# LATTICE STUDY OF DISORDERING OF INHOMOGENEOUS CONDENSATES AND THE QUANTUM PION LIQUID IN EFFECTIVE O(N) MODEL\*

## MARC WINSTEL

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Goethe-Universität Max-von-Laue-Straße 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

SEMEON VALGUSHEV

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University Ames, IA, 50011, USA

> Received 27 March 2024, accepted 5 April 2024, published online 15 October 2024

In this paper, we study a scalar O(N) model with a so-called moat regime — a regime with negative bosonic wave function renormalization using lattice field theory. For negative bare wave function renormalization, inhomogeneous condensates are solutions of the classical equations of motions. Using hybrid Monte Carlo simulations, we demonstrate how bosonic quantum fluctuations disorder the inhomogeneous condensate. Instead, one finds a so-called Quantum Pion Liquid, where bosonic correlation functions are spatially oscillating, but also exponentially decaying.

DOI:10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.17.6-A22

### 1. Introduction

Scalar O(N) field theories are a useful tool for the study of phase transitions. This is especially true in the case of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) at finite density where (1) Lorentz symmetry is broken explicitly and, therefore, new phases can arise and (2) Monte Carlo simulations are not available due to the sign problem. In particular, QCD might exhibit the so-called inhomogeneous phase (IP) — where in addition to chiral symmetry also translational invariance is spontaneously broken [1]. In order to study

<sup>\*</sup> Presented at Excited QCD 2024, Benasque, Huesca, Spain, 14–20 January, 2024.

this phenomenon, one can consider effective models such as Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) [2] and scalar O(N) models [3]. Instead (or in addition to) of critical end-point of O(4) universality class, one might expect to find the so-called Lifshitz point where three phases meet: disordered, ordered, and the IP.

In previous studies of a Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL)-type model in 1 + 1 dimensions, it was found that the IP coincides with the so-called moat regime [2], where the dispersion relation of quasi-particles exhibits minimum at non-zero momentum. This unusual feature manifests itself as periodic spatial oscillations of two-point correlation functions. However, the connection of the moat regime to IP is not straightforward. Quantum fluctuations are expected to weaken ordered phases such as the IP [4, 5], and in fact they can even eliminate them. In particular, it was argued that in 3 + 1 dimensional scalar O(N) model, one can find the so-called Quantum Pion Liquid (Q $\pi$ L) analogous to Quantum Spin Liquid (QSL) instead of IP [3] due to transverse fluctuations. In this case, the Q $\pi$ L is characterized by small yet always finite mass and is accompanied by the moat regime. The Q $\pi$ L regime can also be generated through mixing effects of scalar and vector modes [6, 7].

In this work, we set ourselves to go beyond limitations of previous works and address the problem of inhomogeneous phase using *ab initio* lattice calculations of scalar O(N) model at finite N.

### 2. Model and algorithm

We consider an O(N) model in 3 + 1 dimensions with spatial higherderivative terms as defined in Ref. [3] and take into account only the static Matsubara mode  $\beta \omega_0 = 0$ , where  $\beta = 1/T$ . In this case, an effective 3-dimensional Lagrangian of the static mode reads as

$$L_{\rm eff} = \frac{Z}{2} \left( \partial_j \vec{\phi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2M^2} \left( \sum_j \partial_j^2 \vec{\phi} \right)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \vec{\phi}^2 + \frac{\lambda N}{4} \left( \vec{\phi}^2 \right)^2 \,. \tag{1}$$

This Lagrangian can be analyzed in the mean-field approximation and in the large-N limit. In the mean-field approach, it features a Lifshitz point at Z = 0 and  $m^2 = 0$ , where three phases meet: disordered, ordered, and the IP. The ground state of the IP is a chiral spiral

$$\vec{\phi} = \phi_0 \left( \cos(k_0 z), \sin(k_0 z), \phi_\perp = \vec{0} \right)^T$$
, (2)

where  $k_0$  and  $\phi_0$  are such that they minimize the free energy. In contrast, in the large-N limit, the Lifshitz point is gone. The IP is replaced by a  $Q\pi L$ 

characterized by dynamically generated mass gap and oscillating two-point function for certain regions in the  $(m^2, Z)$  plane. The Q $\pi$ L can exist for both the bare parameter Z < 0 but also for positive Z. As was argued in Ref. [3], even at finite values of N, transverse fluctuations should be strong enough to disorder the condensate and transform the IP into a Q $\pi$ L.

