

ROLE OF CURRENT QUARK MASS DEPENDENT MULTI-QUARK INTERACTIONS IN LOW LYING MESON MASS SPECTRA* **

A.A. OSIPOV, B. HILLER, A.H. BLIN

Departamento de Física, CFC
Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia da Universidade de Coimbra
3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal

(Received July 3, 2013)

We call attention to a class of current-quark mass dependent multi-quark interaction terms which break explicitly the chiral $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ and $U(1)_A$ symmetries. They complete the set of effective quark interactions that contribute at the same order in N_c as the 't Hooft flavor determinant interaction and the eight quark interactions in the phase of spontaneously broken chiral symmetry. The N_c classification scheme matches the counting rules based on arguments set by the scale of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking. Together with the leading in N_c four quark Nambu–Jona-Lasinio Lagrangian and current quark mass matrix, the model is apt to account for the correct empirical ordering and magnitude of the splitting of states in the low lying mass spectra of spin zero mesons. The new terms turn out to be essential for the ordering $m_K < m_\eta$ in the pseudoscalar sector and $m_{\kappa_0} < m_{a_0} \sim m_{f_0}$ for the scalars.

DOI:10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.6.757

PACS numbers: 11.30.Rd, 11.30.Qc, 12.39.Fe, 12.40.Yx

The seminal papers of Nambu and Jona-Lasinio (NJL) [1] published more than 50 years ago mark the advent of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking in particle physics. The recognition of its importance for the QCD was established in [2]. Explicit breaking of the chiral symmetry through a mass term was introduced in [3]. Since then, an overwhelming and rich insight has been achieved in the description of non-perturbative effects of QCD

* Presented by B. Hiller at the Workshop “Excited QCD 2013”, Bjelašnica Mountain, Sarajevo, Bosnia–Herzegovina, February 3–9, 2013.

** Work supported by Centro de Física Computacional, FCT, CERN/FP/116334/2010, QREN, UE/FEDER through COMPETE. Part of the EU Research Infrastructure Integrating Activity Study of Strongly Interacting Matter (HadronPhysics3) under the 7th Framework Programme of EU: Grant Agreement No. 283286.

through various extensions of the model. One aspect of the model which only recently has been tackled is the role played by the explicit symmetry breaking interacting dynamics [4]. There we argue that quark mass dependent interactions must be introduced at the same level of N_c counting as the $U(1)_A$ breaking 't Hooft determinant [5] extension of the model [6–15], and inclusion of eight-quark interactions [16, 17].

The scale of applicability of the effective quark Lagrangian is given by the scale of spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry, of the order of $\Lambda_{\chi\text{SB}} \sim 4\pi f_\pi$ [18]. In the NJL model, this scale is related to the gap equation and given by the ultra-violet cutoff Λ of the one-loop quark integral, above which one expects non-perturbative effects to be of less importance. To construct the effective Lagrangian we consider only the generic vertices L_i of non-derivative type and whose contributions to the effective potential survive at $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$

$$L_i \sim \frac{\bar{g}_i}{\Lambda^\gamma} \chi^\alpha \Sigma^\beta, \quad (1)$$

where powers of Λ give the correct dimensionality of the interactions; the L_i are C, P, T and chiral $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ invariant blocks, built of powers of the sources χ which at the end give origin to the explicit symmetry breaking, and of the $U(3)$ Lie-algebra valued field $\Sigma = (s_a - ip_a)\frac{1}{2}\lambda_a$; here $s_a = \bar{q}\lambda_a q$, $p_a = \bar{q}\lambda_a i\gamma_5 q$, and $a = 0, 1, \dots, 8$, $\lambda_0 = \sqrt{2/3} \times 1$, λ_a being the standard $SU(3)$ Gell-Mann matrices for $1 \leq a \leq 8$. Under chiral transformations, the quark fields transform as $q' = V_R q_R + V_L q_L$, where $q_R = P_R q$, $q_L = P_L q$, and $P_{R,L} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5)$. Thus $\Sigma' = V_R \Sigma V_L^\dagger$, and $\Sigma'^\dagger = V_L \Sigma^\dagger V_R^\dagger$. The transformation property of the source is $\chi' = V_R \chi V_L^\dagger$. Finally, $\bar{g}_i = g_i \Lambda^\gamma$ are dimensionless coupling constants.

One concludes (see [4] for details) that there are (i) only four classes of vertices which contribute at $\alpha = 0$; those are four-, six- and eight-quark interactions, corresponding to $\beta = 2, 3$ and 4 respectively; the $\beta = 1$ class is forbidden by chiral symmetry requirements; (ii) there are only six classes of vertices depending on external sources χ , they are: $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1, 2, 3$; $\alpha = 2, \beta = 1, 2$; and $\alpha = 3, \beta = 1$.

