DEGENERATIONS OF CALABI-YAU METRICS*

VALENTINO TOSATTI

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University New York, NY 10027, USA tosatti@math.columbia.edu

(Received March 7, 2011)

We survey our recent work on degenerations of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on compact Calabi–Yau manifolds with Kähler classes approaching the boundary of the Kähler cone.

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm DOI:} 10.5506/{\rm APhysPolBSupp.4.495} \\ {\rm PACS\ numbers:\ } 02.40.{\rm Tt,\ } 02.40.{\rm Vh,\ } 02.40.{\rm Ma} \end{array}$

1. Introduction

A compact Kähler manifold X of complex dimension n is called a Calabi– Yau manifold if its first Chern class $c_1(X)$ vanishes in the cohomology group $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$. This is equivalent to requiring that the canonical bundle K_X be torsion, so that $K_X^{\otimes \ell} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ for some integer $\ell \ge 1$. The following are some simple examples of Calabi–Yau manifolds.

Example 1.1 Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda$ be the quotient of Euclidean space \mathbb{C}^n by a lattice $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$. Then X is topologically just a torus $(S^1)^{2n}$ and it has trivial tangent bundle and therefore also trivial canonical bundle. All Calabi–Yau manifolds of complex dimension n = 1 are tori, and are also called *elliptic curves*.

Example 1.2 A Calabi–Yau manifold with complex dimension n = 2 which is also simply connected is called a K3 surface. Every Calabi–Yau surface is known to be either a torus, a K3 surface, or a finite unramified quotient of these. In general these quotients will have torsion but non-trivial canonical bundle, as is the case for example for *Enriques surfaces* which are $\mathbb{Z}/2$ quotients of K3.

^{*} Presented at the Conference "Geometry and Physics in Cracow", Poland, September 21–25, 2010.

Example 1.3 Let X be a smooth complex hypersurface of degree n + 2 inside complex projective space \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} . Then by the adjuction formula the canonical bundle of X is trivial, and so X is a Calabi–Yau manifold. When n = 1 we get an elliptic curve and when n = 2 a K3 surface. More generally, one can consider smooth complete intersections in product of projective spaces, with suitable degrees, and get more examples of Calabi–Yau manifolds.

Example 1.4 Let $T = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ be a torus of complex dimension 2 and consider the reflection through the origin $i : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$. This descends to an involution of T with 16 fixed points (the 2-torsion points of T), and we can take the quotient Y = T/i which is a variety with 16 singular rational double points (also known as orbifold points). We resolve these 16 points by blowing them all up and we get a map $f : X \to Y$, where X is a smooth K3 surface, known as the *Kummer surface* of the torus T.

The fundamental result about Calabi–Yau manifolds, which is also the reason for their name, is the following:

Theorem 1.1 (Yau's solution of the Calabi Conjecture, 1976 [22, 23]) On any compact Calabi–Yau manifold X there exist Kähler metrics with Ricci curvature identically zero, which naturally have restricted holonomy contained in SU(n). Moreover, there is a unique such Ricci-flat metric in each Kähler class of X.

To make this statement more precise, recall that a Kähler metric g together with the complex structure J of X defines a real closed 2-form ω (the Kähler form) by the formula $\omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$. Conversely, we can recover g from ω by the formula $g(X, Y) = \omega(X, JY)$, and so we will often refer to ω simply as the Kähler metric. The form ω is also J-invariant, in the sense that $\omega(JX, JY) = \omega(X, Y)$, which also means that it is of complex type (1, 1). Therefore it defines a cohomology class

$$[\omega] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \cap H^{1,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) =: H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) \,.$$

A cohomology class α in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ which can be written as $\alpha = [\omega]$ for some Kähler metric ω is called a *Kähler class*. The set of all Kähler classes is called the *Kähler cone* of X and is an open convex cone

$$\mathcal{K}_X \subset H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$$

which has the origin as its vertex.

