NON-MAGNETIC GROUND STATE AND FIELD-INDUCED TRANSITION IN PrInNi$_4$*
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The magnetic properties of PrInNi$_4$ with the field-induced ferromagnetic transition have been investigated by the measurements of the magnetic susceptibility and the high-field magnetization up to 30 T. The experimental results have been described in terms of the single-site effect of the crystalline-electric-field and the inter-atomic exchange interaction with a ferromagnetic coupling.

PACS numbers: 75.30.Kz, 75.30.Cr

Systems including non-Kramers rare-earth ion under cubic symmetry are of special interest from the viewpoint of their characteristic crystalline-electric-field (CEF) states. For example, the Hund’s rule ground state for $^3H_4$ of Pr$^{3+}$ ion splits in a cubic CEF into one singlet, $I_1$, one doublet, $I_3$, and two triplet, $I_4$ and $I_5$. Here, it is noted that the non-Kramers doublet of $I_3$ is non-magnetic but has quadrupolar moments. When $I_3$ ground state with a relatively large CEF splitting is realized, it is suited for the investigation of a pure quadrupolar system. They are, however, rare cases, for example, PrPb$_3$ [1], PrInAg$_2$ [2] and PrPtBi [3]. Very recently, the polycrystalline sample of PrInNi$_4$ with the cubic MgSnCu$_4$-type structure has been prepared and its physical properties have been reported [4]. The magnetic susceptibility, $\chi(T)$, and the magnetization curve, $M(H)$, have revealed a non-magnetic CEF ground state and the field-induced ferromagnetic transition at low temperatures. In this paper, we discuss the experimental results of $\chi(T)$ and high-field $M(H)$ up to 30 T in terms of CEF and ferromagnetic interaction. Our analysis shows that the field-induced transition can be explained by a mean-field approximation model and that the CEF ground state is $I_3$.

* Presented at the International Conference on Strongly Correlated Electron Systems, (SCES02), Cracow, Poland, July 10–13, 2002.
As shown in Fig. 1, $1/\chi(T)$ increases almost linearly above 50K, i.e. it seems to follow the Curie-Weiss law, but with a small upward curvature, indicating a relatively large CEF splitting. Below about 20 K, $1/\chi(T)$ decreases rapidly. The electrical resistivity also shows a rapid decrease below 20 K [4]. These behaviors suggest a characteristic energy scale of $T^* \sim 20$ K in this system. While the rapid decrease of $1/\chi(T)$ below $T^*$, i.e. an enhancement of $\chi$, implies a development of magnetic interactions, a tendency of $\chi(T)$ to flatten around the lowest temperature suggests a non-magnetic ground state. These features are key points to understand the origin of the field-induced ferromagnetic transition. This will be discussed later. The high-field $M(H)$ up to 30 T at $T = 2$ K, as shown in Fig. 2, is found to saturate above 20 T to a value of $\sim 2.4 \mu_B$, which is smaller than that expected from Pr$^{3+}$ (3.2 \mu_B). This also indicates the relatively large CEF splitting. $M(H)$ shows a sharp jump at $H_m = 0.65$ T. In Ref. [4], it is reported that this $H_m$ shifts to higher values with the increase of the temperature.

Fig. 1. The temperature dependence of $1/\chi$. The dots, dashed and solid lines represent the calculated results obtained by considering CEF with $\Gamma_1$ ground state ($\Gamma_1$), CEF ($\Gamma_3$) and CEF ($\Gamma_3$) + ferromagnetic interaction, respectively. For the CEF level schemes used in the calculation, see the text.

First, we discuss CEF level schemes. In possible CEF level schemes having a non-magnetic ground state, $\Gamma_1$ ground and $\Gamma_5$ 1st excited state ($\Gamma_1$-$\Gamma_5$) and $\Gamma_3$-$\Gamma_5$ level schemes are excluded because $\chi(T)$ for these schemes with a relatively large CEF splitting decreases toward $T = 0$ K.
below the temperature of the 1st excited level, resulting in the appearance of a peak in $\chi(T)$, which is inconsistent with the experimental result. The obtained CEF level schemes that can fit $1/\chi(T)$ above 20 K and give the value of $M$ ($\sim 2.4 \mu_B/f.u.$) around 30 T at 2 K are $\Gamma_1 (0 \text{ K})$, $\Gamma_4 (29 \text{ K})$, $\Gamma_3 (50 \text{ K})$, $\Gamma_5 (531 \text{ K})$ and $\Gamma_3 (0 \text{ K})$, $\Gamma_4 (18 \text{ K})$, $\Gamma_1 (43 \text{ K})$, $\Gamma_5 (481 \text{ K})$, corresponding to the CEF parameters, by Lea, Leask and Wolf [5], of $x \approx 0.88$, $W \approx -13$ and $x \approx 0.84$, $W \approx -12$, respectively. Note that the splitting between the ground and 1st excited states for $\Gamma_3-\Gamma_4$, $\Delta_1$, is comparable with $T^*$. As can be seen from Fig. 1 and 2, the calculated results in terms of CEF cannot explain the rapid decrease of $1/\chi(T)$ below 20 K and a rise in $M(H)$ at lower fields.

