No 4

PRECISION MEASUREMENTS OF HADRONIC CONTRIBUTIONS TO MUON ANOMALY WITH THE KLOE DETECTOR*

CATERINA BLOISE

on behalf of the KLOE-2 Collaboration^{\dagger}

Frascati Laboratory of INFN, Via E. Fermi 40, 00044 Frascati, Italy

(Received July 29, 2013)

We present the recent KLOE measurement of the dipion cross section, directly derived from the bin-by-bin ratio of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma$ to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$ cross sections. The hadronic-loop contribution to the muon anomaly that has been obtained confirms our previous measurements, and the discrepancy between the experimental value of a_{μ} and the Standard Model (SM). With the $\mu\mu\gamma$ sample studied for the dipion cross section, we have obtained a preliminary exclusion plot for the U-boson in the Dark Force sector, in the mass range of 600–1000 MeV that is presented together with the excluded region from the analysis of the Dalitz decays, $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^+e^-$.

DOI:10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.6.1085 PACS numbers: 13.66.Jn

^{*} Presented at the Symposium on Applied Nuclear Physics and Innovative Technologies, Kraków, Poland, June 3–6, 2013.

[†] D. Babusci, D. Badoni, I. Balwierz-Pytko, G. Bencivenni, C. Bini, C. Bloise, F. Bossi, P. Branchini, A. Budano, L. Caldeira Balkeståhl, G. Capon, F. Ceradini, P. Ciambrone, F. Curciarello, E. Czerwiński, E. Danè, V. De Leo, E. De Lucia, G. De Robertis, A. De Santis, P. De Simone, A. Di Domenico, C. Di Donato, R. Di Salvo, D. Domenici, O. Erriquez, G. Fanizzi, A. Fantini, G. Felici, S. Fiore, P. Franzini, A. Gajos, P. Gauzzi, G. Giardina, S. Giovannella, E. Graziani, F. Happacher, L. Heijkenskjöld, B. Höistad, L. Iafolla, M. Jacewicz, T. Johansson, K. Kacprzak, A. Kupsc, J. Lee-Franzini, B. Leverington, F. Loddo, S. Loffredo, G. Mandaglio, M. Martemianov, M. Martini, M. Mascolo, R. Messi, S. Miscetti, G. Morello, D. Moricciani, P. Moskal, F. Nguyen, A. Palladino, A. Passeri, V. Patera, I. Prado Longhi, A. Ranieri, C. F. Redmer, P. Santangelo, I. Sarra, M. Schioppa, B. Sciascia, M. Silarski, C. Taccini, L. Tortora, G. Venanzoni, W. Wiślicki, M. Wolke, J. Zdebik.

1. Introduction

Measurements of the muon magnetic anomaly at the Brookhaven Laboratory have reached a fractional accuracy of 0.54×10^{-6} , $a_{\mu} = (11\,659\,208.0\pm$ $(6.3) \times 10^{-10}$ [1]. The result differs from the Standard Model prediction by 3.2–3.6 standard deviations [2–5]. The main source of uncertainty on the SM value of a_{μ} is the leading hadronic vacuum polarization term, $\Delta^{h, lo} a_{\mu}$. It is obtained from a dispersion integral [6] over the "bare" cross section $\sigma^0(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}(\gamma))$ that is derived from the physical cross section, inclusive of final state radiation, removing vacuum polarization (VP) and contributions due to additional photon emission in the initial state. The leading order hadronic contribution is ~ 690×10^{-10} and the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$ process measured by KLOE contributes to 75% of the value and 40% of the uncertainty. The KLOE experiment at the DA Φ NE ϕ -factory in Frascati was the first to exploit Initial State Radiation (ISR) processes for the precision measurement of the hadronic cross section below 1 GeV. In 2005 and 2008, KLOE published two measurements of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma$ cross section, with the ISR photon at small angle [7, 8]. An independent measurement with the photon emitted at large angle, to reach the dipion production threshold at $s = 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2$, was published in year 2011 [9]. The three measurements of $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-)$ cover the interval $[0.1 < M_{\pi\pi}^2 < 0.95]$ GeV², with consistent results and a combined fractional uncertainty of about 1%. This paper reports on a more recent analysis of KLOE data, which directly derives the pion form factor from the bin-by-bin ratio of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma$ to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$ cross sections [10].

