# SCALAR MESONS IN $\tau \to K\pi\nu$ AND $\tau \to \eta\pi\nu$ DECAYS\*

## B. MOUSSALLAM

Groupe de Physique Théorique, IPN, Université Paris-Sud 11 91406 Orsay, France

(Received December 5, 2014)

The  $\tau$  decay modes  $\tau \to P_1 P_2 \nu$  provide clean probes of the couplings of the flavoured scalar mesons to the  $\bar{u}s$  or  $\bar{u}d$  scalar currents. We review the theoretical constraints which relate the  $P_1 P_2$  scalar form factors with  $P_1 P_2$  scattering for  $P_1 P_2 = K\pi, \eta\pi$  and their applications.

DOI:10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.8.95 PACS numbers: 13.35.Dx, 14.40.Rt, 11.55.Fv

### 1. Introduction

The lightest glueball in QCD is expected to be a scalar resonance and it is still an open problem to properly identify the corresponding state(s) in the physical spectrum (see *e.g.* [1] for a review). There has been significant progress, recently, in clarifying the status of the lightest physical scalar resonances. In particular, two "broad" resonances, the  $\sigma$  (or  $f_0(500)$ ) and  $\kappa$  (or  $K_0^*(800)$ ) have now been accepted into the PDG. The most reliable determinations concern the  $\sigma$  [2, 3]; they make use of theoretical tools combined with accurate experimental data on low energy  $\pi\pi$  scattering (in particular, the recent results from the NA48/2 [4] and DIRAC [5] collaborations). Reaching a similar level of confidence for the  $\kappa$  would be important since its existence would disfavour the interpretation proposed in [6] of the  $\sigma$  as a glueball-related state. New experimental measurements related to  $\pi K$  scattering have been performed, notably a determination of S-wave phase-shifts from  $D_{l4}$  decays [7] and a first measurement of the  $\pi K$  atom lifetime [8], but better precision is required.

In this paper, I discuss the relevance of  $\tau$  decays, in particular the decay modes into two pseudo-scalar mesons,  $P_1P_2$ , for probing the scalar resonances which couple to  $P_1P_2$ . In particular, these amplitudes provide a

<sup>\*</sup> Talk presented at the EEF70 Workshop on Unquenched Hadron Spectroscopy: Non-Perturbative Models and Methods of QCD vs. Experiment, Coimbra, Portugal, September 1–5, 2014.

means of measuring experimentally the coupling of scalar resonances to the scalar operators  $\bar{u}s$  (for the S = 1 scalar resonances) and  $\bar{u}d$  for the I = 1 resonances. These couplings are important for confirming the nonet assignments of resonances [9] and provide a quantitative measure of their degree of exoticity.

### 2. $\tau \to K \pi \nu$ decays and $\pi K$ scattering

The decay amplitudes of the  $\tau$  lepton into two pseudo-scalar mesons,  $\tau \to P_1 P_2 \nu$  are particularly simple objects (depending on a single variable) which are strongly tied to  $P_1 P_2$  scattering. Let us first recall some results on  $P_1 P_2 = K \pi$  and we will next consider<sup>1</sup>  $P_1 P_2 = \eta \pi$ . The decay amplitude involves the matrix element of the vector current which is expressed in terms of two form factors, *e.g.* for  $K^+ \pi^0$ 

$$\left\langle K^{+}(p_{K})\pi^{0}(p_{\pi})|\bar{u}\gamma^{\mu}s|0\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[f_{+}^{K\pi}(t)(p_{K}-p_{\pi})^{\mu} + f_{-}^{K\pi}(t)(p_{K}+p_{\pi})^{\mu}\right]$$
(1)

(with  $t = (p_K + p_\pi)^2$ ). The scalar form factor is defined as the combination  $f_0^{K\pi}(t) = f_+^{K\pi}(t) + t/(m_K^2 - m_\pi^2) f_-^{K\pi}(t)$ . Making use of the Ward identity for the vector current,  $i\partial_\mu \bar{u}\gamma^\mu s = (m_s - m_u)\bar{u}s$ , one sees that the scalar form factor describes the matrix element of the scalar current  $\bar{u}s$ 

$$(m_s - m_u) \left\langle K^+(p_K) \pi^0(p_\pi) | \bar{u}s | 0 \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( m_K^2 - m_\pi^2 \right) f_0^{K\pi}(t) \,. \tag{2}$$

Watson's theorem implies that the phases of the form factors of  $f_{+}^{K\pi}$  and  $f_{0}^{K\pi}$  must be identical to the I = 1/2 phase shifts with J = 1 and J = 0 respectively, in the energy region where  $\pi K$  scattering is elastic.