With these notions in place, we can restate Theorem 1.1 by saying that on a compact Calabi–Yau there is a unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric in each Kähler class $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_X$. These metrics are almost never explicit, and Yau constructed them by solving a fully non-linear complex Monge–Ampère PDE. Since the Kähler cone \mathcal{K}_X is open, the following question is very natural.

Question 1.1 What is the behaviour of these Ricci-flat Kähler metrics when the class α degenerates to the boundary of the Kähler cone?

This question was posed by many people, including Yau [24, 25], Wilson [21] and McMullen [13]. To get a feeling for what the Kähler cone and its boundary represent geometrically, we start with the following observation. If $V \subset X$ is a complex subvariety of complex dimension k > 0, then it is well known (from the work of Lelong) that V defines a homology class [V] in $H_{2k}(M, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, if $[\omega]$ is a Kähler class, the pairing $\langle [V], [\omega]^{\frown k} \rangle$ equals

$$\int_{V} \omega^{k} = \operatorname{Vol}(V, \omega) > 0$$

the volume of V with respect to the Kähler metric ω (Wirtinger's Theorem). It follows that if a class α is on the boundary of \mathcal{K}_X and if V is any complex subvariety then the pairing $\langle [V], \alpha^{\sim k} \rangle$ is non-negative, and moreover, a theorem of Demailly–Păun [6] shows that there must be subvarities V with pairing zero. Therefore, as we approach the class α from inside \mathcal{K}_X , these subvarieties have volume that goes to zero, and the Ricci-flat metrics must degenerate (in some way) along these subvarieties.

We now make Question 1.1 more precise. On a compact Calabi–Yau manifold X fix a non-zero class α_0 on the boundary of \mathcal{K}_X and let $\{\alpha_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ be a smooth path of classes in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ originating at α_0 and with $\alpha_t \in \mathcal{K}_X$ for t > 0. Call ω_t the unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric on X cohomologous to α_t for t > 0, which is produced by Theorem 1.1.

Question 1.2 What is the behaviour of the Ricci-flat metrics ω_t when t goes to zero?

Of course, we could also consider sequences of classes instead of a path, and all we are going to say in this paper works equally well in that case. Notice that we are not allowing the class α_t to go to infinity in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ as it approaches $\partial \mathcal{K}_X$. Because of this, we can prove the following basic fact, independently discovered by Zhang [26]: **Theorem 1.2** (Tosatti [19], Zhang [26]) The diameter of the metrics ω_t has a uniform upper bound as t approaches zero,

$$\operatorname{diam}(X,\omega_t) \leqslant C. \tag{1.1}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to construct examples of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics with unbounded cohomology class that violate (1.1), by just rescaling a fixed metric by a large number. There are also examples where the class approaches the boundary of the Kähler cone: on the torus $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda = T^2 \times T^2$ take the flat metric that gives one T^2 factor area t and the other T^2 factor area t^{-1} .

Going back to Question 1.2, the problem splits naturally into two cases which exhibit a rather different behaviour, according to whether the total integral $\int_X \alpha_0^n$ is strictly positive or zero. If $\int_X \alpha_0^n$ is positive this means that the volume

$$\operatorname{Vol}(X,\omega_t) = \int\limits_X \omega_t^n = \int\limits_X \alpha_t^n$$

remains bounded away from zero as $t \to 0$, and this is called the *non-collapsing* case. If $\int_X \alpha_0^n = 0$ then the volume $\operatorname{Vol}(X, \omega_t)$ converges to zero, and this is called the *collapsing* case.

The main Question 1.2 falls into the general problem of understanding limits of sequences of Einstein manifolds with an upper bound for the diameter (but no bound for the sectional curvature in general), a topic that has been extensively studied (see *e.g.* [9,1,2,17,4]). Our results are of a quite different nature from these works, because the convergence that we get is in a stronger sense, we have uniqueness of the limit, and we do not need to modify the metrics by diffeomorphisms. On the other hand, these other works apply in much more general set-ups, and are especially effective in complex dimension n = 2.

