INTERACTIONS ENCODED IN PHASE SHIFT*

Pok Man Lo

University of Wrocław, 50-204 Wrocław, Poland

Received 13 September 2022, accepted 22 September 2022, published online 14 December 2022

I describe how interactions can be included via a model phase shift.

DOI:10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.16.1-A78

1. Introduction

The S-matrix formulation of statistical mechanics [1, 2] offers a robust approach to determine the bulk properties of the medium based on the interactions among constituents. The connection is established by the density of states (DoS) [3, 4], which can be expressed in terms of the S-matrix via

$$\begin{aligned} B(E) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[S^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}E}S - \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}E}S^{-1}\right)S\right] \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}E}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\ln\det S(E) \\ &= 2\frac{\partial}{\partial E}\mathcal{Q}(E)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}(E)$ is the scattering phase shift. The change in thermal pressure due to interaction is given by [3, 5]

$$\Delta P \approx T\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3P}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}E'}{(2\pi)}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(m_{\mathrm{tot}}+\frac{P^2}{2m_{\mathrm{tot}}}+E'\right)}\,B\left(E'\right)\,. \tag{2}$$

While I focus here on the non-relativistic case, analogous equations can be written for the relativistic case.

* Presented at the 29th International Conference on Ultrarelativistic Nucleus–Nucleus Collisions: Quark Matter 2022, Kraków, Poland, 4–10 April, 2022.

2. Resonant scattering

Consider first the case in which the interaction is dominated by a single resonance of mass $m_{\rm res}$ and width γ. The resonant phase shift can be written as

$$\mathcal{Q}(E) = \tan^{-1} \frac{\gamma(E)/2}{m_{\rm res} - E} \,. \tag{3}$$

The effective spectral function B assumes the standard Breit–Wigner form upon neglecting the energy dependence of the numerator $\gamma(E) \to \gamma_{\rm BW}$:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\rm res}(E) &= 2\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}E}\,\mathcal{Q}_{\rm res}(E) \\ &\approx \frac{\gamma_{\rm BW}}{(E - m_{\rm res})^2 + \gamma_{\rm BW}^2/4} \\ &= -2\,\mathrm{Im}\,\frac{1}{E - m_{\rm res} + i\,\gamma_{\rm BW}/2} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The partial pressure (2) becomes that of a free gas of resonances: treated as if they were a fundamental degree of freedom. In addition, when the width is narrow the pointlike gas result [6] is recovered as $B_{\rm res}(E) \to 2\pi\delta(E - m_{\rm res})$.

3. Non-resonant scattering

On the other extreme, I consider a structureless, non-resonant scattering. Here, one obtains [3]

$$2\mathcal{Q}(E) \approx 2\,q(E)\,f \approx -\phi\,T_{\rm nr} \,, \tag{5}$$

where $q(E) = \sqrt{2m_{\rm red}E}$ is the relative momentum in the CoM frame, f is the forward scattering amplitude

$$f(E) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i\mathcal{Q}}}{q}\,\sin\mathcal{Q} \,; \tag{6}$$

and ϕ is the (non-relativistic) phase space

$$\phi(E) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 q}{(2\pi)^3}\,2\pi\delta\left(E - \frac{q^2}{2m_{\rm red}}\right) = \frac{m_{\rm red}\,q(E)}{\pi} \,. \tag{7}$$

This correctly identifies the non-relativistic T-matrix, $T_{\rm nr}$, as

$$T_{\rm nr} \approx -\frac{4\pi f}{2m_{\rm red}} \,. \tag{8}$$

Note that we consider only the real part in this approximation. The thermal pressure in Eq. (2) reads

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta P &\approx \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3P}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{\mathrm{d}E'}{(2\pi)}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(m_{\rm tot}+\frac{P^2}{2m_{\rm tot}}+E'\right)}2\mathcal{Q}\left(E'\right)\\ &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3P}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{\mathrm{d}^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(m_{\rm tot}+\frac{P^2}{2m_{\rm tot}}+\frac{q^2}{2m_{\rm red}}\right)}\,(-T_{\rm nr})\\ &\approx N^A_{\rm th}\,N^B_{\rm th}\times(-T_{\rm nr})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The same result can be obtained when an in-medium mass shift of species A, due to their interactions with species B, is imposed

