

## ON THE SPECTRAL FORMULA FOR THE PRODUCT

$$\partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_n} \Delta^+(x; a) \partial_{\nu_1} \dots \partial_{\nu_m} \Delta^+(x; b)$$

BY A. K. KWAŚNIEWSKI

Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław\*

(Received October 8, 1973; Final version received July 5, 1975)

The Källén–Lehmann spectral function for the product  $\partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_n} \Delta^+(x; a) \partial_{\nu_1} \dots \partial_{\nu_m} \Delta^+(x; b)$  is calculated. It is shown that the spectral function can be obtained without explicit calculations of the integral by means of algebraic methods.

## 1. General considerations

1. When one tries to obtain a spectral representation for the product

$$\partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_n} \Delta^+(x; a) \partial_{\nu_1} \dots \partial_{\nu_m} \Delta^+(x; b), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\Delta^+(x; a) = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^4 q e^{-iqx} \theta(q_0) \delta(q^2 - a^2),$$

one should consider the following tensor

DEF.

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}(p) = \int d^4 q q_{\mu_1} \dots q_{\mu_N} \theta(q_0) \theta(p_0 - q_0) \delta(q^2 - b^2) \delta[(p - q)^2 - a^2]. \quad (1.2)$$

This integral was calculated by Thirring [1] in his book on electrodynamics for the cases of  $N = 0$  and  $N = 1$ . For equal masses  $a = b$  and  $N = 2$  the integral was given by Lukierski [2]. The problem of calculation of the explicit expression for  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}(p)$  leads, for  $a \neq b$  and  $N > 2$ , to new complications in comparison with the cases mentioned above. The tensor  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}$  depends on masses  $a, b$  and the four vector  $p_\mu$ :

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}(p_\mu; a, b).$$

\* Address: Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej, Uniwersytet Wrocławski, Cybulskiego 36, 50-205 Wrocław, Poland.

We would like to write explicitly this dependence and use it further to obtain for  $\partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_n} \Delta^+(x; a) \partial_{\nu_1} \dots \partial_{\nu_m} \Delta^+(x; b)$  a formula analogous to the one given by Thirring for the product  $\Delta^+(x; a) \Delta^+(x; b)$ .

At first some simple results and definitions.

$$p_\mu q^\mu \equiv p \cdot q \equiv A = \frac{p^2 - a^2 + b^2}{2},$$

$$N = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &\equiv f \equiv f(p^2; a, b) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2p^2} [(p^2 - a^2 - b^2)^2 - 4a^2 b^2]^{1/2} \theta(p_0) \theta[p^2 - (a+b)^2], \end{aligned}$$

$$N = 1$$

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu = \frac{A}{p^2} f(p^2; a, b) p_\mu.$$

The tensor  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}$  is a symmetric tensor with nonvanishing traces for any pair of  $\mu$ 's. So, in general, we can say only that it is a linear combination of all linearly independent tensors of  $N$ -th rank built out of the tensor  $g^{\mu\nu}$  and the four-vector  $p^\mu$ . Now we are going to construct all these linearly independent tensors and then to express  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}$  with help of them.

We introduce a short-hand notation

$$\begin{aligned} C(s) &= \{i_s \neq i_r, i_s \neq i_{r-1} \dots i_s \neq i_{s+1}\}, \\ C(s, n) &= \{i_n \neq i_1 \neq j_n, i_n \neq i_2 \neq j_n \dots i_n \neq i_s \neq j_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a set of conditions for single sum over  $i_s$ :

$$\begin{aligned} C(s) &= \{i_r \neq i_s \neq j_r, i_{r-1} \neq i_s \neq j_{r-1}, \dots, i_{s+1} \neq i_s \neq j_{s+1}, \\ &i_r \neq j_s \neq j_r, i_{r-1} \neq j_s \neq j_{r-1}, \dots, i_{s+1} \neq j_s \neq j_{s+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a set of conditions for double sum over  $i_s$  and  $j_s$ :  $r > s \geq 1, n = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . With the help of these abbreviations we are able to construct out of  $g^{\mu\nu}$ -tensor and  $p^\mu$ -vectors some very useful symmetric tensors.

