# FORWARD–BACKWARD RAPIDITY CORRELATIONS IN RELATIVISTIC HEAVY ION COLLISIONS: TORQUED FIREBALL\*

Joao Moreira<sup>a</sup>, Piotr Bożek<sup>b,c</sup>, Wojciech Broniowski<sup>b,d</sup>

 <sup>a</sup>Centro de Física Computacional, Department of Physics, University of Coimbra 3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal
 <sup>b</sup>The H. Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics, PAN Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Kraków, Poland
 <sup>c</sup>Institute of Physics, University of Rzeszów Rejtana 16a, 35-959 Rzeszów, Poland
 <sup>d</sup>Institute of Physics, Jan Kochanowski University Świętokrzyska 15, 25-406 Kielce, Poland

(Received December 6, 2011)

Statistical fluctuations in the transverse distribution of sources in relativistic heavy ion collisions and an asymmetric emission profile associated with the wounded nucleons lead to rapidity dependence of the reaction plane. The size of this effect is estimated for the gold–gold collisions at the highest RHIC energy ( $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ ) in the Glauber model using GLISSANDO. The hydrodynamical evolution of the resulting torqued fireball is carried out in 3+1 dimensions considering a perfect fluid. Hadronization is simulated using THERMINATOR including non-flow contribution coming from resonance decays. Some experimental measures that can be used to detect the torque effect are proposed.

DOI:10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.5.433 PACS numbers: 25.75.-q, 25.75.Gz, 25.75.Ld

#### 1. Introduction

Dynamical properties of the early stage of relativistic heavy ion collisions can be probed using long range rapidity correlations. Starting from the wounded nucleon [1, 2] approach to the initial stage of heavy ion collisions a torqued fireball picture naturally arises as a result [3]: the principal axes are twisted in opposite directions in the forward and backward regions. The underlying reasons for this are the statistical fluctuations in the transverse density of the sources (wounded nucleons) and the asymmetry of the

<sup>\*</sup> Presented at the Conference "Strangeness in Quark Matter 2011", Kraków, Poland, September 18–24, 2011.

particle emission function (forward (backward) moving wounded nucleons emit particles preferably in the forward (backward) direction). In Fig. 1(a) we represent schematically a cluster of wounded nucleons causing a twist in the principal axes. If we are dealing with a different number of forward and backward moving wounded nucleons, due to the asymmetry of the emission functions, this twist is rapidity dependent. The initial energy density is

$$F\left(\eta_{\parallel}, x, y\right) = (1-\alpha) \left[\rho_{+}(x, y)f_{+}\left(\eta_{\parallel}\right) + \rho_{-}(x, y)f_{-}\left(\eta_{\parallel}\right)\right] + \alpha\rho_{\mathrm{bin}}(x, y)f\left(\eta_{\parallel}\right)$$
(1)

where  $\rho_{\pm}$ ,  $\rho_{\text{bin}}$  are the density of forward (backward) moving wounded nucleons and binary sources. The respective emission profiles  $f_{\pm}$ , f are depicted in Fig. 1(b) (in the chosen parametrization  $f = f_{+} + f_{-}$  and is symmetric in rapidity). The parameter  $\alpha$  corresponds to the fraction of binary sources in this mixed model [4, 5, 6].



Fig. 1. (Color online) The inclusion of a random asymmetric cluster, schematically depicted in Fig. 1(a), twists the principal axis. Due to the asymmetry in the emission profiles of forward (backward) moving wounded nucleons,  $f_{\pm}$ , seen in Fig. 1(b), this twist is rapidity dependent (f refers to the emission profile for binary collisions). The schematic figure of the torqued fireball, Fig. 1(c), elongated along the  $\eta_{\parallel}$  axis. The direction of the principal axes in the transverse plane rotates as  $\eta_{\parallel}$  increases. The left and right pictures correspond to the rank-2 (elliptic) and rank-3 (triangular) cases, respectively. The effect occurs event-by-event.