2. Measurement of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$

The differential ISR cross section for the $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ final state is related to the dipion cross section $\sigma_{\pi\pi} \equiv \sigma(e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma)$

$$s \left. \frac{d\sigma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma)}{ds_\pi} \right|_{\rm ISR} = \sigma_{\pi\pi}(s_\pi) H(s_\pi, s) \,, \tag{1}$$

where the radiator function H is computed from QED with complete NLO corrections [11]. Equation (1) is also valid for the dimuon final state with the same radiator function H. We can, therefore, determine $\sigma_{\pi\pi}$ from the ratio of the $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ differential cross sections

$$\sigma^{0}(\pi^{+}\pi^{-}, s') = \frac{d\sigma(\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\gamma, \text{ISR})/ds'}{d\sigma(\mu^{+}\mu^{-}\gamma, \text{ISR})/ds'} \times \sigma^{0}(e^{+}e^{-} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-}, s') .$$
(2)

Final state photon emission for both, the $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, and $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ channels slightly modifies Eq. (2), and it has been considered in our analysis [12],

where only events with photon emitted at small angle are used, as discussed in Refs. [7, 8], a choice that results in a large enhancement of ISR with respect to the FSR contribution. The ratio method has several advantages: (i) the H function does not appear in Eq. (2). Therefore, the measurement of $\sigma_{\pi\pi}$ is not affected by the related systematic uncertainty of 0.5%; (ii) using the same data sample for the $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ events, there is no need for luminosity measurements; (iii) vacuum polarization corrections and most other radiative corrections cancel in the ratio; (iv) using the same fiducial volume, acceptance corrections to the $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ spectra almost cancel resulting in a small systematic uncertainty. The pion form factor and $\Delta^{\pi\pi}a_{\mu}$ have been obtained using the $\pi\pi\gamma$ differential cross section of Ref. [8] and the precision measurement of $d\sigma_{\mu\mu\gamma}/ds_{\mu}$, discussed in the following section.

2.1. The $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma(\gamma)$ cross section

The analysis is based on an event selection that requires: (i) reconstruction of at least two tracks of opposite sign, with the origin at the interaction region (IP) and polar angle $50^{\circ} < \theta < 130^{\circ}$. The momenta satisfy $p_{\perp} > 160$ MeV or $|p_z| > 90$ MeV, to ensure good reconstruction and efficiency; (ii) polar angle $\theta_{\mu\mu}$ of the dimuon system ($p_{\mu\mu} = p_+ + p_-$) satisfying $|\cos \theta_{\mu\mu}| > \cos(15^{\circ})$; (iii) computed mass for the two observed particles, as obtained from kinematical constraints assuming ISR $xx\gamma$ events, in the range of $80 < m_x < 115$ MeV; (iv) PID estimator, L_{\pm} , which uses time-of-flight information and energy deposit of each charged particle in the calorimeter, compatible with the muon hypothesis, at least for one track.

Residual $e^+e^-\gamma$, $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ backgrounds are evaluated by fitting the observed m_r spectrum with a superposition of Monte Carlo simulation (MC) distributions describing signal and $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ backgrounds, and a distribution obtained from data for the $e^+e^-\gamma$ background. In the ρ mass region, the fractional $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ yield in the $\mu\mu\gamma$ acceptance region is about 15% of the sample. To improve the MC description of the lowenergy m_x tail of $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ events in the muon peak, we apply a data/MC resolution correction, function of s_{μ} , using a control sample of $\phi \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ events. Contributions from $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^$ processes are evaluated using the Nextcalibur [13] and Ekhara [14] MC generators. Systematic errors in the background subtraction include: (i) errors on the parameters from the fit procedure: these decrease monotonically from 0.7% to 0.1% with respect to s_{μ} ; (ii) the uncertainty on the data/MC resolution corrections: about 1% in the ρ mass region, smaller at higher s_{μ} , negligible at lower s_{μ} values; *(iii)* the uncertainty on the $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^$ process: about 0.4% at low s_{μ} , rapidly falling to 0.1% for $s_{\mu} > 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2$.