DEF.

$$\begin{aligned} [g; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N] &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{i_r < j_r}^N \sum_{i_{r-1} < j_{r-1}}^N \dots \sum_{i_1 < j_1}^N g_{\mu_i, \mu_{j_r}} g_{\mu_{i_{r-1}}, \mu_{j_{r-1}}} \dots g_{\mu_{i_1}, \mu_{j_1}}, \\ &\quad C(r-1) \quad C(1) \\ r &= \frac{N}{2}, \quad N - \text{even}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

For  $N-s$  even it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [g; \mu_1 \dots \hat{\mu}_{i_l} \dots \hat{\mu}_{i_s} \dots \mu_N] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{N-s}{2}\right)!} \sum_{k_r < l_r}^N \sum_{k_{r-1} < l_{r-1}}^N \dots \sum_{k_1 < l_1}^N g_{\mu_{k_r} \mu_{l_r}} g_{\mu_{k_{r-1}} \mu_{l_{r-1}}} \dots g_{\mu_{k_1} \mu_{l_1}}, \\
 & \quad C(r-1) \dots C(1) \\
 & \quad C(r, s) C(r-1, s) \dots C(1, s)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = (N-s)/2$  and the sign  $\wedge$  over  $\mu_i$  means “no  $\mu_i$ ”.

DEF.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; s] \\
 &= \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{i_s}^N \sum_{i_{s-1}}^N \dots \sum_{i_1}^N p_{\mu_{i_1}} p_{\mu_{i_2}} \dots p_{\mu_{i_s}} [g; \mu_1 \dots \hat{\mu}_{i_1} \dots \hat{\mu}_{i_s} \dots \mu_N]. \quad (1.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us notice that

$$[g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; 0] = [g; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N],$$

$$[g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; N] = p_{\mu_1} p_{\mu_2} \dots p_{\mu_N}.$$

2. With the help of tensors  $[g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; s]$  we can express the tensor  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}$  as follows

$N$  — even

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} = \sum_{n=0}^{N/2} [g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; 2n] f C_{2n}^{(N)}. \quad (1.5a)$$

$N$  — odd

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} = \sum_{n=0}^{(N-1)/2} [g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; 2n+1] f C_{2n+1}^{(N)}. \quad (1.5b)$$

Our next task is to determine the coefficients  $C_k^{(N)}$ . We can always do it for any  $N$  because  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}$  fulfils the following equations:

$N$  — even

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{\mu_1} \dots p_{\mu_N} \mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} &= A^N f, \\
 g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} p_{\mu_3} \dots p_{\mu_N} \mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} &= A^{N-2} b^2 f, \\
 &\vdots \\
 g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} g_{\mu_3 \mu_4} \dots g_{\mu_{N-1} \mu_N} \mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} &= b^N f. \quad (1.6a)
 \end{aligned}$$

$N$  — odd

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{\mu_1} \cdots p_{\mu_N} \mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} &= A^N f, \\
 g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} p_{\mu_3} \cdots p_{\mu_N} \mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} &= N^{N-2} b^2 f, \\
 \vdots \\
 g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \cdots g_{\mu_{N-2} \mu_{N-1}} p_{\mu_N} \mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N} &= A b^{N-1} f.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.6b}$$

These are linear equations for  $C_k^{(N)}$ . It can be easily seen that the first one can be written in the form

$N$  — even

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N/2} \binom{N}{2n} (N-2n)!! p^{N+2n} C_{2n}^{(N)} = A^N, \tag{1.7a}$$

$N$  — odd

$$\sum_{n=0}^{(N-1)/2} \binom{N}{2n+1} (N-2n-1)!! p^{N+2n+1} C_{2n+1}^{(N)} = A^N, \tag{1.7b}$$

where

$$\binom{N}{k} = \frac{N!}{k!(N-k)!}.$$

This form of equations follows from the relation

$$p_{\mu_1} \cdots p_{\mu_N} [g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; s] = (N-s)!! \binom{N}{s} p^{N+s}.$$