The detection of this effect from the data consisting of the final momenta of observed particles in an event is a challenge as one still has to take into account the effect of the fireball expansion and hadronization.

### 2. Simulation results

To evaluate the size of the torque effect in the initial fireball we perform a Monte Carlo simulation using GLISSANDO [7] in the Glauber mixed model. In a given event, the angle of the principal axis for the Fourier moment of rank-k for n sources at some  $\eta_{\parallel}$  is given by

$$\Psi^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k} \arctan\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i r_i^2 \sin(k\phi_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i r_i^2 \cos(k\phi_i)}\right).$$
 (2)

Here the weight of the sources are:  $w_i = (1-\alpha)f_{\pm}$  for forward (backward) moving wounded nucleons and  $w_i = \alpha f$  for binary. We then define the differences:  $\Delta_{\rm FB} = \Psi^{(2)}(\eta_{\parallel}) - \Psi^{(2)}(-\eta_{\parallel})$  and  $\Delta_{\rm FB(BC)} = \Psi^{(2)}(\pm \eta_{\parallel}) - \Psi^{(2)}(0)$ . The magnitude and sign of the resulting torque angle fluctuate from event to event. For Au+Au collisions at the highest available energy at RHIC the expected size of  $\Delta_{\rm FB}$  is of 20° for central and 10° for mid-peripheral collisions (see Fig. 2 (b)).



Fig. 2. Distribution of the forward–backward angle difference for the elliptic deformation,  $\Delta_{\rm FB}$ , for the 20–30% centrality class in Fig. 2 (a) for  $\eta_{\parallel} = 0.5$  and  $\eta_{\parallel} = 2.5$ (the latter is the wider distribution). Rapidity dependency of the r.m.s. of  $\Delta_{\rm FB}$ for several centrality classes Fig. 2 (b).

The 3+1 dimensional (perfect fluid) hydrodynamical simulation of the fireball expansion was done as in [8]. The results suggest that the effect survives this phase with almost no change in its size, as can be seen in Fig. 3 (a). The energy density used as a starting point for the hydrodynamical simulation is given by

$$\epsilon \left(\eta_{\parallel}, x, y\right) = (1-\alpha) \left[\rho_{+}(Rx, Ry)f_{+}\left(\eta_{\parallel}\right) + \rho_{-}\left(R^{-1}x, R^{-1}y\right)f_{-}\left(\eta_{\parallel}\right)\right] + \alpha\rho_{\mathrm{bin}}(x, y)f\left(\eta_{\parallel}\right),$$
(3)

where the operators R and  $R^{-1}$  rotate the density of the forward and backward moving wounded nucleons (respectively) by a fixed angle in opposite directions (5° for the centrality c = 20-25%).

However, this effect is significantly washed away in the hadronization stage. Using the result from Appendix C of [9] we can estimate the deviation of the event angle from the fireball angle, as seen in Fig. 3 (b). Higher multiplicity as well as higher flow coefficient lower the statistical noise.



Fig. 3. Angle as a function of space-time rapidity for different values of proper time given by 3+1 perfect hydrodynamic evolution of the fireball can be seen in Fig. 3 (a). Estimate of the difference between the fireball angle and the event angle for three different multiplicities and two different values of the flow coefficient (grey/red, light grey/green and black/blue correspond respectively to n = 600, 100 and 20; solid lines correspond to  $v_k = 5\%$  and dashed to 2%).