One final comment: in our work we always fix the complex structure and vary the Kähler class. If instead one varies the complex structure as well the behaviour is expected to be much more complicated, except in complex dimension n = 2 where changing the complex or Kähler structure are comparable operations because of an underlying hyperkähler structure. In certain higher-dimensional cases, some convergence results have recently been obtained by Ruan–Zhang [15].

2. Examples

First of all, notice that Question 1.2 is only interesting if

$$\dim H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R}) > 1\,,$$

because otherwise \mathcal{K}_X reduces to an open half-line and there is only one Ricci-flat Kähler metric on X up to global scaling by a constant, so the only possible degenerations are given by scaling this metric to zero or infinity. For this reason, the Question 1.2 is essentially void on Calabi–Yau manifolds of dimension n = 1 (*i.e.* elliptic curves).

Example 2.1 Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda$ be a complex torus. A Ricci-flat Kähler metric on X is the same as a flat Kähler metric, and each flat metric can be identified simply with a positive definite Hermitian $n \times n$ matrix. The boundary of the Kähler cone is then represented by non-negative definite Hermitian matrices H with non-trivial kernel $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (notice that in this case every class on $\partial \mathcal{K}_X$ has zero integral, so we are always in the collapsing case).

If the class α_0 corresponds to such a matrix H with the kernel Σ which is \mathbb{Q} -defined modulo Λ , then we can quotient Σ out and get a map $f: X \to Y = \mathbb{C}^m / \Lambda'$ to a lower-dimensional torus (m < n) such that $H = f^* H'$ with H' a positive definite $m \times m$ Hermitian matrix. It follows that when t approaches zero, the (Ricci-)flat metrics ω_t collapse to the flat metric on Y that corresponds to H'. Here, collapsing has the precise meaning that the geometric limit (*i.e.* Gromov–Hausdorff limit) of (X, ω_t) has dimension strictly less than n.

If, on the other hand, the kernel Σ is not \mathbb{Q} -defined, then Σ defines a foliation on X (which is not a fibration anymore) and the limit H of the (Ricci-)flat metrics is a smooth non-negative form which is *transversal* to the foliation (that means, positive in the complementary directions).

Example 2.2 Let $f: X \to Y$ be the Kummer K3 surface of a torus T, where Y = T/i is the singular quotient of T and f is the blow-up map. Take α_0 to be the pull-back of an ample divisor on Y, and note that $\int_X \alpha_0^2 > 0$. If we call E the union of the 16 exceptional divisors of f, that is the union of the 16 spheres S^2 which are the preimages of the singular points of Y, then E is a complex submanifold of X. Then Kobayashi–Todorov [11] proved that for any path α_t of Kähler classes that approach α_0 , the Ricci-flat metrics ω_t converge smoothly away from E to the pull-back of the unique flat orbifold flat metric on Y simply means a flat metric on T which is invariant under i. Note that since the limit Y has the same dimension as X, the Ricci-flat metrics are non-collapsing. This convergence result is proved using classical results on the moduli space of K3 surfaces, such as the Torelli theorem.

Example 2.3 Let X be a K3 surface which admits an elliptic fibration $f: X \to \mathbb{CP}^1 = Y$. This means that f is a surjective holomorphic map with all the fibers smooth elliptic curves except a finite number of fibers which are

singular elliptic curves. Again, we take α_0 to be the pull-back of an ample divisor on Y and note that $\int_X \alpha_0^2 = 0$. We also fix $[\omega]$ a Kähler class on X and consider only paths which are straight lines of the form

$$\alpha_t = \alpha_0 + t[\omega] \,,$$

with $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Again, we call ω_t the unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric in the class α_t for t > 0, and we call E the union of all the singular fibers of f. Then Gross–Wilson [10] have shown that when t goes to zero the metrics ω_t converge smoothly away from E to the pull-back $f^*\eta$, where η is a Kähler metric on $Y = \mathbb{CP}^1$ minus the finitely many points f(E) with singular preimage. Moreover, they show that away from E as $t \to 0$ we have