The explicit Lagrangian corresponding to the case (i) is well known

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{int}} = & \frac{\bar{G}}{\Lambda^2} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \right) + \frac{\bar{\kappa}}{\Lambda^5} \left(\det \Sigma + \det \Sigma^\dagger \right) \\ & + \frac{\bar{g}_1}{\Lambda^8} \left(\text{tr} \Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \right)^2 + \frac{\bar{g}_2}{\Lambda^8} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

It contains four dimensionful couplings G, κ, g_1, g_2 .

The second group (ii) contains eleven terms

$$L_\chi = \sum_{i=0}^{10} L_i, \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_0 &= -\text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi + \chi^\dagger \Sigma \right), & L_1 &= -\frac{\bar{\kappa}_1}{\Lambda} e_{ijk} e_{mnl} \Sigma_{im} \chi_{jn} \chi_{kl} + \text{h.c.}, \\
 L_2 &= \frac{\bar{\kappa}_2}{\Lambda^3} e_{ijk} e_{mnl} \chi_{im} \Sigma_{jn} \Sigma_{kl} + \text{h.c.}, & L_3 &= \frac{\bar{g}_3}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}, \\
 L_4 &= \frac{\bar{g}_4}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \right) \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}, & L_5 &= \frac{\bar{g}_5}{\Lambda^4} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}, \\
 L_6 &= \frac{\bar{g}_6}{\Lambda^4} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma \Sigma^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger + \Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \chi^\dagger \chi \right), & L_7 &= \frac{\bar{g}_7}{\Lambda^4} \left(\text{tr} \Sigma^\dagger \chi + \text{h.c.} \right)^2, \\
 L_8 &= \frac{\bar{g}_8}{\Lambda^4} \left(\text{tr} \Sigma^\dagger \chi - \text{h.c.} \right)^2, & L_9 &= -\frac{\bar{g}_9}{\Lambda^2} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}, \\
 L_{10} &= -\frac{\bar{g}_{10}}{\Lambda^2} \text{tr} \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \right) \text{tr} \left(\chi^\dagger \Sigma \right) + \text{h.c.}
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The N_c assignments are [4]: $\Sigma \sim N_c$; $\Lambda \sim N_c^0 \sim 1$; $\chi \sim N_c^0 \sim 1$ ¹. Then, we get that exactly the diagrams which survive as $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ also survive as $N_c \rightarrow \infty$ and comply with the usual requirements, *i.e.* (i) the leading quark contribution to the vacuum energy from $4q$ interactions is of the order of $N_c \rightarrow G \sim \frac{1}{N_c}$; (ii) the $U(1)_A$ anomaly contribution is suppressed by one power of $\frac{1}{N_c} \rightarrow \kappa \sim \frac{1}{N_c^3}$; (iii) Zweig's rule violating effects are always of the order of $\frac{1}{N_c}$ with respect to the leading contribution.

As a result, we have L_{4q} and L_0 of $\mathcal{O}(N_c)$ and all other terms in the Lagrangian are $\mathcal{O}(N_c^0)$. Non-OZI-violating Lagrangian pieces scaling as $\mathcal{O}(N_c^0)$ represent NLO contributions with one internal quark loop in N_c counting. The coupling encodes the admixture of four-quark component $\bar{q}q\bar{q}q$ to the leading $\bar{q}q$ at $N_c \rightarrow \infty$. Diagrams tracing Zweig's rule violation are: $\kappa, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, g_1, g_4, g_7, g_8, g_{10}$; Diagrams with admixture of four-quark and two-quark states are: g_2, g_3, g_5, g_6, g_9 .

The total Lagrangian is the sum

$$L = \bar{q}i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu q + L_{\text{int}} + L_\chi. \tag{5}$$

Finally, having all the building blocks in conformity with the symmetry content of the model, one is free to choose the external source χ . Putting $\chi = \mathcal{M}/2$, where $\mathcal{M} = \text{diag}(\mu_u, \mu_d, \mu_s)$, we obtain a consistent set of explicitly breaking chiral symmetry terms. Figure 1 shows a schematic representation of interactions with source $\chi \sim \mu$ insertions.