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta P &\approx T\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3p_A}{(2\pi)^3}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(m_A+\frac{p_A^2}{2m_A}\right)}\,(-\beta\Delta m_A)\\ &= -\Delta m_A N^A_{\rm th} = N^A_{\rm th}\,N^B_{\rm th}\times\frac{4\pi f}{2m_{\rm red}}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the shift in mass is given by [7–12]

$$\Delta m_A \approx \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3k_B}{(2\pi)^3}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\left(m_B+\frac{k_B^2}{2m_B}\right)}\,T_{\rm nr}\,. \tag{11}$$

The generalization to quantum statistics is straightforward. This demonstrates how "in-medium" effects can be included via "vacuum" phase shifts. After all, the Hamiltonian should contain all the necessary information [13]. Note that when the relevant experimental results are available to quantify the DoS, the thermal observables computed become model independent. This provides a useful framework to analyze the observables in heavy-ion collision experiments, such as hadron yields and the momentum distributions of light hadrons [14–21].

4. Going further

There are many other aspects in which the S-matrix phenomenology can improve a thermal model. For example, the roots in the complex plane of S-matrix encode details of non-resonant interactions. Their effects on the thermal trace are as important as those from the poles. Note that the empirical partial-wave amplitudes cannot be reconstructed from a list of resonances alone.

Another aspects is the ability to handle coupled-channel effects, which would be essential to reliably describe higher resonances. As a rule, multiple channels open up, and many of these states do not result in a strong enhancement in the phase shift and thus the partial pressure would not be well approximated by the free gas [22].

Theoretically, it remains challenging to understand the S-matrix elements in terms of quarks and gluons degrees of freedom: presumably, they are forbidden in the open channels, and at low temperatures, the S-matrix scheme should yield a gas of pions. Realizing this, in the S-matrix approach could yield novel insights into describing the thermal properties of interacting hadrons and, eventually, the deconfinement phase transition in QCD.

I thank the organizer for the kind invitation to the interesting meeting. I am also grateful to Johanna Stachel, Peter Braun-Munzinger, Anton Andronic, and Krzysztof Redlich for many inspiring discussions. This work was supported by the National Science Center, Poland (NCN) under Opus grant No. 2018/31/B/ST2/01663.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Dashen, S.-K. Ma, H.J. Bernstein, *Phys. Rev.* **187**, 345 (1969).
[2] R. Venugopalan, M. Prakash, *Nucl. Phys. A* **546**, 718 (1992).
[3] P.M. Lo, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 533 (2017).
[4] P.M. Lo, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 034038 (2020).
[5] P.M. Lo, F. Giacosa, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, (2019).
[6] R. Hagedorn, *Nuovo Cim. Suppl.* **3**, 147 (1965).
[7] A. Schenk, *Nucl. Phys. B* **363**, 97 (1991).
[8] E. Shuryak, *Nucl. Phys. A* **538**, 139 (1992).
[9] M. Kacir, I. Zahed, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 5536 (1996).
[10] N. Ashida, H. Nakkagawa, A. Niegawa, H. Yokota, *Ann. Phys.* **215**, 315 (1992); *Erratum ibid.* **230**, 161 (1994).
[11] S. Jeon, P.J. Ellis, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 045013 (1998).
[12] V.L. Eletsky, M. Belkacem, P.J. Ellis, J.I. Kapusta, *Phys. Rev. C* **64**, 035202 (2001).
[13] A. LeClair, *J. Phys. A* **40**, 9655 (2007).
[14] W. Broniowski, F. Giacosa, V. Begun, *Phys. Rev. C* **92**, 034905 (2015).
[15] W. Broniowski, W. Florkowski, B. Hiller, *Phys. Rev. C* **68**, (2003).
[16] W. Weinhold, B.L. Friman, W. Noerenberg, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **27**, 3249 (1996).
[17] W. Weinhold, B. Friman, W. Norenberg, *Phys. Lett. B* **433**, 236 (1998).
[18] B. Friman *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 074003 (2015).
[19] ALICE Collaboration (B. Abelev *et al.*), *Phys. Rev. C* **88**, 044910 (2013).
[20] J. Sollfrank, P. Koch, U.W. Heinz, *Phys. Lett. B* **252**, 256 (1990).
[21] P.M. Lo, *Phys. Rev. C* **97**, 035210 (2018).
[22] A. Andronic *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **1010**, 122176 (2021).