In order to write down a Lagrangian suitable for numerical calculations, we discretize derivatives

$$[\Delta_i]_{x,y} = \frac{1}{12a^2} \left( -\delta_{y,x+2e_i} + 16\delta_{y,x+e_i} - 30\delta_{y,x} + 16\delta_{y,x-e_i} - \delta_{y,x-2e_i} \right) , \quad (3)$$

where  $e_i$  is a unit lattice step in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  direction, and perform standard Hybrid Monte Carlo (HMC) simulations. We run the calculations for N = 1, 2, 4 and lattice sizes  $V = 12^3, 16^3, 20^3$ , and various values of coupling constants. We generated around 3000–7000 independent configurations for each point of the phase diagram and used the Jackknife algorithm for error estimation.

#### 3. Results

As discussed above, one expects different alternative scenarios to an IP from analytical approximations to the partition function of model (1). Both the IP as well as the Quantum Pion Liquid regime are characterized by a particular behavior of bosonic correlations functions. Also, direct access of  $\langle \vec{\phi}(x) \rangle$  or  $\langle |\vec{\phi}|(x) \rangle$  is not suitable for detecting IPs due to destructive interference [4]. Thus, a straightforward choice for an observable characterizing these different regimes are the spatial correlation functions between the bosonic fields  $\vec{\phi}$ 

$$C^{ij}(\vec{x}) = \left\langle c^{ij}(\vec{x}) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\vec{y}} \left\langle \phi^i(\vec{y} + \vec{x}) \phi^j(\vec{y}) \right\rangle \,, \tag{4}$$

where the sum over lattice sites y is used to get more statistics. In order to characterize the different regimes, we use fits of  $C^{jj}$  for the respective regimes:

- Decaying oscillations  $C^{ij}(x) \sim \delta_{ij} e^{-m_r x} \cos(kx)$  for the Q $\pi$ L, ordinary symmetry-restored phase (OSP) (using k = 0) and the IP (using  $m_r = 0$ ).
- Algebraically decaying oscillations  $C^{ij}(x) \sim \delta_{ij} \frac{\cos(kx)}{x^{\alpha}}$  for the possible quasi-long range order (a.k.a. liquid crystal)

As a criterion to compare the fit qualities, we use the coefficient of determination. In the following, we will present results for  $M^2 = 1.0$ ,  $\lambda N = 1.0$ , and  $V = 20^3$ . However, we note that our findings are stable among different volumes  $V = 12^3$ ,  $16^3$ ,  $20^3$  except for very small, negative Z, where the decay rates are getting small and larger volumes are needed.

In Fig. 1, we plot  $C^{11}$ , see Eq. (4), as well as the preferred fit scenario for  $Z = -1.0, m^2 = 0.0$ , and N = 1, 2, 4. As one can see, the Quantum Pion Liquid fit scenario is preferred independently of N. From [3], one would have expected to obtain an IP at least for N = 1 since there is no disordering through Goldstone modes of O(N) symmetry breaking. When further decreasing Z, the obtained exponential decay rate gets smaller in consistency with the predictions from Ref. [3] from the large-N limit.



Fig. 1. Plot of  $C(x) = C^{11}(x, 0, 0)$  for  $Z = -1.0, m^2 = 0.0$ . The preferred fit scenario is determined using the coefficient of determination. Left: N = 1. Middle: N = 2. Right: N = 4.

In general, the observation of the different regimes in the  $(m^2, Z)$  plane is similar to the large-N findings [3] for all studied N. For Fig. 2, we depict the found regimes for N = 1 in comparison to the large-N boundary lines. Our



Fig. 2. (Color online) Phase diagram from fits for N = 1. The green color (dark gray shaded area)denotes Homogeneous Broken Phase (HBP), white — Ordinary Symmetric Phase (OSP), and blue (light gray shaded area) — Quantum Pion Liquid (Q $\pi$ L) regions according to the large-N results from Ref. [3].

findings are in agreement with the large-N prediction, although one has to note that the comparison of different fit scenarios does not yield conclusive results near any of the phase boundaries. The phase diagram in the  $(m^2, Z)$ plane seems to be identical for all studied values of Z,  $m^2$ , and N up to the current status of investigation.

