PHOTON-INDUCED NEUTRON, PROTON, AND ALPHA EVAPORATION FROM HEAVY NUCLEUS*

P. JUCHA^a, K. MAZUREK^a, M. KŁUSEK-GAWENDA^a, M. CIEMAŁA^a A. SZCZUREK^{a,b}, Y. SHEVCHUK^c, S. SŁOTWIŃSKI^d

^aInstitute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland ^bCollege of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Rzeszów 35-959 Rzeszów, Poland ^cThe Ivan Franko National University of Lviv 1, Universytetska str., Lviv, 79000, Ukraine ^dAGH University of Kraków, al. Adama Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

> Received 13 December 2024, accepted 3 January 2025, published online 10 April 2025

In ultraperipheral heavy-ion collisions (UPCs) at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). Pb nuclei are excited through interactions induced by strong electromagnetic fields. The expected excitation energy could reach hundreds MeV, which leads to the subsequent emission of various particles, including neutrons, protons, and alpha particles. To accurately describe deexcitation of nuclei, we have developed two novel approaches. The first method utilizes the Heavy Ion Phase Space Exploration (HIPSE) model to simulate pre-equilibrium emissions and to estimate the excitation energy of the remaining nucleus. Our second approach introduces a new technique for modeling the excitation energy of the nucleus. This method consists of a two-component function to represent the excitation energy distribution more precisely, accounting for the energy loss due to the interaction between photons and the quasi-deuteron. Both of these modeling techniques are integrated with the results produced by the GEMINI++ generator, which implements the Hauser–Feshbach formalism to simulate the statistical decay of excited nuclei. The alternative calculations are done with the EMPIRE platform. Using these approaches, we obtained the cross sections for the emission of neutrons, protons, and alpha particles resulting from UPC at the LHC. Our results were compared with the experimental data of the ALICE group on neutron and proton multiplicities.

DOI:10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.18.2-A44

^{*} Presented at the 57th Zakopane Conference on Nuclear Physics, *Extremes of the Nuclear Landscape*, Zakopane, Poland, 25 August–1 September, 2024.

2-A44.2

1. Introduction

The ultrarelativistic heavy ions are a source of strong electromagnetic fields. In the relativistic heavy-ion collisions, fast-moving charges are the source of electromagnetic field, which can generate quasi-real photons. In ultraperipheral collisions (UPC) of ions, the nucleus interacts with the field, resulting in a Coulomb excitation of the ion [1]. As an outcome, the photon-induced excitation leads to the emission of particles and nuclear fission. So far, the ALICE experiment has detected evaporated neutrons [2] and protons [3] from the nucleus using the Zero Degree Calorimeter. However, the emission of other charged particles remains unexplored so far.

Our research aims to prepare a comprehensive description of this mechanism, attempts to explain the existing ALICE data, and makes predictions for future experiments.

2. Photon-induced particle emission

The Coulomb interactions are depicted by one, two, three, or more photon exchanges, which can excite colliding nuclei. The examples of the scenario are presented in Fig. 1. Panels (a) and (b)-"single" show the situation when only one of the nuclei, taking part in the collision, is excited by a given number of γ -rays exchanges; panel (c) displays mutual excitation of both participants. In our calculations, up to 4 γ -rays exchanges are included.



Fig. 1. Nuclei excitation schemes in the UPC: (a), (b) single nucleus excitation (by various number of photons), (c) mutual nuclei excitations (multiple photon exchange).