$$\omega_t \sim f^* \eta + t \omega_{\rm SF} + o(t) \,,$$

where $\omega_{\rm SF}$ is a *semi-flat* form, that is a (1,1)-form that restricts to a flat metric on each smooth torus fiber. More recently, Song–Tian [16] have noticed that the metric η on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus f(E)$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\eta) = \omega_{\mathrm{WP}} \,,$$

where ω_{WP} is the pull-back of the Weil–Petersson metric from the moduli space of elliptic curves via the map that to a point in $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus f(E)$ associates the elliptic curve which lies above that point. The (1, 1)-form ω_{WP} is smooth away from f(E) and is non-negative definite. If the fibers are all isomorphic elliptic curves then ω_{WP} vanishes identically; in this case X cannot be K3 but instead it is the torus of Example 2.1, and η is the (Ricci-)flat metric H' there. In general ω_{WP} measures the variation of the complex structure of the fibers of f.

Example 2.4 McMullen [13] has constructed a non-algebraic K3 surface X, an automorphism $F: X \to X$ with infinite order, a non-empty open set $U \subset X$ and a real number $\lambda > 1$ with the following property. If we fix any Ricci-flat Kähler metric ω on X, and we consider the Ricci-flat metrics

$$\omega_n = \lambda^{-n} (F^n)^* \omega \,,$$

then the cohomology classes $[\omega_n]$ converge to a non-zero limit class $\alpha_0 \in \partial \mathcal{K}_X$ with $\int_X \alpha_0^2 = 0$, and the metrics ω_n converge smoothly to zero on U. The set U is a *Siegel disk* for the automorphism F, which means that U is F-invariant and it is biholomorphic to a disk where F is conjugate to an irrational rotation. The number λ is the largest eigenvalue for the action of F^* on $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, and the class α_0 is an eigenvector of F^* with eigenvalue λ .

3. Main theorems

Let X be a compact Calabi–Yau manifold and $\alpha_0 \in \partial \mathcal{K}_X$ with $\int_X \alpha_0^n > 0$. Let E be the union of all complex subvarieties where α_0 integrates to zero (E itself is a complex subvariety).

Theorem 3.1 (Tosatti [19]) In this situation there exists a smooth Ricciflat Kähler metric ω_0 on $X \setminus E$ such that for any path α_t as before, the Ricciflat metrics ω_t with $t \to 0$ converge to ω_0 smoothly on $X \setminus E$. Moreover, if $\alpha_0 \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ then there exists a birational map $f : X \to Y$ with Y a singular Calabi–Yau variety such that $\omega_0 = f^* \omega$ and ω is a singular Ricci-flat Kähler metric on Y.

A singular Calabi–Yau variety can be defined in algebraic geometry as a normal variety Y with at worst canonical singularities such that some multiple of the canonical divisor K_Y is Cartier and it is trivial. A singular Ricci-flat Kähler metric on such a space can be defined as a weak solution of the complex Monge–Ampère equation, and its existence was proved by Eyssidieux–Guedj–Zeriahi [8]. Strictly speaking Theorem 3.1 is only stated in [19] for projective Calabi–Yau manifolds, but it is possible to extend the arguments there to the more general Kähler case as stated here by using the recent work of Boucksom–Eyssidieux–Guedj–Zeriahi [3].

This gives a possible answer to Question 1.2 in the non-collapsing case when $\int_X \alpha_0^n > 0$. We now consider the collapsing case when $\int_X \alpha_0^n = 0$. One major source of examples of such cohomology classes α_0 is whenever we have a holomorphic fibration $f: X \to Y$, where Y is a variety with lower dimension m < n, and we take α_0 to be the pull-back of an ample divisor on Y. Examples 2.1 and 2.3 above fall exactly in this category. A standard conjecture in algebraic geometry, the log abundance conjecture, would imply that whenever $\alpha_0 \in \partial \mathcal{K}_X$ with $\int_X \alpha_0^n = 0$ satisfies $\alpha_0 \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ then there is a fibration $f: X \to Y$ so that α_0 is the pull-back of an ample divisor on Y. So conjecturally this picture is the general picture for rational classes (compare also Example 2.1, where rational classes give a fibration and irrational classes a foliation).