#### 3.1. Infinite volume limit with the external field

The above findings can be seen as an indication that the IP might not exist in the  $(m^2, Z)$  phase diagram of Eq. (1). However, one still has to perform the thermodynamic limit, *i.e.*  $V \to \infty$ , in order to identify phase transitions. On the lattice, we only simulate finite volumes. Thus, we are in need of an extrapolation method of our findings to the infinite volume. While a finite volume scaling of the exponential decay rate  $m_r$  in the Quantum Pion Liquid regime is plagued by fitting and statistical errors, one can go the traditional route of studying phase transition using an external symmetrybreaking parameter. This is introduced for N = 1 by modifying Eq. (1) using an oscillating external field

$$L_{h_0} = L_{\text{eff}} - h(x)\phi_1(x), \qquad h(x) = \frac{h_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}L\,\sigma_0} \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma}(p_n - k_0)^2} \cos(p_n x_2)$$
(5)

with Gaussian distributed momenta, where  $p_n = 2\pi n/V^{1/3}$  and  $\sigma_0 = 0.1$ . In order to determine the peak of the Gaussian in momentum space, we extract  $k_0$  from the simulations with  $h_0 = 0$ . For Z = -1.0,  $m^2 = 0.0$ , and  $V = 20^3$  as used in Fig. 3, we determine  $k_0 = 0.942 \approx 3 \times 2\pi/20$ . Since the introduction of the external symmetry-breaking term does not only break translational but also rotational invariance for  $h_0 \neq 0$ , we expect that the correlation function still depends on the relative differences in the  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ directions. Translational symmetry breaking should then have an impact on its dependence on the  $x_2$  directions, *i.e.*, one expects

$$C(x_0 - y_0, x_1 - y_1, x_2, y_2) = C^{11}(x, y).$$
(6)

In Fig. 3, we plot C(0, 0, x, y) as a color map. For the left plot with  $h_0 = 0.04$ , one can directly see the signs of translational symmetry breaking due to the external field. On the other hand, translational invariance is restored for  $h_0 = 0.01$  in the right plot of Fig. 3 since there clearly is only a dependence of C on x-y. It is important to determine the transition point  $h_{0,c} \in (0.01, 0.04)$  between these behaviors as a function of the volume V in order to clarify the fate of translation symmetry. If an extrapolation of the computed values of  $h_{0,c}$  reveals that  $h_{0,c}(V) = 0.0$  for  $V \to \infty$ , the phase diagram of model Eq. (1) would, in turn, consist of an IP for N = 1





Fig. 3. (Color online) Color code of C(0, 0, x, y) for  $Z = -2.0, m^2 = 0.0$ . Note that the scaling of the color bar differs in both plots. Left:  $h_0 = 0.04$ . Right:  $h_0 = 0.01$ .

in the thermodynamic limit. Alternatively, one could rigorously establish that inhomogeneous condensates can simply be disordered by the inclusion of bosonic quantum fluctuations. It would also be interesting to study the dependence of  $C^{ij}(x, y)$  on directions which are transverse to h(x) in order to study the spontaneous breaking of the rotational symmetry. This work is planned for a future publication.

### REFERENCES

- M. Buballa, S. Carignano, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 81, 39 (2015), arXiv:1406.1367 [hep-ph].
- [2] A. Koenigstein et al., J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 55, 375402 (2022), arXiv:2112.07024 [hep-ph].
- [3] R.D. Pisarski, A.M. Tsvelik, S. Valgushev, *Phys. Rev. D* 102, 016015 (2020), arXiv:2005.10259 [hep-ph].
- [4] J. Lenz et al., Phys. Rev. D 101, 094512 (2020), arXiv:2004.00295 [hep-lat].
- [5] J. Stoll et al., arXiv:2108.10616 [hep-ph].
- [6] M. Haensch, F. Rennecke, L. von Smekal, *Phys. Rev. D* 110, 036018 (2024), arXiv:2308.16244 [hep-ph].
- [7] M. Winstel, *Phys. Rev. D* 110, 034008 (2024), arXiv:2403.07430 [hep-ph].