The total cross section for γ -ray absorption can be written as

$$\sigma_{\rm tot} = \sigma_{\rm single} + \sigma_{\rm mutual} \,, \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{single}} = \sigma^{(1\gamma)} + \sigma^{(2\gamma)} + \sigma^{(3\gamma)} + \dots, \qquad (2)$$

$$\sigma_{\text{mutual}} = \sigma^{(1\gamma_1\gamma)} + \sigma^{(1\gamma_2\gamma)} + \sigma^{(2\gamma_1\gamma)} + \sigma^{(2\gamma_2\gamma)} + \dots$$
(3)

The formula for the cross section contains the photon flux $(N(E_{\gamma}, b))$, the photoabsorption cross section $(\sigma_{abs}(E_{\gamma}))$ [4], and the neutron emission probability density $(P_k(E_{\gamma}))$

$$\sigma_{kX} = \int \int P_k(E_\gamma) \sigma_{\rm abs}(E_\gamma) N(E_\gamma, b) 2\pi b \, \mathrm{d}b \, \mathrm{d}E_\gamma \,. \tag{4}$$

Above k is a multiplicity of neutrons or protons (X). The b is the impact parameter for the process.

The flux of photons $N(E_{\gamma}, b)$ is estimated by the Equivalent Photon Approximation (EPA) [1] and the details are given in Ref. [5].

The excited nucleus cools down by emission of particles, photons, and/or splitting into fragments in fission or fragmentation processes. Among many existing afterburners, we choose the following models of nuclear deexcitation:

- 1. GEMINI++ statistical model of nucleus deexcitation [6] assumes full photon energy is transformed into the excitation energy of nucleus $(E_{\text{exc}} = E_{\gamma});$
- 2. HIPSE heavy-ion particle emission generator, pre-equilibrium physics is included [7] $(E_{\text{exc}} \neq E_{\gamma})$;
- 3. EMPIRE a modular system of nuclear reaction codes for advanced nuclear reaction modeling using various theoretical models [8] ($E_{\text{exc}} \neq E_{\gamma}$).

In Ref. [5], we also proposed an alternative phenomenological approach — the two-component model (TCM) where the probability density of GEM -INI++ is corrected as

$$P(E_{\text{exc}}; E_{\gamma}) = c_1(E_{\gamma})\delta\left(E_{\text{exc}} - E_{\gamma}\right) + c_2(E_{\gamma})/E_{\gamma}.$$
 (5)

The c_1 and c_2 are functions of E_{γ} , parametrized with one free parameter. This model gives a reasonable neutron multiplicity cross section but needs further development.

Figure 2 shows the onset of pre-equilibrium emission estimated in the HIPSE and EMPIRE approaches. For photon energies above 30 MeV, the photon energy is dissipated by the emission of particles before thermal equilibration of the nucleus. Therefore, only a part of E_{γ} is transformed into $E_{\rm exc}$ of the nucleus. Furthermore, the prompt emission of particles (neutrons, protons, and deuterons) changes the mass and charge of the thermal equilibrated nucleus. Following the EMPIRE estimation, for $E_{\gamma} > 50$ MeV (for HIPSE this limit is two times higher), the initial ²⁰⁸ Pb loses at least one neutron. It has to be taken into consideration applying the de-excitation procedure. Moreover, Fig. 3 shows the minimum photon energy E_{γ} necessary to emit a given multiplicity of neutrons or charged particles. In statistical code GEMINI++, it is very improbable to get a channel of 1p1n, as the phase space for this reaction is very small. The EMPIRE and other codes, where pre-equilibrium emission provides long E_{γ} tails (see Fig. 3 (b)) for the 1n channel, assure also that 1p1n and 1p2n de-excitation are possible.



Fig. 2. The cross section of the pre-equilibrium emission (pre-eq.) compared to compound nucleus production (CN) extracted from the HIPSE $(n+^{207}\text{Pb})$ and EM-PIRE $(\gamma+^{208}\text{Pb})$ calculations.



Fig. 3. The cross section of emission: 1–5 neutrons, 1 proton, 1 deuteron and 1 α -particle (separately) estimated by GEMINI++ (a) and EMPIRE (b) approaches.

