our case, the faces consist of triangles. A trajectory of a particle traveling in a scene consisting of such defined objects is simulated with the so-called ray tracing technique. To determine if a particle entered the given object, the Möller–Trumbore method was implemented which searches for intersection of the particle trajectory with the object surface (triangle mesh). The total cross sections and angular distributions of neutrons and  $\gamma$ -rays interaction with selected nuclei were parametrized as a function of energy using data from the Evaluated Nuclear Data File (ENDF) database [14], while gamma quanta energies were taken from the Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data Files (ENSDF) [15]. As a starting point for design of the device for underwater threats detection, we have defined a simple setup with point-like source generating uniformly in space of 14.1 MeV neutrons in the neutron- $\alpha$  center-of-mass frame. As a first step, to study the influence on the measurement of gamma quanta guide and the relative position of gen-

erator and detector, we have simulated  $1.4 \times 10^7$  events with two different detector locations with and without gamma-rays guide. The scheme of the simulated setups with superimposed  $\gamma$  quanta interaction points are shown in Fig. 1. The interrogated object with dimensions  $194 \times 50 \times 50 \text{ cm}^3$  lies at the bottom of a sea and contains mustard gas ( $\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{Cl}_2\text{S}$ ). The neutron guide is a cuboid with dimensions  $40 \times 100 \times 40 \text{ cm}^3$ , while gamma-rays




Fig. 1. (Color on-line) Distribution of  $\gamma$  quanta interaction points in  $x$ - $y$  views for two positions of the detector with ((a) and (b)) and without gamma quanta guide ((c) and (d)). The point-like source of neutrons is located at the origin of the reference frame. The neutron guide is presented as the blue dashed rectangle aligned along the  $y$  axis. The container with mustard gas is a red cuboid aligned horizontally which lies at the bottom of the sea marked in cyan. The Ge detector (black/yellow) is connected to the gamma rays guide (dashed magenta polyhedron). For better visibility of the influence of guides plots are made only for  $-10 \leq z \leq 10 \text{ cm}$ .

guides are represented by polyhedron with  $15 \times 20 \text{ cm}^2$  and  $30 \times 30 \text{ cm}^2$  bases. They are both filled with air under normal conditions. As a detector, we have simulated  $15 \times 15 \times 20 \text{ cm}^3$  Ge crystal measuring the energy of gamma rays with 6% resolution (FWHM). The detector surface with dimensions of  $15 \times 20 \text{ cm}^2$  is adjusted to the shape of the gamma quanta guide. The exemplary energy spectra measured by the germanium detector for one detector position are shown in Fig. 2. As one can see in Table I, among all of the gamma quanta reaching the detector, about 80% originate from oxygen in the water independently of the detector position or presence of the guide. The second relevant source of background constitute neutrons which scatter in water and reach the detector. The amount of registered gamma quanta which were created in the container with mustard gas is quite small but the advantage of using guide is visible. The mustard gas is composed of carbon, hydrogen, chlorine and sulfur. However, Fig. 2 indicates that the 4.4 MeV carbon line is overwhelmed by background, while we see clearly gamma quanta from carbon with energy of about 9.6 MeV. In the case of chlorine and sulfur (lines of 6.5 MeV and 2.2 MeV, respectively), the oxygen background has to be significantly reduced to allow one to identify these elements more clearly. This can be done requiring the coincident detection of the  $\alpha$  particle generated together with neutron or by covering all the




Fig. 2. Simulated energy spectra as would be measured with the germanium detector. Simulations were performed for the setups presented in Fig. 1 (b) (solid histogram) and in Fig. 1 (d) (dashed histogram). The small signal from the mustard gas can be seen at about 9.6 MeV and 4.4 MeV (carbon), 2.2 MeV (sulfur) and around 6.5 MeV (chlorine). The huge background is mainly due to the gamma quanta from neutron-oxygen reactions. The energy of these quanta is smeared due to the scattering in the water. The number of entries is normalized to the generated statistics.