C. BLOISE

The correctness of the background estimate has been checked by two independent methods. With the first, we perform a kinematic fit of the two-track events assuming a $\mu\mu\gamma$ state. The χ^2 value obtained is taken as discriminant variable, instead of m_x , and used in the fitting procedure described above; with the second, we improve the $\pi-\mu$ separation applying a quality cut on the helix fit for both tracks. This cut reduces the dipion background in the dimuon signal region by more than a factor of two. The background fractions obtained for both cases are in good agreement with the standard procedure. The differential $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ cross section is obtained from the observed event count $N_{\rm obs}$ and background estimate $N_{\rm bkg}$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\mu\mu\gamma}}{ds_{\mu}} = \frac{N_{\rm obs} - N_{\rm bkg}}{\Delta s_{\mu}} \frac{1}{\epsilon(s_{\mu}) \mathcal{L}}, \qquad (3)$$

where \mathcal{L} is the integrated luminosity from Ref. [15] and $\epsilon(s_{\mu})$ the selection efficiency. Figure 1, right-top, shows the measured $\mu^{+}\mu^{-}\gamma$ cross section compared with the QED calculations to NLO, using the MC code Phokhara [11]. Figure 1, right-bottom, shows the ratio between the two differential cross sections. The band indicates the systematic uncertainty, experimental and theoretical.



Fig. 1. Left: Vertical cross section of the KLOE detector, showing the small and large angle regions where respectively photons and muons are accepted. Right-top: Comparison of data and MC results for $d\sigma_{\mu\mu\gamma}/ds_{\mu}$. Right-bottom: Ratio of the two spectra. The band shows systematic errors.

3. The hadronic vacuum contribution to a_{μ}

From the bin-by-bin ratio between our published [8] $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and the $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ differential cross sections, we obtain the bare cross section $\sigma^0_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}$ (inclusive of FSR, with VP effects removed) which is used in the dispersion integral for computing $\Delta^{\pi\pi}a_{\mu}$. Figure 2 shows the $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ and $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ event spectra after background subtraction and data/MC corrections (left) and the bare cross section $\sigma^0_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}$ (right). Systematic uncertainties on $\sigma^0_{\pi\pi(\gamma)}$ are smaller than the individual uncertainty on $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \pi\pi\gamma)$ and $\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu\mu\gamma)$ due to correlation between the two measurements [12]. The dispersion integral for $\Delta^{\pi\pi}a_{\mu}$ gives $\Delta^{\pi\pi}a_{\mu} = (385.1 \pm 1.1_{\text{stat}} \pm 2.6_{\text{exp}} \pm 0.8_{\text{th}}) \times 10^{-10}$ in the interval $0.35 < M^2_{\pi\pi} < 0.95$ GeV², that is consistent with our previous measurements. This result, with comparable total experimental uncertainty and a theoretical error reduced by about 70%, confirms the current discrepancy between the SM prediction and the experimental value of a_{μ} .



Fig. 2. Square-invariant-mass distributions of $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ (higher counts from 0–0.9 GeV²) and $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ (lower counts from 0–0.9 GeV²) events after background subtraction and data/MC corrections (left); the bare cross section from the $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma/\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ ratio (right).