One can actually evaluate the form factor phases in a somewhat larger energy region, because the onset of inelasticity for  $P_1P_2$  scattering is strongly dominated by two-body channels. This was first used for the  $\pi\pi$  scalar form factors in Ref. [10]. In the case of  $K\pi$  with J = 0, the main inelastic channel is  $K\eta'$  [11] and neglecting further inelastic channels, the Cauchy representation takes the form of a set of coupled singular integral equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_0^{K\pi}(t) \\ f_0^{K\eta'}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{(m_K + m_\pi)^2}^{\infty} \frac{dt'}{t' - t} \mathbf{T}_0^*(t') \Sigma(t') \begin{pmatrix} f_0^{K\pi}(t') \\ f_0^{K\eta'}(t') \end{pmatrix},$$
(3)

where  $\Sigma$  is a diagonal matrix of kinematical factors and  $T_0$  is the 2 × 2 partial-wave T-matrix. Equations (3) were first studied in Ref. [12]. Solving

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the case of  $P_1P_2 = \pi\pi$ , the form factors are related to I = 1, J = 1 and the non-resonant I = 2, J = 0 scattering.

the equations<sup>2</sup> gives, in particular, the phase of  $f_0^{K\pi}$ , which should be reliable in the region where inelasticity is effectively dominated by  $K\eta'$ . Figure 1 shows the result and illustrates the generic feature that the sharp onset of inelasticity is associated with a sharp dip of the form-factor phase. This dip corresponds to a minimum in the modulus of the form factor which implies some suppression of the coupling of the  $K_0^*(1430)$  resonance to the  $\bar{u}s$  operator.



Fig. 1. Phase of the  $K\pi$  scalar form factor generated by solving Eqs. (3).



Fig. 2. The  $\tau \to K \pi \nu$  decay width as a function of the  $K \pi$  energy. The contributions from the vector (scalar) form factors correspond to the dotted/red (dash-dotted/blue) curves.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Proper asymptotic conditions must be imposed on the T-matrix which ensure a unique solution compatible with QCD asymptotic behaviour.

#### B. MOUSSALLAM

An analogous description can be developed for the vector form factor  $f_+^{K\pi}$  [13]. The onset of inelasticity, in this case, is dominated by the quasitwo-body channels:  $K^*\pi$ ,  $K\rho$ . The experimental measurement of the energy distribution  $d\Gamma_{\tau\to K\pi\nu}/dE_{K\pi}$  [14] may be used to improve the determination of the  $J = 1 \pi K$  scattering phase shift (see Ref. [15]). Figure 2 illustrates the vector and scalar form factor contributions to the energy distribution. The scalar contribution dominates over the vector one in the region  $\sqrt{t} <$ 0.8 GeV and its size is confirmed by experiment. It displays a low energy enhancement which may be interpreted as reflecting the effect of the  $K_0^*(800)$ resonance and favours a non-exotic nature.

## 3. The $\eta\pi$ scalar form factor and the isospin violating $\tau \to \eta\pi\nu$ amplitude

The amplitude for  $\tau \to \eta \pi \nu$  involves two form factors  $f_+^{\eta\pi}$ ,  $f_0^{\eta\pi}$  exactly as in Eq. (1). Weinberg's G-parity argument [16] indicates that these form factors are isospin violating. This is also seen in the case of the scalar form factor from the Ward identity:  $i\partial_{\mu}\bar{u}\gamma^{\mu}d = (m_d - m_u)\bar{u}d - eA_{\mu}\bar{u}\gamma^{\mu}d$ . We will revisit here the estimate of the scalar form factor making use of analyticity, chiral symmetry constraints, and analogies with the  $K\pi$  scalar form factor.

Concerning analyticity, one might be concerned about anomalous thresholds, since the  $\eta$  meson is not stable in QCD. This was investigated in detail in Ref. [17] based on Mandelstam's approach: one starts from an unphysical situation where the mass of the  $\eta$  is small  $m_{\eta} < 3m_{\pi}$  and one follows the motion of the endpoint singularities, while varying the mass towards its physical value. The result is that no anomalous threshold appears.

Near t = 0, the form factors are constrained by chiral symmetry: they were computed up to NLO in ChPT in Refs. [18, 19]. At this order, the value at t = 0 obeys a parameter-free relation with an isospin violating combination of  $K\pi$  form factors which can be evaluated rather precisely using experimental inputs on  $K_{l3}$  decays from K factories (see [20]),

$$f_{+}^{\eta\pi}(0) = f_{0}^{\eta\pi}(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{f_{+}^{K^{+}\pi^{0}}(0)}{f_{+}^{K^{0}\pi^{+}}(0)} - 1 \right) = (1.49 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-2} \,. \tag{4}$$

A further constraint is associated with the derivative of the form factor

$$\dot{f}_{0}^{\eta\pi}(0) = f_{0}^{\eta\pi}(0) \left\{ \frac{1}{12F_{\pi}^{2}} \left[ 48L_{5}^{r} - \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} \left( 9\log\frac{m_{K}^{2}}{\mu^{2}} + 11 \right) + 4m_{\pi}^{2} \dot{J}_{\eta\pi}(0) \right] + \frac{\sqrt{3}e^{2}}{18\Delta_{\eta\pi}\epsilon} \left[ -2(2S_{2} + S_{3}) + \frac{11}{16\pi^{2}}Z \right] \right\}.$$
(5)

Numerically, this corresponds to a value for the  $\eta$ - $\pi$  scalar radius

$$\langle r^2 \rangle_S^{\eta\pi} \equiv \frac{6\dot{f}_0^{\eta\pi}(0)}{f_0^{\eta\pi}(0)} = (0.083 \pm 0.006) \,\mathrm{fm}^2$$
 (6)

which is remarkably small: it is twice smaller than the analogous  $K\pi$  scalar radius (interestingly, the two radii should be equal in the large  $N_c$  limit).