To obtain other equations in explicit form we must know how to perform the contractions written below

$$g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \cdots g_{\mu_k \mu_{k+1}} p_{\mu_{k+2}} \cdots p_{\mu_N} [g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; l] \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$k, l = 1, 2, \dots, N-1.$$

In Section 2 we perform the required calculations. Here we write only the result, i.e. all the linear equations satisfied by the coefficients  $C_k^{(N)}$ .

$N$  — even

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N/2} \sum_{\substack{i=-M \\ \text{step } 2}}^{M} E_i^{(M)}(2n) D_{2n+i} (N-M) C_{2n}^{(N)} = b^{N-M} A^M, \tag{1.9a}$$

step 2  $\equiv \{i = -M, -M+2, \dots, M-2, M\}$ .

$N$  — odd

$$\sum_{n=0}^{(N-1)/2} \sum_{\substack{i=-M \\ \text{step } 2}}^M E_i^{(M)}(2n+1) D_{2n+1+i}(N-M) C_{2n+1}^{(N)} = b^{N-M} A^M, \quad (1.9b)$$

where

$$D_s(N) = \frac{N!!(N+2)!!}{s!!(s+2)!(N-s)!!} p^s \quad (1.10)$$

and  $E_i^{(M)}(s)$  are given by recurrence formulas in Section 2.

3. It is easy to notice that  $\partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_n} \Delta^+(x; a) \partial_{\nu_1} \dots \partial_{\nu_m} \Delta^+(x; b)$  can be expressed in terms of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}(p)$  tensors as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_n} \Delta^+(x; a) \partial_{\nu_1} \dots \partial_{\nu_m} \Delta^+(x; b) \\ &= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-l}}{l!} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_l}^n i^l \partial_{\mu_{i_1}} \dots \partial_{\mu_{i_l}} \int d^4 p e^{-ipx} \mathcal{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \hat{\mu}_{i_1}, \hat{\mu}_{i_2} \dots \mu_{i_l} \dots \mu_n, \nu_1 \dots \nu_m}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

We must distinguish two cases of  $N$  odd and  $N$  even, and include both in the sum  $\sum_{l=0}^n$ . For this purpose we introduce odd and even deltas

$$\delta^E(N) = \begin{cases} 0; & N\text{—odd} \\ 1; & N\text{—even,} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta^O(N) = 1 - \delta^E(N).$$

The final expression for (1.1) looks as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_n} \Delta^+(x; a) \partial_{\nu_1} \dots \partial_{\nu_m} \Delta^+(x; b) \\ &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{(-i)^{n-l}}{l!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_l=1}^n \partial_{\mu_{i_1}} \dots \partial_{\mu_{i_l}} \\ & \times \{ \delta^E(m+n-l) \sum_{k=0}^{(m+n-l)/2} [g; -i\partial; \mu_1 \dots \hat{\mu}_{i_1} \dots \hat{\mu}_{i_l} \dots \mu_n, \nu_1 \dots \nu_m; 2k] \\ & \quad \times \int_0^\infty ds C_{2k}^{(m+n-l)} f(s) \Delta^+(x; s) \\ & + \delta^O(m+n-l) \sum_{k=0}^{(m+n-l-1)/2} [g; -i\partial; \mu_1 \dots \hat{\mu}_{i_1} \dots \hat{\mu}_{i_l} \dots \mu_n, \nu_1 \dots \nu_m; 2k+1] \\ & \quad \times \int_0^\infty ds C_{2k+1}^{(m+n-l-1)} f(s) \Delta^+(x; s) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

## 2. Calculation of $D_s(N)$ and $E_i^{(M)}(n)$ coefficients

For even  $N$  we define

$$D_s(N) = g_{\mu_1\mu_2} \dots g_{\mu_{N-1}\mu_N} [g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; s]. \quad (2.1)$$