Fig. 2. High field magnetization at 2 K. The dots and dashed lines and solid circles represent the calculated results obtained by considering CEF with $\Gamma_1$ ground state ($\Gamma_1$), CEF ($\Gamma_3$) and CEF ($\Gamma_3$) + ferromagnetic interaction, respectively. For the CEF level schemes used in the calculation, see the text. The inset plots two equations of $M = M_{CEF} H_{[001]} (H_{eff})$ for $\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_4$ at $T = 2$ K and $H_{eff} = H_{ext} + \lambda M$ at $H_{eff} = 0.65$ T.

In order to explain the field-induced transition and the above mentioned disagreement between experiment and calculation, we introduce ferromagnetic interaction into the analyses. Here, we focus on the calculated CEF $M(H)$ ($H_{[001]}$) for $\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_4$, $M_{CEF[001]}(H)$, which is the only curve with a downward curvature, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2, in all $M_{CEF}(H)$ curves for the three symmetry axes for $\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_4$ and $\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_4$. In the mean field approximation of the ferromagnetic interaction, the effective magnetic field can

\[ H_{eff} = H_{ext} + \lambda M \]
be described as \( H_{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{ext}} + \lambda M \), where \( H_{\text{ext}} \) is the external field and \( \lambda \) is the ferromagnetic exchange parameter. As can be seen from the inset of Fig. 2, the two equations described in the inset intersect at two or three points with given \( H_{\text{eff}} \) and \( \lambda \), resulting in that the magnetization moves to a higher point to gain the energy. Using the condition that these two equations meet in two points at \( H_{\text{ext}} = H_m \), \( = 0.65 \text{ T} \) and \( T = 2 \text{ K} \), the exchange energy is estimated as \( J^* = \lambda/(g\mu_B)^2 \sim 1.25 \text{ K} \). The temperature dependence of \( H_m \) can be explained qualitatively by this model. The calculated magnetization of the powder, averaged over three symmetry axes, as plotted in Fig. 2, can reproduce roughly experimental data.

Next we perform the calculation of \( \chi(T) \) for the \( \Gamma_3-\Gamma_4 \) case. Here, taking into account of the development of the magnetic interaction observed in \( \chi(T) \) below \( T^* \) and \( T^* \sim \Delta_1 \) for \( \Gamma_3-\Gamma_4 \), the ferromagnetic interaction is introduced only into the van-Vleck term of \( \Gamma_3-\Gamma_4 \) in the calculation of \( \chi(T) \). The calculated results with \( J^* \), as plotted in Fig. 1, can explain well the rapid decrease of \( 1/\chi(T) \) below 20 \text{ K}. In a preliminary specific heat measurement, a phase transition at \( T_c = 0.8 \text{ K} \) and a Schottky-type peak with a maximum of about 5 \text{ J/mole K} at 7 \text{ K} were observed. The calculated results using the mean field approximation with \( J^* \) predict a spontaneous magnetization around \( T = 1 \text{ K} \), which is comparable with \( T_c \). The latter Schottky-type peak can be also reproduced by the calculated result in terms of doublet-triplet \( (\Gamma_3-\Gamma_4) \) thermal excitation with the splitting of 18 \text{ K}.

These agreements strongly support our analyses in terms of the CEF and the ferromagnetic interactions.

In PrInNi\(_4\), a balance between the CEF splitting with the non-magnetic \( \Gamma_3 \) ground state and the ferromagnetic interaction introduces the field-induced ferromagnetic transition. For more quantitative analysis, the measurement on the single crystal is necessary. The preparation of the single crystal and the measurements of the specific heat and transport properties are now in progress and their results will be published elsewhere.
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