FERMI SURFACE STUDIES OF THE FERROMAGNETIC SUPERCONDUCTOR UGe₂ UNDER HIGH PRESSURE*

T. TERASHIMA, T. MATSUMOTO, C. TERAKURA, S. UJI

National Institute for Materials Science, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0047, Japan

N. KIMURA, M. ENDO, T. KOMATSUBARA, H. AOKI

Center for Low Temperature Science, Tohoku University
Sendai, Miyagi 980-8578, Japan

AND K. MAEZAWA

Department of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Toyama Prefectural University
Koengi, Toyama 939-0398, Japan

(Received July 10, 2002)

We report de Haas–van Alphen effect measurements on UGe₂ at pressures $P$ up to $\sim 18$ kbar, which exceeds the critical pressure $P_c \sim 16$ kbar for the suppression of ferromagnetism. Particular attention is given to the complicated pressure dependence of the Fermi surface and effective mass in an intermediate pressure region from $\sim 11$ kbar to $P_c$. 

PACS numbers: 71.18.+y, 71.27.+a, 74.70.Tx

UGe₂ is an itinerant-electron ferromagnet with the Curie temperature $T_C$ of 52 K at ambient pressure [1]. The magnetic anisotropy is extremely strong, and the easy axis is the $a$ axis. The Curie temperature $T_C$ decreases with pressure $P$ and vanishes at the critical pressure $P_c$ near 16 kbar [2–6]. Superconductivity is observed in a limited pressure range, $\sim 10$–16 kbar, on the ferromagnetic side of $P_c$ [4–6]. There is another anomaly occurring below $T_C$ in the ferromagnetic state [3, 5, 6]. Its characteristic temperature $T_x$ also decreases with pressure and vanishes at the pressure $P_x$ near 12–13 kbar. In order to study the pressure dependence of quasiparticle properties, we have
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performed de Haas–van Alphen (dHvA) effect measurements up to ~18 kbar (> $P_c$), for the magnetic field $B$ along the hard $b$ axis [7] and along the easy $a$ axis [8]. In this paper, we focus on the $b$-axis results in an intermediate pressure range from just below $P_a$ to $P_c$.
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Fig. 1. Fourier spectra of dHvA oscillations in UGe$_2$ for selected pressures. The dHvA frequencies, or orbits, are labeled by Greek letters. The numbers in the parentheses indicate the effective masses in the unit of the free electron mass. The data windows for the Fourier transformations are $B = 16$–17.75 T for $P = 0$ and 11.4 kbar, 17.8–19.6 T for 12.2, 13.2 and 15.4 kbar, and 15–17.8 T for 17.6 kbar. The experimental signal is the emf in a pickup coil, and hence the unit of the vertical axis is Volts. The spectra are vertically shifted for clarity. Note that the $P = 0$ and 11.4 kbar spectra are scaled by 1/10 and 1/2, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the Fourier spectra of dHvA oscillations at selected pressures for $B \parallel b$. The strongest frequency $\beta$ at 0 kbar corresponds to an orbit occupying 29% of the cross-section of the Brillouin zone and is probably assigned to the majority-spin quasi-two-dimensional Fermi surface (FS) sheet predicted by band-structure calculations [9]. Pressure effects are not very significant below ~11 kbar: only slight changes in the dHvA frequencies and effective masses are observed. In the intermediate pressure range from ~11 kbar to $P_c$, the pressure causes successive changes in quasiparticle properties: (1) The pressure coefficient of the frequency $\beta$ changes form negative to positive near ~11 kbar. (2) The oscillation amplitude of $\beta$ is anomalously reduced. Comparing the amplitudes at $T = 70$ mK and $B = 17$ T, those at 11.4, 12.2 and 13.2 kbar are 1/7, 1/20 and less than 1/40 of that at 0 kbar, respectively. (3) The effective mass of $\beta$ jumps from 16 ± 3 $m_e$ at 12.2 kbar to 39 ± 5 $m_e$ at 13.2 kbar, where $m_e$ is the free electron mass. (4) The new frequency $\delta$ suddenly appears at 12.2 kbar. We also mention
that the superconductivity is observed in this intermediate pressure regime: the sample exhibits superconductivity at 11.4, 12.2 and 13.2 kbar. As the pressure is further increased from 15.4 to 17.6 kbar, i.e., on entering the paramagnetic phase, the FS abruptly changes. The discontinuous change of the FS is in favor of the view that the ferromagnetic transition is first order at pressures near \( P_c \) [5, 7].