In this case we can always find a proper complex subvariety $E \subset X$ such that $f: X \setminus E \to Y \setminus f(E)$ is a smooth submersion. The subvariety Eis given by the union of all singular fibers together with all the fibers with dimensions strictly larger than n-m. This implies that for any $y \in Y \setminus f(E)$ the fiber $X_y = f^{-1}(y)$ is a smooth (n-m)-dimensional compact Calabi–Yau manifold. If we fix a Kähler metric ω on X and use $\omega|_{X_y}$ as polarization, we get a map from $Y \setminus f(E)$ to the moduli space of polarized Calabi–Yau (n-m)-folds, analogously to Example 2.3 above. **Theorem 3.2** (Tosatti [20]) In this situation take $\alpha_t = \alpha_0 + t[\omega]$. Then there exists a smooth Kähler metric η on $Y \setminus f(E)$ such that the Ricci-flat metrics ω_t with $t \to 0$ converge to $f^*\eta$ on $X \setminus E$ in the $C^{1,\beta}$ topology of Kähler potentials (for any $0 < \beta < 1$). Moreover, for any $y \in Y \setminus f(E)$ the metrics $\omega_t|_{X_y}$ converge to zero in the C^1 topology of metrics. The metric η satisfies

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\eta) = \omega_{\mathrm{WP}} \,,$$

where ω_{WP} is the pull-back of the Weil-Petersson metric from the moduli space of polarized Calabi-Yau (n-m)-folds.

The Weil-Petersson metric has the same properties that we discussed in Example 2.3. One can give a more explicit formula for ω_{WP} as follows. Since the smooth fibers X_y are Calabi–Yaus, there is an integer ℓ so that $K_{X_y}^{\otimes \ell} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_y}$ for all $y \in Y \setminus f(E)$. Thus we can find a holomorphic family (parametrized by $y \in Y \setminus f(E)$) of never-vanishing holomorphic sections Ω_y of $K_{X_y}^{\otimes \ell}$, and we get a volume form $(\Omega_y \wedge \overline{\Omega_y})^{1/\ell}$ on X_y . Then on $Y \setminus f(E)$ we have

$$\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\log\int\limits_{X_y} \left(arOmega_y \wedge \overline{arOmega_y}
ight)^{1/\ell} \, .$$

We note here that in the proof of Theorem 3.2 the assumption that $\alpha_t = \alpha_0 + t[\omega]$, which essentially means that α_t does not approach $\partial \mathcal{K}_X$ tangentially, is used crucially in deriving the estimates which are described below.

4. Discussion

Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are proved by showing suitable *a priori* estimates for a degenerating family of complex Monge–Ampère equations. To be more precise, Yau's Theorem 1.1 is proved by solving the complex Monge–Ampère equation of the form

$$\left(\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi\right)^n = \Omega\,,$$

where ω is a fixed Kähler metric, Ω is a certain fixed smooth volume form and φ is a Kähler potential that we have to solve for, so the metric $\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi$ is Ricci-flat. In this case Yau proved C^3 a priori estimates for the potential φ , or equivalently C^1 estimates for the metric $\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi$, and then deduced higher order estimates by a standard bootstrapping argument.

In the setting of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we need to solve an equation of the form

$$\left(f^*\omega_Y + t\omega_X + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi_t\right)^n = c_t\Omega, \qquad (4.2)$$

where ω_X, ω_Y are fixed Kähler metrics on X and Y respectively, Ω is a fixed smooth volume form on X and c_t is a constant that is bounded away from zero in Theorem 3.1 and is comparable to t^{n-m} in Theorem 3.2.