It follows from (2.1) that

$$D_N(N) = p^N, \quad D_0(N) = \frac{(N+2)!!}{2}.$$

Using the following property of  $[g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; s]$

$$\begin{aligned} [g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; s] &= \frac{2}{N-s} \{ g_{\mu_1\mu_2} [g; p; \mu_3 \dots \mu_N; s] \dots \\ &+ g_{\mu_1\mu_N} [g; p; \mu_2 \dots \mu_{N-1}; s] + g_{\mu_2\mu_3} [g; p; \mu_1\mu_4 \dots \mu_N; s] \dots \\ &+ g_{\mu_2\mu_N} [g; p; \mu_1, \mu_3 \dots \mu_{N-1}; s] + \dots + g_{\mu_{N-1}\mu_N} [g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_{N-2}; s] \} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

one obtains

$$D_s(N) = \frac{2}{N-s} \left\{ \frac{N(N-1)}{2} + \frac{3N}{2} \right\} D_s(N-2). \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.3) we get

$$D_s(N) = !! \prod_{n=s+2}^N \frac{(n+2)n}{n-s} p^s, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$!! \prod_{n=i}^N a_n = a_i a_{i+2} \dots a_N, \quad i - \text{even}.$$

With the help of induction one can prove another useful property of  $[g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; s]$  tensors. Namely

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mu_1} [g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; s] \\ = p^2 [g; p; \mu_2 \dots \mu_N; s-1] + \frac{1}{s-1} [g; p; \mu_2 \dots \mu_N; s+1]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

We can now represent the contraction  $p_{\mu_1} \dots p_{\mu_M} [g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; s]$  for  $M < N$  in the form

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mu_1} p_{\mu_2} \dots p_{\mu_M} [g; p; \mu_1 \dots \mu_N; s] \\ = \sum_{\substack{i=-M \\ \text{step } 2}}^M E_i^{(M)}(s) [g; p; \mu_{M+1} \dots \mu_N; s+i], \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where "step 2" means that  $i = -M, -M+2, \dots, M-2, M$  and  $E_i^{(M)}(s) = 0$  for all  $i+s < 0$  and  $i+s > N-M$ . Using (2.5) and (2.6) one can show that

$$E_l^{(k)}(s) = p^2 E_{l+1}^{(k-1)}(s) + (l+s) E_{l-1}^{(k-1)}(s),$$

$$E_{-l}^{(l)}(s) = p^{2l} \theta(s-l) \theta(N-s),$$

$$E_l^{(l)}(s) = \frac{(s+l)!}{s!} \theta(N-2l-s) \theta(l+s),$$

$$l = -k+2, -k+4, \dots, k-2, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\theta(k) = 0$  for  $k < 0$  and  $\theta(k) = 1$  for  $k \geq 0$ . Unfortunately we are unable to solve (2.7).

We now perform the contraction

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu_N \mu_{N-1}} \cdots g_{\mu_M + 2\mu_M + 1} p_{\mu_M} \cdots p_{\mu_1} [g; p; \mu_1 \cdots \mu_N; s] \\ = \sum_{\substack{i=-M \\ \text{step 2}}} E_i^{(M)}(s) D_{s+i}(N-M). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Equations (1.9a) and (1.9b) follow from (2.8).

### 3. Final remarks

The scheme presented above could be applied further to the calculations of expression (1.1) for arbitrary  $n$  and  $m$ .

Our formula (1.12) is useful in the calculation of self-energy diagrams for particles with higher spins. This application will be considered in our next paper.

I would like to thank J. Lukierski for suggesting the problem and for critical reading of the manuscript. I would also like to thank Professor J. Łopuszański for useful discussion.

### REFERENCES

- [1] W. E. Thirring, *Principles of Quantum Electrodynamics*, ed. London 1958.
- [2] J. Lukierski, *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys.* **17**, 37 (1969).