In order to interpret the complicated behavior of quasiparticle properties in the intermediate pressure regime, we need to locate \( P_x \). One can determine \( T_x \) as a function of \( P \) by measuring resistivity or magnetization vs temperature curves at various pressures. Such measurements show \( 12 < P_x < 13 \) [5], \( \sim 11.5 < P_x < 12.6 \) [6], or \( 11.8 < P_x < 12.1 \) [10] \( (P_x \) in the unit of kbar). Since the \( T_x \) anomaly can be induced by magnetic fields along the easy \( a \) axis at pressures higher than \( P_x \), one can also determine \( P_x \) from the pressure where the field-induced \( T_x \) anomaly goes to zero field. With this method, we have obtained \( 12.3 < P_x < 14.0 \) for another sample [8]. The errors in the pressure values are \( \sim \pm 0.3 \) kbar in our case and are probably of similar magnitude in other cases. Considering these data, we seem to have two possibilities in Fig. 1: 11.4 < \( P_x \) < 12.2 and 12.2 < \( P_x \) < 13.2. We here note that the \( T^2 \) coefficient of resistivity sharply increases as \( P_x \) is crossed [5, 6]. Relating this with the mass jump between 12.2 and 13.2 kbar, we assume that 12.2 < \( P_x \) < 13.2. A further support to this assumption comes from heat capacity data [11]. Although \( P_x \) was not determined in those measurements, it can safely be located by identifying the steep increase in the electronic specific heat coefficient \( \gamma \) between 11 and 12 kbar with the increase in the \( T^2 \) coefficient. The data indicate that the coefficient \( \gamma \) at pressures just above \( P_x \) is about three times larger than that at 0 kbar. This comparison favors the fact that the mass of \( \beta \) just above \( P_x \), i.e., at 13.2 kbar is about three times larger than that at 0 kbar. With the assumption that 12.2 < \( P_x \) < 13.2, we may attribute the appearance of \( \beta \) at 12.2 kbar to the pressure-induced modification of a FS sheet that already exists at lower pressures: i.e., the sheet is continuously modified by pressure, and an extremal orbit happens to appear on it at 12.2 kbar. Since the frequency \( \beta \) smoothly varies from 11.4 to 12.2 kbar, the appearance of \( \beta \) cannot be taken as a sign of such a radical change of the electronic structure that a new band crosses the Fermi level to form a new FS pocket. The suppression of dHvA oscillation amplitudes in the intermediate pressure regime remains difficult to explain within the framework of the Lifshitz-Kosevich theory [7].

Finally, we consider two alternative explanations. Firstly, one might argue that \( \beta \) at 12.2 and 13.2 kbar may be the second harmonic of \( \delta \). The frequency \( \beta \) is close to twice the frequency \( \delta \), and, because of the large error, it seems difficult to exclude the possibility that the mass of \( \beta \) is also twice
the mass of $\delta$. However, the comparison of the amplitudes indicates that $\beta$
 can not be the second harmonic of $\delta$. Within the Lifshitz–Kosevich theory,
 the ratio of the amplitude of the second harmonic to that of the fundamental
 is given by $2^{-3/2}C \exp(-K\mu_x^2/B)\cosh^{-1}(K\mu_x^2T/B)$, where $K$ is the Dingle temperature. The factor $C$ depends on
 the detection method of dHvA oscillations and is 2 for the present case.
 Note that, since we deal with exchange-split FS’s, the spin-splitting factor is irrelevant. The equation shows that the amplitude of a second harmonic
 can never be larger than $1/\sqrt{2}$ of the fundamental. Secondly, one might
 assume that the low-pressure and high-pressure ferromagnetic phases may
 coexist in some pressure interval near $P_x$. The frequencies $\beta$ and $\delta$ would
 be attributed to the low- and high-pressure phases, respectively. This
 assumption is tempting since it could naturally explain the anomalous amplitude
 reduction of the $\beta$ oscillation as a result of the reduced volume of the
 low-pressure phase. With this interpretation, the coexistence region would
 be expected to range from below 11.4 kbar to above 13.2 kbar, since the
 anomalous damping of $\beta$ is already noticed at 11.4 kbar. However, such
 a wide coexistence region has never been observed in the aforementioned resistivity and magnetization measurements. Especially in the case of magnetization measurements by Tateiwa et al. [10] the transition from the low-
to high-pressure phases occurs in a narrow pressure range between 11.8 and
 12.1 kbar.
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