## PAULI BLOCKING EFFECT WITHIN THE RELATIVISTIC PION GAS\*

A. DUBININ<sup>a</sup>, D. BLASCHKE<sup>a,b,c</sup>, A. FRIESEN<sup>b</sup>, L. TURKO<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland <sup>b</sup>Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, Dubna, Russia <sup>c</sup>National Research Nuclear University (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia

(Received April 26, 2017)

We show that the  $\Phi$ -derivable formulation of the cluster virial expansion for quark–meson matter contains the quark Pauli blocking effect in a pion gas in the lowest order expansion with respect to the backreaction of pions on the quark dynamics.

 $\rm DOI: 10.5506/APhysPolBSupp. 10.903$ 

In the  $\Phi$ -derivable approach [1] for the cluster virial expansion for quarkmeson matter, the thermodynamic potential reads [2]

$$\Omega = \text{Tr} \left\{ -\ln S_q^{-1} - \Sigma_q S_q + \frac{1}{2} \ln D_\pi^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} D_\pi \Pi_\pi \right\} + \Phi[S_q, D_\pi], \Phi[S_q, D_\pi] = \mathbf{O}$$

The  $\Phi$  functional is a 2PI skeleton diagram with dressed quark and pion propagators that fulfill the Dyson–Schwinger equations  $S_q^{-1} = S_{q,\text{MF}}^{-1} - \Sigma_q$ and  $D_{\pi}^{-1} = G_{\pi}^{-1} - \Pi_{\pi}$ , respectively. The self-consistent mean-field propagator is  $S_{q,\text{MF}} = [\not p - m_0 - \Sigma_{q,\text{MF}}]^{-1}$  with the Hartree self-energy  $\Sigma_{q,\text{MF}}$  and the coupling constant  $G_{\pi} = 2G_S$  being determined within the PNJL model. The self-energies of quarks and pions are obtained by a functional derivative from the  $\Phi$  functional

$$\Sigma_q = \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta S_q} = \underbrace{\qquad}_{\bullet} \approx \underbrace{\qquad}_{\bullet} + \dots ; \tag{1}$$

$$\Pi_{\pi} = \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta D_{\pi}} = \bigcirc \approx \bigcirc + 2 \stackrel{\frown}{\longleftarrow} + \dots \tag{2}$$

A fully self-consistent solution of the above thermodynamic potential would include the backreaction of pions in the medium on the propagation of

<sup>\*</sup> Presented at the "Critical Point and Onset of Deconfinement" Conference, Wrocław, Poland, May 30–June 4, 2016.

quarks [3] which is not present in the traditional rainbow-ladder scheme [4]. A first step towards a self-consistent solution is to expand the quark propagator in first order of the self-energy  $\Sigma_q$ 

$$S_q = S_{q,\text{MF}} + S_{q,\text{MF}} \Sigma_q S_{q,\text{MF}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\Sigma_q^2\right) \,. \tag{3}$$

Inserting the expansion (3) into the pion self-energy (2) and dropping terms of  $\mathcal{O}(\Sigma_q^2)$ , one obtains the rightmost diagram in (2) as a contribution to the pion self-energy. This term corresponds to the pion self-energy resulting from the quark box diagram in the NJL model description of  $\pi\pi$  scattering [5], see also [6]. It is topologically equivalent to the quark exchange contribution in the meson-meson scattering [7,8]

which results in an excellent description of the repulsive isospin 2 phase shift  $\delta_0^2$  of the  $\pi\pi$  scattering which is very important for the phenomenology of hadron resonance gases since it approximately cancels the contribution from the broad  $\sigma$  meson resonance in the  $\delta_0^0$  channel [9, 10].

We acknowledge partial support from the Institute of Theoretical Physics of the University of Wrocław under contract No. 0420/2018 (A.D.), from the MEPhI Academic Excellence Project under contract No. 02.a03.21.0005 (D.B.), from the Bogoliubov–Infeld programme (A.F.) and from the COST Action MP1304 (NewCompStar). This work was supported by the National Science Centre, Poland (NCN) under contract No. UMO-2014/13/B/ST9/ 02621.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Baym, *Phys. Rev.* **127**, 1391 (1962).
- [2] D. Blaschke, PoS BaldinISHEPPXXII, 113 (2015)
  [arXiv:1502.06279 [nuc-th]].
- [3] D. Blaschke, A. Dubinin, L. Turko, arXiv:1612.09556 [hep-ph].
- [4] D. Blaschke et al., Ann. Phys. **348**, 228 (2014).
- [5] E. Quack *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **348**, 1 (1995).
- [6] M. Oertel, M. Buballa, J. Wambach, *Phys. At. Nucl.* **64**, 698 (2001).
- [7] T. Barnes, E.S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. D 46, 131 (1992).
- [8] D. Blaschke, G. Röpke, *Phys. Lett. B* **299**, 332 (1993).
- [9] G.M. Welke, R. Venugopalan, M. Prakash, *Phys. Lett. B* 245, 137 (1990).
- [10] W. Broniowski, F. Giacosa, V. Begun, *Phys. Rev. C* 92, 034905 (2015).