Equation (4.2) is equivalent to the fact that the Kähler metric $\omega_t = f^*\omega_Y + t\omega_X + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi_t$ is Ricci-flat. From now on we will focus on Theorem 3.2, where the analysis is much more complicated. The equations (4.2) are complex Monge–Ampère equations that degenerate when t approaches zero, in two different ways: first, the reference metrics $f^*\omega_Y + t\omega_X$ degenerate, and second, the right-hand side approaches zero. In [20], we first show that the Kähler potentials have uniformly bounded Laplacian on every compact set of $X \setminus E$ (making crucial use of estimates of Kołodziej [12] and extensions of these by Demailly–Pali [5] and Eyssidieux–Guedj–Zeriahi [7]). Next, to prove collapsing, we show that the eigenvalues of the Ricci-flat metrics ω_t in the n-m fiber directions are all of the order of t, so that the fibers are shrunk in the limit. The remaining m eigenvalues are of the order of 1, so the overall determinant of ω_t is exactly of the order of t^{n-m} , as required by (4.2). More precisely, we prove that given any compact set $K \subset X \setminus E$

$$C_K^{-1}(f^*\omega_Y + t\omega_X) \leqslant \omega_t \leqslant C_K(f^*\omega_Y + t\omega_X).$$

Moreover, we show that when restricted to a smooth fiber X_y in K, the first derivatives of ω_t go to zero. Once all the necessary *a priori* estimates are established, we can then pass to the limit weakly in (4.2) and get exactly the equation for a metric on $Y \setminus f(E)$ with Ricci curvature equal to the Weil–Petersson metric. More details can be found in [18, 19, 20].

Let us now mention a few open problems related to the above results, which seem very interesting and perhaps not too far from accessible.

Question 4.1 It seems highly likely that if we consider the rescaled Ricciflat metrics (the so-called *adiabatic limit*)

$$\left.\frac{\omega_t}{t}\right|_{X_y},$$

then these should converge to the unique Ricci-flat metric on X_y in the cohomology class $\omega|_{X_y}$. If we denote by $\omega_{\rm SF}$ the semi-flat form, which is a (1,1)-form on $X \setminus E$ that restricts to the Ricci-flat metric on X_y cohomologous to $\omega|_{X_y}$, then this would imply that as $t \to 0$

$$\omega_t \sim f^* \eta + t \omega_{\rm SF} + o(t)$$

exactly as in Example 2.3. Indeed if one could improve the convergence result in Theorem 3.2, say to convergence in the C^2 topology of Kähler potentials, then this would follow easily by taking the limit in the corresponding Monge–Ampère equations. Unfortunately, the convergence proved

in Theorem 3.2 does not seem to be strong enough to conclude this. The following is a stronger conjecture that as we said would directly imply Question 4.1:

Question 4.2 In the setting of Theorem 3.2 prove that the convergence of ω_t to $f^*\eta$ is actually in the smooth topology away from E. For this, it is enough to prove uniform C^k estimates for ω_t on each compact set of $X \setminus E$, independent of t > 0. In the proof of Theorem 3.2 we have showed that we have uniform C^0 estimates, and C^1 in the fibers directions.

The natural remaining question is what happens to the Ricci-flat metrics ω_t when α_0 is an irrational class with $\int_X \alpha_0^n = 0$, so that there is no fibration structure. We conjecture the following:

Question 4.3 In this situation there is a proper complex subvariety $E \subset X$ and a smooth non-negative (1, 1)-form ω_0 on $X \setminus E$, which satisfies $\omega_0^n = 0$, so that the Ricci-flat metrics ω_t converge smoothly away from E to ω_0 .