## 3. Proposed measures: cumulants

We propose experimental measures, which should be possible to apply with the available RHIC data, based on the use of cumulants involving particles from different rapidity regions. If confirmed, this would support the initial assumptions concerning the fluctuations in the early stage of the fireball formation and asymmetric rapidity shape of the emission functions of the moving sources in the nucleus–nucleus collisions. Two- and four-particle cumulants using particles from forward and backward rapidity bins could be used for this purpose

$$\cos(k\Delta_{\rm FB}) \{2\} \equiv \frac{\langle e^{ik(\phi_{\rm F}, -\phi_{\rm B})} \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle e^{ik(\phi_{\rm F}, 1-\phi_{\rm F}, 2)} \rangle \langle e^{ik(\phi_{\rm B}, 1-\phi_{\rm B}, 2)} \rangle}}$$
$$= \langle \cos(k\Delta_{\rm FB}) \rangle_{\rm events} + \text{nflow},$$
$$\cos(2k\Delta_{\rm FB}) \{4\} \equiv \frac{\langle e^{ik[(\phi_{\rm F}, 1+\phi_{\rm F}, 2)-(\phi_{\rm B}, 1+\phi_{\rm B}, 2)]} \rangle}{\langle e^{ik[(\phi_{\rm F}, 1-\phi_{\rm F}, 2)-(\phi_{\rm B}, 1-\phi_{\rm B}, 2)]} \rangle}$$
$$= \langle \cos(2k\Delta_{\rm FB}) \rangle_{\rm events} + \text{nflow}. \qquad (4)$$

Another possibility would be to use particles from three different rapidity bins (forward, central and backward)

$$A_{\rm FBC}\{4\} = \frac{\langle e^{i2[(\phi_{\rm F}-\phi_{\rm C,1})-(\phi_{\rm B}-\phi_{\rm C,2})]} \rangle - \langle e^{i2[(\phi_{\rm F}-\phi_{\rm C,1})+(\phi_{\rm B}-\phi_{\rm C,2})]} \rangle}{v_{2,\rm F}v_{2,\rm B}v_{2,\rm C}^2}$$
  
=  $\langle 2\sin(2\Delta_{\rm FC})\sin(2\Delta_{\rm BC}) \rangle_{\rm events} + \text{nflow}$   
 $\approx 8\text{cov} (\Delta_{\rm FC}\Delta_{\rm BC}) + \text{nflow}.$  (5)

The results of the THERMINATOR simulation can be seen in Fig. 4 and suggest that it should be possible to detect the effect of the torque. Full lines correspond to the expected result (without non-flow).



Fig. 4. Pseudo-rapidity dependence of 2-particle (top row) and 4-particle cumulant using forward–backward rapidity bins (middle row) and using three rapidities (bottom row). In the left panels only primordial particles are considered whereas in the ones on the right resonance decays are included. In the latter case only charged pions, kaons, protons and antiprotons are considered and a cutoff in transverse momentum is included ( $450 \text{ MeV} < p_{\text{T}} < 3 \text{ GeV}$ ).

## 4. Conclusions

We have proposed experimental measures that should be able to detect a torqued fireball effect resulting from the fluctuation of the sources transverse position and their asymmetric emission profile. Due to the decrease in statistical noise with higher statistics and flow coefficient this effect should be looked for in mid-central or mid-peripheral collisions. Since the effect increases with  $\eta$ , the experimental search at RHIC should involve the forward and backward TPCs.

Supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education, grants N N202 263438 and N N202 249235, and by the Portuguese Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, FEDER, OE, grant SFRH/BPD/63070/2009.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Białas, M. Bleszyński, W. Czyż, *Nucl. Phys.* **B111**, 461 (1976).
- [2] A. Bialas, J. Phys. G **35**, 044053 (2008).
- [3] P. Bozek, W. Broniowski, J. Moreira, *Phys. Rev.* C83, 034911 (2011).
- [4] D. Kharzeev, M. Nardi, *Phys. Lett.* **B507**, 121 (2001).
- [5] B.B. Back et al. [PHOBOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C65, 031901 (2002).
- [6] B.B. Back et al. [PHOBOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C70, 021902 (2004).
- [7] W. Broniowski, M. Rybczynski, P. Bożek, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 180, 69 (2009).
- [8] P. Bożek, I. Wyskiel, *Phys. Rev.* C79, 044916 (2009).
- [9] W. Broniowski, P. Bożek, M. Rybczyński, *Phys. Rev.* C76, 054905 (2007).