TABLE I

Fractional composition of sources of gamma quanta registered by the detector (in %) for all the simulated cases shown in Fig. 1. The last column shows the overall fraction of gamma quanta reaching the detector and originating from the mustard gas.

Setup	H	C	N	O	Na	Si	S	Cl	$n$	Mustard gas
a	0	0.77	0	85.17	0.24	0	0	0.26	13.56	1.02
b	0.53	1.68	0.10	76.50	0.38	0.08	0.63	1.78	18.32	3.30
c	0.59	0	0	81.71	0.88	0	0	0.89	15.93	0
d	7.88	0.59	0.05	77.04	0.69	0.24	0.10	6.95	6.46	2.25

detector faces not connected to the gamma quanta guide with thick layer of absorber. Identification of sulfur and chlorine is difficult due to neutron capture on hydrogen giving lines of very close energy and chlorine content in the sea water [11]. However, using the gamma quanta guide, one can detect  $\gamma$  rays from these two elements not affected by scattering in the water which gives a great advantage in the identification.

### 3. Conclusions and outlook

In the framework of the SABAT project, we have been developing simulation package based on novel methods of geometry definition and particle tracking. Although we are still in a very early stage of development, the first results indicate that indeed, both the neutron guide and  $\gamma$ -ray guide will increase the performance of underwater threats detection with fast neutrons [11]. With  $1.4 \times 10^7$  generated neutrons, we see enhancements of signal events in the detector together with huge background originating mostly from oxygen, as it was expected. This shows clearly that all the faces of the detector not connected to the guide should be covered with thick layer of material absorbing  $\gamma$  quanta and neutrons which constitute another big source of background. This noise can be also significantly reduced by the requirement of the coincident detection of the  $\alpha$  particle generated together with neutron, which allows for the neutron tagging [11]. As one of the possible way of the background suppression via time-of-flight method, we consider an application of plastic scintillators in order to take advantage of their excellent timing properties, as recently demonstrated in the case of low-energy gamma quanta [16–20]. The  $\alpha$ -particle detection and time measurement will be included as the next step in the development of the simulations. This will allow for a final design of the device for underwater threats detection with fast neutrons, which requires much bigger statistics generated.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Moskal, *Ann. UMCS, Sect. Phys.* **66**, 71 (2011).
- [2] M. Silarski, *Acta Phys. Pol. B Proc. Suppl.* **6**, 1061 (2013).
- [3] <http://phoenixnuclearlabs.com/solution/explosives-detection/>
- [4] B.C. Maglich, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **796**, 431 (2005); <http://www.calseco.com/>
- [5] <http://www.sodern.com/>
- [6] <http://www.euritrack.org/>
- [7] Ł. Kaźmierczak *et al.*, *Acta Phys. Pol. A* **127**, 1540 (2015); <http://aid.ncbj.gov.pl/index.php/en/products/swan>
- [8] D. Sudac *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods B* **261**, 321 (2007).
- [9] S. Pesente, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Padova, 2003.
- [10] C. Eleon *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **629**, 220 (2011).
- [11] M. Silarski *et al.*, *Acta Phys. Pol. A* **127**, 1543 (2015).
- [12] M. Silarski, P. Moskal, International patent application No. PCT/PL2015/050021.
- [13] M.J. Quinn, *Parallel Programming in C with MPI and OpenMP*, McGraw-Hill, 2004.
- [14] M.B. Chadwick *et al.*, *Nucl. Data Sheets* **112**, 2887 (2011).
- [15] M.R. Bhat, *Nuclear Data for Science and Technology* (revised as of April 2014) Ed. S.M. Qaim, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1992.
- [16] L. Raczyński *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **764**, 186 (2014).
- [17] P. Moskal *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **764**, 317 (2014).
- [18] L. Raczyński *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **786**, 105 (2015).
- [19] P. Moskal *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **775**, 54 (2015).
- [20] P. Moskal *et al.*, *Phys. Med. Biol.* **61**, 2025 (2016).