The final mass-charge distribution calculated in the HIPSE+ GEMINI++ model, presented in Fig. 4, gives the idea that apart from the emission of particles and γ rays, the fission process of the photon-induced Pb nuclei is also possible. Unfortunately, HIPSE cannot calculate the photon-induced reactions, but neutron-induced one is quite a good approximation of the $\gamma + Pb \rightarrow A^* + X$ process. Apart from evaporation residues, accompanied by light charge nuclei (with mass range of A > 180 and A < 40), there is a central part illustrating the noticeable contribution of the fission phenomena. This is confirmed by the fission cross section presented in Table 1.



Fig. 4. Final mass-charge distribution of the $n + {}^{207}\text{Pb} \rightarrow A^* + X$ at $E_n = 100 \text{ MeV}$ reaction obtained with the HIPSE+GEMINI++ model.

Table 1. Total cross sections (in barn) for neutrons and charged particle emission in UPC ²⁰⁸Pb+²⁰⁸Pb with collision energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV calculated with pure GEMINI++, HIPSE+GEMINI++, and EMPIRE. The results for inclusive channels kn and 1pXn, 1dXn and $1\alpha Xn$ are compared with the ALICE data, Refs. [2, 3].

σ [b]	GEMINI++	HIPSE+GEMINI++	EMPIRE	ALICE data
1n	90.4	124.1	100.8	108.4 ± 3.9
2n	24.9	15.6	25.3	25.0 ± 1.3
3n	3.3	4.9	5.9	7.95 ± 0.25
4n	2.4	3.6	5.6	5.65 ± 0.33
5n	1.5	3.9	3.4	4.54 ± 0.44
$n_{\rm tot}$	122.6	151.6	152.2	151.5 ± 4.7
1p	19.6	28.5	7.1	40.4 ± 1.7
1α	30.7	42.4	64.0	
1d	6.91	5.2	2.7	
Fission	10.8	18.3		

2 - A44.6

P. JUCHA ET AL.

The cross section of the production of a given number of neutrons or charged particles in the ultraperipheral reaction ${}^{208}\text{Pb} + {}^{208}\text{Pb}$ with collision energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV calculated with pure GEMINI++, HIPSE + GEMINI++ and EMPIRE is shown in Table 1. The ALICE experimental data [2, 3] are approximately reproduced by HIPSE+GEMINI++ and EM-PIRE models. The uncertainty of theoretical estimations is less than 10% and comes mainly from statistics (up to 10^6 events for each E_{γ}) and the integration procedure in Eq. (4). More details are presented in Ref. [5].

3. Conclusion

For a photon energy larger than 50 MeV, only part of the energy is transferred directly to the equilibrated, excited nucleus. Multiphoton exchanges increase the cross section for neutron emission. Mutual excitations give less than 1% of a single excitation of neutron emission cross section in the UPC. In addition to neutrons, charged particles are also emitted and protons can be measured in the proton Zero Degree Calorimeter. Our calculation gives reasonable reproduction of the ALICE data (see [2, 3]). Here we have focused on $\gamma + Pb \rightarrow A^* + X$ process which is an ingredient for the UPC collisions. We have shown the role of preequilibrium within EMPIRE and HIPSE approaches and corresponding light particle multiplicity distribution.

REFERENCES

- M. Kłusek-Gawenda, M. Ciemała, W. Schäfer, A. Szczurek, *Phys. Rev. C* 89, 054907 (2014).
- [2] ALICE Collaboration (S. Acharya et al.), Phys. Rev. C 107, 064902 (2023).
- [3] ALICE Collaboration (S. Acharya et al.), arXiv:2411.07058 [nucl-ex].
- [4] J. Ahrens, Nucl. Phys. A 446, 229 (1985).
- [5] P. Jucha et al., Phys. Rev. C 111, 034901 (2025), arXiv:2411.17865 [nucl-th].
- [6] R.J. Charity, *Phys. Rev. C* 82, 014610 (2010).
- [7] D. Lacroix, A. Van Lauwe, D. Durand, *Phys. Rev. C* 69, 054604 (2004).
- [8] M. Herman et al., Nucl. Data Sheets 108, 2655 (2007).