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The turnaround epoch of gravitational collapse is examined by means of the relativistic Lagrangian perturbation theory. Averaged, scalar equations applied to the fluid’s evolution reveal some scale-independent universality of parameters for a wide variety of initial conditions. In particular, the density contrast of the collapsing domain at the turnaround is shown to be significantly smaller than the value provided by the Eulerian perturbative (homogeneous and spherical) model. Combined curvature and kinematical backreaction are shown to be of the order of the energy density. Possible improvements of our treatment are put into perspective.
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1. Introduction

Attempts to realistically model the gravitational collapse of cosmological fluids fall under few categories, the two most popular being: exact solutions to Einstein equations and perturbative approaches. Here, we utilize both, in a sense that we use the specific perturbation scheme to close the averaged Einstein equations. Application of exact solutions to structure formation is often limited, either by underlying space-time symmetries or by the size of the set of admissible initial conditions. In other words, exact solutions usually lack generality. One way out of this situation is to use the averaged version of the Einstein equations (trace part) and thus reduce the complicated distribution of the energy density and geometry in some arbitrary domain to its Riemannian volume integrals. Such an averaging operation, however, does not commute with the time evolution. In the following, we will use the Buchert equations which take into account this non-commutativity by
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introducing new terms to the scalar evolution equations. As a closure condition for these equations, we will use a restricted version of the first order general-relativistic Lagrangian perturbation theory solution which is basically a prescription of the fluid’s local volume deformation with respect to an assumed background-volume evolution (see [1, 2] and references therein for detailed explanation of this formalism). In our examination of gravitational collapse, we will restrict ourselves to the turnaround epoch i.e. a moment when initially expanding domain starts collapsing and departing from the Hubble expansion, extending the derivation in [3].

2. Averaged equations and Relativistic Zel’dovich Approximation (RZA)

Starting from this section and throughout the article, we will consider irrotational dust as a matter model and a synchronous, comoving foliation frame. The expansion tensor in this foliation reads: \( \Theta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} \dot{g}_{kj} \), where \( g_{ij} \) is the spatial metric and the overdot denotes derivative w.r.t. proper time of the fluid. The scalar invariants of the expansion tensor read

\[
I = \text{tr} (\Theta_{ij}) , \quad II = \frac{1}{2} \left( (\text{tr} \Theta_{ij})^2 - \text{tr} \left( (\Theta_{ij})^2 \right) \right) , \quad III = \text{det} (\Theta_{ij}) .
\]

Domain-dependent (we will represent this feature with \( D \) subscript) evolution of the dust is described by the Buchert equations

\[
3 \ddot{a}_D + 4\pi G \langle \rho \rangle_D = Q_D , \quad H_D^2 - \frac{8\pi G}{3} \langle \rho \rangle_D = -\frac{\langle R \rangle_D + Q_D}{6} ,
\]

where angular brackets stand for integral over the Riemannian volume element divided by the total volume, \( a_D \) is the effective scale factor equal to the cubic root of the time-dependent volume-to-initial volume ratio, \( H_D \) is the Hubble parameter, \( \rho \) is the energy density and \( R \) is the 3-Ricci scalar curvature. Term \( Q_D \) is called the kinematical backreaction and can be expressed in terms of expansion tensor invariants

\[
Q_D = 2 \langle II \rangle_D - \frac{2}{3} \langle I \rangle_D^2 .
\]

The second equation of Eq. (2), i.e. the averaged Hamiltonian constraint, can be recast into more familiar form

\[
\Omega_m^D + \Omega_Q^D + \Omega_R^D = 1 ,
\]

where the dimensionless parameters are defined in the following way:

\[
\Omega_m^D = \frac{8\pi G}{3 H_D^2} \langle \rho \rangle_D , \quad \Omega_Q^D = -\frac{Q_D}{3 H_D^2} , \quad \Omega_R^D = -\frac{R_D}{3 H_D^2} .
\]
Closure of these equations can be obtained by assuming that fluid particles follow the perturbed (w.r.t. to the fixed background) worldlines. The basic quantity we will need is the local, peculiar volume deformation