4. Searches for the U-boson

Some models of physics beyond the SM predict the existence of light neutral vector particles (called U-bosons) mediator of new gauge interactions under which ordinary matter is uncharged [16]. Motivated by astrophysical arguments, their mass, M_U , is expected to be of the order of 1 GeV or lighter [17, 18]. Coupling of SM particles with the U is possible via kinetic mixing between the U and the ordinary photon, regulated by a dimensionless parameter ϵ , expected to be of the order of $\epsilon \sim 10^{-3}$ or lower. High-luminosity e^+e^- colliders at the GeV scale have been recognized to be an ideal environment to search for the U-boson in the Dark Force sector. These new particles can be observed as sharp resonances at M_U in the invariant mass distribution of charged lepton or pion pairs in reactions of the type $e^+e^- \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma$ or $V \rightarrow P l^+l^-$, where V (P) stands for any vector (pseudoscalar) meson, and l^{\pm} can be muons, electrons or charged pions. KLOE has searched for U-boson production in both modes, using $\phi \to \eta e^+ e^-$ events (a), and $e^+ e^- \to \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ events (b). As for reactions (a), the first paper has been published [19] in which the presence of the η -meson was tagged using its $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays; the second paper has been subsequently issued [20] in which also the $3\pi^0$ decay channel of the η was used. In both cases, a sample corresponding to 1.7 fb^{-1} of data at the ϕ peak was used; no evidence of the U-boson is found, and the exclusion plot, in the interval $30 < M_U < 400$ MeV, has been obtained (Fig. 3). Reaction (b) was studied on the sample used for the measurement of the ratio, $R = \sigma(e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-(\gamma))/\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-(\gamma))$, exploiting the precision MC simulation of the QED process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$ (Fig. 1). The exclusion plot is obtained using the CL_S technique. The preliminary result shown in Fig. 3 covers the mass region $600 < M_U < 1000$ MeV and is currently being extended to 500 MeV.



Fig. 3. Preliminary KLOE-2 exclusion plot in the $M_U - \epsilon^2$ plane (90% C.L.). Results are shown for the $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$ analyses and for the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ one. The results from the APEX and MAMI-A1 experiments are also shown.

REFERENCES

- G.W. Bennett *et al.* [Muon g-2 Collaboration], *Phys. Rev.* D73, 072003 (2006).
- [2] J.P. Miller, E. de Rafael, B.L. Roberts, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 70, 795 (2007).
- [3] F. Jegerlehner, A. Nyffeler, *Phys. Rep.* 477, 1 (2009).

- [4] M. Davier, A. Hoecker, B. Malaescu, Z. Zhang, *Eur. Phys. J.* C71, 1515 (2011).
- [5] K. Hagiwara et al., J. Phys. G 38, 085003 (2011).
- [6] B. Lautrup, A. Peterman, E. De Rafael, *Nuovo Cim.* A1, 238 (1971).
- [7] A. Aloisio et al. [KLOE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B606, 12 (2005).
- [8] F. Ambrosino et al. [KLOE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B670, 285 (2009).
- [9] F. Ambrosino et al. [KLOE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B700, 102 (2011).
- [10] D. Babusci et al. [KLOE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B720, 336 (2013).
- [11] H. Czyż, A. Grzelińska, J.H. Kühn, G. Rodrigo, *Eur. Phys. J.* C39, 411 (2005).
- [12] P. Lukin et al., lnf.infn.it/kloe2/tools/getfile.php?doc_fname =K2PD-6.pdf&doc_ftype=docs
- [13] F.A. Berends et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 136, 148 (2001).
- [14] H. Czyż, E. Nowak-Kubat, *Phys. Lett.* B634, 493 (2006).
- [15] F. Ambrosino et al. [KLOE Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C47, 589 (2006).
- [16] P. Fayet, *Phys. Lett.* **B95**, 285 (1980).
- [17] N. Arkani-Hamed, N. Weiner, J. High Energy Phys. 0812, 104 (2008).
- [18] M. Pospelov, A. Ritz, M.B. Voloshin, *Phys. Lett.* **B662**, 53 (2008).
- [19] F. Archilli *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B706**, 251 (2012).
- [20] D. Babusci et al. [KLOE-2 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B720, 111 (2013).