In this case taking the kernel of ω_0 we would get a foliation on $X \setminus E$ with leaves holomorphic subvarieties. In general, this foliation will not be a holomorphic foliation, which means that the leaves will not vary holomorphically. In particular, the dimension of the leaves will not be constant, not even on a Zariski open set of X. One can see this in McMullen's Example 2.4, where (assuming that the metrics ω_n converge to a non-negative form ω_0) the foliation has 0-dimensional leaves on the open set U, but it has non-zero dimensional leaves somewhere else, since $\alpha_0 \neq 0$. Under the assumption that the sectional curvature of ω_t remains uniformly bounded, Ruan [14] has shown that Question 4.3 is correct, and that moreover, the foliation defined by ω_0 is holomorphic. Therefore, McMullen's example shows that Ruan's result does not hold if the curvature is unbounded (the curvature of ω_n is of the order of λ^n).

One last problem that seems very interesting is whether the convergence in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 holds in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense. More precisely, in Theorem 3.1 consider the metric space completion (Z, d) of $(X \setminus E, \omega_0)$, while in Theorem 3.2 call (Z, d) the metric space completion of $(Y \setminus f(E), \eta)$.

Question 4.4 In the setting of either Theorem 3.1 or 3.2, do the Ricciflat manifolds (X, ω_t) converge to (Z, d) in the Gromov–Hausdorff sense? Moreover, is Z homeomorphic to Y, the algebro–geometric limit?

The Gromov-Hausdorff converge is proved for K3 surfaces in the noncollapsing case in [19], and there are further results in the non-collapsing case by Ruan-Zhang [15]. In the case of collapsing K3 surfaces, this was proved by Gross-Wilson [10]. I am grateful to Yuguang Zhang for some very useful comments. This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-1005457.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.T. Anderson, J. Am. Math. Soc. 2, 455 (1989).
- [2] S. Bando, A. Kasue, H. Nakajima, *Invent. Math.* 97, 313 (1989).
- [3] S. Boucksom, P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi, to appear in Acta Math.
- [4] J. Cheeger, T. Colding, J. Differential Geom. 46, 406 (1997).
- [5] J.-P. Demailly, N. Pali, Int. J. Math. 21, 357405 (2010).
- [6] J.-P. Demailly, M. Păun, Ann. Math. 159, 1247 (2004).
- [7] P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2008, (2008).
- [8] P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi, J. Am. Math. Soc. 22, 607 (2009).
- [9] M. Gromov, Metric Structures for Riemannian and Non-Riemannian Spaces, Birkhäuser, Boston 1999.
- [10] M. Gross, P.M.H. Wilson, J. Differential Geom. 55, 475 (2000).
- [11] R. Kobayashi, A.N. Todorov, *Tohoku Math. J.* **39**, 341 (1987).
- [12] S. Kołodziej, Acta Math. 180, 69 (1998).
- [13] C.T. McMullen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 545, 201 (2002).
- [14] W.-D. Ruan, J. Differential Geom. 52, 1 (1999).
- [15] W.-D. Ruan, Y. Zhang, arXiv:0905.3424v1 [math.DG].
- [16] J. Song, G. Tian, *Invent. Math.* **170**, 609 (2007).
- [17] G. Tian, *Invent. Math.* **101**, 101 (1990).
- [18] V. Tosatti, Geometry of Complex Monge–Ampère Equations, PhD thesis, Harvard University, 2009.
- [19] V. Tosatti, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 11, 755 (2009).
- [20] V. Tosatti, J. Differential Geom. 84, 427 (2010).
- [21] P.M.H. Wilson, in: The Fano Conference, Univ. Torino, Turin 2004, pp. 793–804,
- [22] S.-T. Yau, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 1798 (1977).
- [23] S.-T. Yau, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31**, 339 (1978).
- [24] S.-T. Yau, Ann. Math. Stud. 102, Princeton Univ. Press, 1982, pp. 669–706 (problem 49).
- [25] S.-T. Yau, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 54, 1 (1993) (problem 88).
- [26] Y. Zhang, Convergence of Kähler Manifolds and Calibrated Fibrations, PhD thesis, Nankai Institute of Mathematics, 2006.