$$ \mathcal{J} = 1 + \xi(t)I_i + \xi^2(t)II_i + \xi^3III_i $$

built from the averaged, initial, peculiar-volume invariants (with background subtracted), and $\xi(t)$ which is the background-dependent growth function. By combining the Raychaudhuri equation and the Hamiltonian constraint, we obtain the expression for the averaged spatial curvature scalar

$$ \langle R \rangle_D = -\left( \frac{\langle \ddot{J} \rangle_I}{\langle J \rangle_I} + 3 \left( \frac{\dot{\xi}}{\xi} + 4 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right) \frac{\langle J \rangle_I}{\langle J \rangle_I} \right). $$

(7)

In addition, first two invariants of peculiar-expansion tensor read

$$ \langle I(\theta^i_j) \rangle_D = \frac{\langle J \rangle_I}{\langle J \rangle_I}, \quad \langle II(\theta^i_j) \rangle_D = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\langle J \rangle_I}{\langle J \rangle_I} - \frac{\ddot{\xi}(t)}{\dot{\xi}(t)} \frac{\langle J \rangle_I}{\langle J \rangle_I} \right), $$

(8)

where $a$ is the background scale factor and we used another averaging operator

$$ \langle A \rangle_D = \frac{\langle AJ \rangle_I}{\langle J \rangle_I}. $$

(9)

3. Results: turnaround epoch of the gravitational collapse

Cosmological compact objects (e.g. galaxy clusters), if initially expanding, must have undergone a turnaround period i.e. a period of change in sign of the expansion scalar. The turnaround condition reads: $H_D = 0$. For simplicity, we will assume the Einstein–de Sitter background (EdS). In this case, $\xi(t) = (a(t) - a(t_i))/\dot{a}(t_i)$. Using the expression for the second invariant (Eq. (8)), we get from Eq. (7)

$$ \langle R \rangle_D = -2 \langle II(\theta^i_j) \rangle_D - \frac{\langle J \rangle_I}{\langle J \rangle_I} \left( 4 \frac{\ddot{\xi}}{\dot{\xi}} + 12 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right). $$

(10)

Second term for EdS background reads (using Friedmann equations)

$$ 4 \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + 12 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = 10H, $$

(11)
where \( H \) is the background Hubble parameter. Invoking the turnaround condition

\[
H_D = 0 \rightarrow \frac{\langle \dot{J} \rangle_I}{\langle J \rangle_I} = -3H,
\]

we finally obtain

\[
\langle R \rangle_D = - \left( 2 \langle \Pi (\theta^i_j) \rangle_D - 30H^2 \right).
\]

From the averaged Hamiltonian constraint and using \( H_D = 0 \), we get

\[
\langle R \rangle_D + Q_D = 24H^2 = 16\pi G \langle \rho \rangle_D
\]

and thus, the density contrast and the combined backreaction and curvature–density ratio at the turnaround is (\( b \) denotes the EdS normalization)

\[
\frac{\langle \rho \rangle_D}{\rho_H} = 4, \quad \left( \Omega^D_Q + \Omega^D_R \right) / \Omega^D_m = -1.
\]

4. Conclusions

In this work, we extended the analysis in [3] by relaxing the \( \langle \Pi i \rangle_D = \langle \PiII i \rangle_D = 0 \) condition. Our results show that the density threshold needed for the collapsing domain to reach the turnaround is lower (\( \langle \rho \rangle_D / \rho_H = 4 \)) than the one obtained from the Eulerian perturbation theory (\( \langle \rho \rangle_D / \rho_H \approx 5.55 \)) and is scale-independent. Combined averaged curvature and kinematical backreaction contribute to the energy budget at the level of matter and, therefore, should not be neglected. Our determination of the curvature is based on the specific formula and is not unique. Comparison of the RZA formulas for curvature with exact solutions is a subject of ongoing work.
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