The details of bosonization and regularization which lead finally from (5) to the long distance mesonic Lagrangian can be found in [4, 19–21]. The model contains eighteen parameters: the scale Λ , three parameters which

¹ Note that the counting for Λ is a direct consequence of the gap equation $1 \sim N_c G \Lambda^2$.

$$\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\bar{K}_2}{N_c^2} \quad \text{[diagram: loop with one external source]} \quad \propto N_c^0 \\
\frac{\bar{g}_{6..8}}{N_c^2} \quad \text{[diagram: loop with two external sources]} \quad \propto N_c^0 \\
\frac{\bar{g}_{3,4}}{N_c^3} \quad \text{[diagram: loop with one external source]} \quad \propto N_c^0
\end{array}$$

Fig. 1. Contributions to the effective potential from explicit symmetry breaking interactions, where dashed lines linked to crosses denote the external sources. The N_c dependence carried by the couplings (left) combines with the N_c attributed to each closed quark loop to yield the same counting N_c^0 for all interactions. Similar arguments lead to scaling $\sim \Lambda$ for the upper interaction and $\sim \Lambda^0$ for all other.

are responsible for explicit chiral symmetry breaking μ_u, μ_d, μ_s , and fourteen interaction couplings $\bar{G}, \bar{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}_1, \bar{\kappa}_2, \bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_{10}$. Three of them, $\bar{\kappa}_1, \bar{g}_9, \bar{g}_{10}$, contribute to the current quark masses and express the Kaplan–Manohar ambiguity [22] within the model. We chose $\kappa_1 = g_9 = g_{10} = 0$ and take the PDG [23] current quark mass values around $\hat{m} = 4$ MeV and $m_s = 100$ MeV to be an input. Seven more describe the strength of multi-quark interactions with explicit symmetry breaking effects. These vertices contain new details of the quark dynamics which had not been studied yet in any NJL-type models. The parameter values used to fit the low lying characteristics of the spin 0 mesons, as indicated below in the text, are shown in Tables I and II, with two sets which differ by (a) $m_\sigma = 600$ MeV, (b) $m_\sigma = 500$ MeV. Table I contains the usual parameters, which are not much affected by the introduction of the explicit symmetry breaking interactions terms with couplings shown in Table II. They allow to fit the pseudoscalar spectrum, $m_\pi = 138$ MeV, $m_K = 494$ MeV, $m_\eta = 547$ MeV, $m_{\eta'} = 958$ MeV, the weak pion and kaon decay constants, $f_\pi = 92$ MeV, $f_K = 113$ MeV, and the

TABLE I

Parameter sets of the model, (a) for $m_\sigma = 600$ MeV, (b) for $m_\sigma = 500$ MeV: \hat{m}, m_s , and Λ are given in MeV. The couplings have the following units: $[G] = \text{GeV}^{-2}$, $[\kappa] = \text{GeV}^{-5}$, $[g_1] = [g_2] = \text{GeV}^{-8}$. We also show here the values of constituent quark masses \hat{M} and M_s in MeV.

Sets	\hat{m}	m_s	\hat{M}	M_s	Λ	G	$-\kappa$	g_1	g_2
(a)	4.0*	100*	361	526	837	8.96	93.0	1534	0*
(b)	4.0*	100*	361	526	837	7.06	93.3	3420	0*

TABLE II

Explicit symmetry breaking interaction couplings. The couplings have the following units: $[\kappa_1] = \text{GeV}^{-1}$, $[\kappa_2] = \text{GeV}^{-3}$, $[g_3] = [g_4] = \text{GeV}^{-6}$, $[g_5] = [g_6] = [g_7] = [g_8] = \text{GeV}^{-4}$, $[g_9] = [g_{10}] = \text{GeV}^{-2}$.

Sets	κ_1	κ_2	$-g_3$	$-g_4$	g_5	$-g_6$	$-g_7$	g_8	g_9	g_{10}
(a)	0*	9.05	4967	661	192.2	1236	293	52.2	0*	0*
(b)	0*	9.01	4990	653	192.5	1242	293	51.3	0*	0*

singlet-octet mixing angle $\theta_p = -15^\circ$, to perfect accuracy. The calculated values of quark condensates are the same for both sets: $-\langle \bar{u}u \rangle^{\frac{1}{3}} = 232 \text{ MeV}$, and $-\langle \bar{s}s \rangle^{\frac{1}{3}} = 206 \text{ MeV}$. We stress that without the new explicit symmetry breaking terms this had not been possible. We find that the couplings g_8 and κ_2 are crucial for the high precision within the pseudoscalar sector. We find also that the low lying scalar nonet encompassing the σ or $f_0(600)$, $a_0(980)$, $\kappa(850)$, $f_0(980)$ mesons can be obtained according to the empirical ordering: $m_\kappa < m_{a_0} \simeq m_{f_0}$, with the singlet-octet mixing angle θ_s roughly $\theta_s = 19^\circ$. This is in contrast to the $m_\sigma < m_{a_0} < m_\kappa < m_{f_0}$ sequence obtained in the framework of NJL models, *e.g.* [8, 9, 17, 20, 24–26], see also [27] in the framework of the linear sigma model. The main parameter responsible for a lower mass of $\kappa(800)$ as compared to the mass of $a_0(980)$ is g_3 ; g_6 allows for fine tuning. We understand the empirical mass assignment inside the light scalar nonet as a consequence of the quark-mass dependent interactions, *i.e.* as the result of some predominance of the explicit chiral symmetry breaking terms over the dynamical chiral symmetry breaking ones for these states. Note that the couplings g_3 and g_6 encode $4q$ and $2q$ admixtures. This establishes a link between the asymptotic meson states obtained from the effective multi-quark interactions considered to the successful approaches which support $\bar{q}q$ states with a meson–meson admixture [28] or mixing of $q\bar{q}$ -states with others, consisting of two quarks and two antiquarks, $q^2\bar{q}^2$ [29–32].