We can now estimate  $f_0^{\eta\pi}$ , writing a phase dispersive representation,

$$f_0^{\eta\pi}(t) = f_0^{\eta\pi}(0) \exp\left[\zeta t + \frac{t^2}{\pi} \int_{(m_\eta + m_\pi)^2}^{\infty} dt' \frac{\phi^{\eta\pi}(t')}{(t')^2(t'-t)}\right],$$
(7)

where  $\zeta = \langle r^2 \rangle_S^{\eta \pi}/6$ . Finiteness when  $t \to \infty$  yields the sum rule,

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{(m_\eta + m_\pi)^2}^{\infty} dt' \, \frac{\phi^{\eta \pi} \, (t')}{(t')^2} \,. \tag{8}$$

Further properties of  $\phi^{\eta\pi}$  is that it should obey Watson's theorem below the  $K\overline{K}$  threshold and that it should display a dip at some point  $t_1$  above. The sum rule (8) proves rather constraining. Using the  $\eta\pi$  phase-shift model of Ref. [21], the position of the dip is restricted to the range of  $2m_K < \sqrt{t_1} < 1.2$  GeV. In the dispersive approach, the exotic nature of one of the  $a_0$  resonances corresponds to the dip lying close to its mass. Figure 3 illustrates the phase  $\phi^{\eta\pi}$  generated in this approach. The corresponding



Fig. 3. Model for the scalar form factor phase satisfying the sum rule (8) for several values of the dip position  $t_1$ .

#### B. MOUSSALLAM

shape of scalar component of the energy distribution of the  $\tau \to \eta \pi \nu$  decay as a function of the dip position  $t_1$  is shown in Fig. 4 (the vector component, computed in Ref. [17] is also shown). This could be probed experimentally at the future super-B or charm-tau factory.



Fig. 4. Contribution to the energy distribution of the  $\tau \to \eta \pi \nu$  branching fraction from the scalar form factor for several values of the dip position.

Work supported in part by the European Community-Research Infrastructure Integrating Activity "Study of Strongly Integrating Matter" (HadronPhysics3, Grant Agreement No. 283286) under the 7<sup>th</sup> Framework Programme of EU.

### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Ochs, J. Phys. G 40, 043001 (2013).
- [2] I. Caprini, G. Colangelo, H. Leutwyler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96, 132001 (2006).
- [3] R. Garcia-Martin, R. Kaminski, J. Pelaez, J. Ruiz de Elvira, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107, 072001 (2011).
- [4] J. Batley et al. [NA48/2 Coll.], Eur. Phys. J. C70, 635 (2010).
- [5] B. Adeva *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B704**, 24 (2011).
- [6] P. Minkowski, W. Ochs, *Eur. Phys. J.* C9, 283 (1999).
- [7] P. del Amo Sanchez et al. [BaBar Coll.], Phys. Rev. D83, 072001 (2011).
- [8] B. Adeva et al. [DIRAC Coll.], Phys. Lett. B735, 288 (2014).
- [9] K. Maltman, *Phys. Lett.* **B462**, 14 (1999).
- [10] J.F. Donoghue, J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B343, 341 (1990).
- [11] D. Aston et al. [LASS Coll.], Nucl. Phys. **B296**, 493 (1988).

- [12] M. Jamin, J.A. Oller, A. Pich, Nucl. Phys. B622, 279 (2002).
- [13] B. Moussallam, Eur. Phys. J. C53, 401 (2008).
- [14] D. Epifanov et al. [Belle Coll.], Phys. Lett. B654, 65 (2007).
- [15] V. Bernard, J. High Energy Phys. 1406, 082 (2014).
- [16] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* **112**, 1375 (1958).
- [17] S. Descotes-Genon, B. Moussallam, Eur. Phys. J. C74, 2946 (2014).
- [18] H. Neufeld, H. Rupertsberger, Z. Phys. C68, 91 (1995).
- [19] D. Scora, K. Maltman, *Phys. Rev.* **D51**, 132 (1995).
- [20] M. Antonelli et al., Eur. Phys. J. C69, 399 (2010).
- [21] D. Black, A.H. Fariborz, J. Schechter, *Phys. Rev.* D61, 074030 (2000).