Our result for the mass spectrum of the scalar sector, being promising by itself must, however, be supported by further processes, such as strong and radiative decays. Work in this direction is in progress. Preliminary results point to strong interactions widths $\Gamma_{\sigma\pi\pi} \sim 600 \text{ MeV}$, $\Gamma_{f_0\pi\pi} \sim 60 \text{ MeV}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Nambu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **4**, 380 (1960); Y. Nambu, G. Jona-Lasinio, *Phys. Rev.* **122**, 345 (1961); **124**, 246 (1961).
- [2] T. Eguchi, *Phys. Rev.* **D14**, 2755 (1976); K. Kikkawa, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **56**, 947 (1976).

- [3] M.K. Volkov, D. Ebert, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **36**, 1265 (1982).
- [4] A.A. Osipov, B. Hiller, A.H. Blin, *Eur. Phys. J.* **A49**, 14 (2013).
- [5] G. 't Hooft, *Phys. Rev.* **D14**, 3432 (1976); **D18**, 2199 (1978).
- [6] V. Bernard, R.L. Jaffe, U.-G. Meissner, *Phys. Lett.* **B198**, 92 (1987); *Nucl. Phys.* **B308**, 753 (1988).
- [7] H. Reinhardt, R. Alkofer, *Phys. Lett.* **B207**, 482 (1988).
- [8] S. Klimt, M. Lutz, U. Vogl, W. Weise, *Nucl. Phys.* **A516**, 429 (1990).
- [9] U. Vogl, W. Weise, *Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **27**, 195 (1991).
- [10] M. Takizawa, K. Tsushima, Y. Kohyama, K. Kubodera, *Nucl. Phys.* **A507**, 611 (1990).
- [11] S.P. Klevansky, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **64**, 649 (1992).
- [12] T. Hatsuda, T. Kunihiro, *Phys. Rep.* **247**, 221 (1994).
- [13] V. Bernard *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B305**, 163 (1993).
- [14] V. Dmitrasinovic, *Nucl. Phys.* **A686**, 379 (2001).
- [15] K. Naito, M. Oka, M. Takizawa, T. Umekawa, *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **109**, 969 (2003).
- [16] A.A. Osipov, B. Hiller, J. da Providencia, *Phys. Lett.* **B634**, 48 (2006).
- [17] A.A. Osipov, B. Hiller, A.H. Blin, J. da Providencia, *Ann. Phys.* **322**, 2021 (2007).
- [18] A. Manohar, H. Georgi, *Nucl. Phys.* **B234**, 189 (1984).
- [19] A.A. Osipov, B. Hiller, *Phys. Lett.* **B515**, 458 (2001); *Phys. Rev.* **D63**, 094009 (2001); **D64**, 087701 (2001).
- [20] A.A. Osipov, H. Hansen, B. Hiller, *Nucl. Phys.* **A745**, 81 (2004).
- [21] M.K. Volkov, A.A. Osipov, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **41**, 500 (1985).
- [22] D.B. Kaplan, A.V. Manohar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56**, 2004 (1986).
- [23] K. Nakamura *et al.* [Particle Data Group], *J. Phys. G* **37**, 075021 (2010).
- [24] M.K. Volkov, *Ann. Phys.* **157**, 282 (1984).
- [25] M.K. Volkov, *Fiz. Elem. Chastits At. Yadra* **17**, 433 (1986).
- [26] M.X. Su, L.Y. Xiao, H.Q. Zheng, *Nucl. Phys.* **A792**, 288 (2007).
- [27] D. Parganlija *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D87**, 014011 (2013).
- [28] E. van Beveren *et al.*, *Zeit. Phys.* **C30**, 615 (1986).
- [29] R.J. Jaffe, *Phys. Rev.* **D15**, 267 (1977).
- [30] A.H. Fariborz, R. Jora, J. Schechter, *Phys. Rev.* **D77**, 094004 (2008).
- [31] A.H. Fariborz, R. Jora, J. Schechter, *Phys. Rev.* **D79**, 074014 (2009).
- [32] F.E. Close, N.A. Törnqvist, *J. Phys. G* **28**, R249 (2002).