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We report preliminary results of our ongoing lattice computation of the
Landau gauge quark propagator and the soft gluon limit of the quark–gluon
vertex with 2 flavors of dynamical $O(a)$ improved Wilson fermions.
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1. Introduction

The dynamics of a quantum field theory is encoded in its Green’s func-
tions. Here, we focus on two fundamental correlation functions of QCD,
namely the quark propagator and the quark–gluon vertex, in the Landau
gauge.

The quark propagator has two form factors, the quark wave function
and the quark running mass. The running mass signals the dynamical sym-
metry breaking mechanism present in QCD. Furthermore, from the quark
propagator, one can access its analytical properties which, hopefully, provide
information on quark confinement.

A complete description of the quark–gluon vertex requires the computation of twelve form factors. This three-point correlation function is of primary importance for hadronic physics and encodes information on the hadronic spectrum and on quark confinement.

Lattice simulations allow for first principles calculations of both the quark propagator and the quark–gluon vertex form factors, or combinations of form factors, associated with the above mentioned correlation functions. Once the finite size effects are under control, the results from lattice QCD simulations may also be used in the validation of continuum approaches to strong interaction physics as those provided by Dyson–Schwinger or functional renormalisation group equations.

The quark propagator and quark–gluon vertex are gauge-dependent quantities. Here, we report on results for the Landau gauge defined, in the continuum, by the condition \( \partial_\mu A^a_\mu = 0 \), where \( A^a_\mu \) refers to the gluon field. On the lattice, the Landau gauge condition is satisfied up to \( O(a^2) \) corrections in the lattice spacing.

The gauge ensembles in our study use three values for the gauge coupling, corresponding to lattice spacings of \( a \approx 0.081 \) fm, \( a \approx 0.071 \) fm and \( a \approx 0.060 \) fm, and we use quark masses corresponding to \( m_\pi \approx 290 \) MeV and \( m_\pi \approx 420 \) MeV. The ensembles were generated by the Regensburg QCD (RQCD) Collaboration [1] with \( N_f = 2 \) non-perturbative improved Sheikholeslami–Wohlert (clover) fermions\(^1\). The gauge fixing and the computation of the propagators and vertex functions were performed on the HLRN [2] supercomputing facilities. The lattice setup and the parameters used are listed in Table I.

### Table I

Lattice setup: the lattice spacing and pion masses are taken from [1]. The subtracted bare quark mass is given by \( m_q = 1/(2\kappa) - 1/(2\kappa_c) \) and we read the critical hopping parameters \( \kappa_c \) from [1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( \kappa )</th>
<th>( a ) [fm]</th>
<th>( V )</th>
<th>( m_\pi ) [MeV]</th>
<th>( m_q ) [MeV]</th>
<th>( N_{cfg} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>0.13596</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>( 32^3 \times 64 )</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>0.13620</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>( 32^3 \times 64 )</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>0.13632</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>( 32^3 \times 64 )</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( 64^3 \times 64 )</td>
<td>290</td>
<td></td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>0.13647</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>( 32^3 \times 64 )</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) We used the ensembles I, III, IV, VI and X referred to in [1], and thank the RQCD Collaboration for providing us access to them.
2. The quark propagator

The continuum momentum space quark propagator is given by

\[ S(p) = \frac{1}{i \not{p} A(p^2) + B(p^2)} = \frac{Z(p^2)}{i \not{p} + M(p^2)}, \]

where \( Z(p^2) = 1/A(p^2) \) is the quark wave function and \( M(p^2) = B(p^2)/A(p^2) \) is the renormalisation group invariant running mass function. Our lattice simulations use periodic boundary conditions in space and anti-periodic boundary conditions in time for fermion fields and, therefore, the available momenta read

\[ p_i = \frac{2\pi}{N_i a} \left( n_i - \frac{N_i}{2} \right); \quad n_i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_i, \]

\[ p_t = \frac{2\pi}{N_t a} \left( n_t - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{N_t}{2} \right); \quad n_t = 1, 2, \ldots, N_t, \]

where \( N_i, N_t \) refers to the number of lattice points in the spatial and temporal directions, respectively. For Wilson fermions, the lattice quark propagator is given by

\[ S_L(pa) = \frac{Z_L(pa)}{i a \not{K}(p) + a M_L(pa)}, \]

where the lattice momentum variable is

\[ K_\mu(p) = \frac{1}{a} \sin(ap_\mu). \]

On the lattice, given that the rotational symmetry is explicitly broken and one has to use a finite lattice spacing, large lattice artefacts are introduced in the quark propagator form factors. Here, we rely on tree-level lattice perturbation theory estimation of the rotated propagator, in combination with momentum cuts, to correct for these artefacts — see [3, 4] for details. The results reported here are derived using the so-called hybrid correction scheme which provide smoother form factors. We will also show the results for the so-called H4 estimations [5, 6] for the correction of the hypercubic artefacts. The tree-level corrected wave function and running mass for the various ensembles can be seen in Figs. 1 and 2.

---

2 The multiplicative correction scheme introduces some artificial structures on the mass function at medium momenta. The running masses computed using both the correction schemes define mass functions which are, at the qualitative level, equal to the multiplicative scheme producing larger mass values. The two schemes seem to converge as we go towards higher momentum.
Fig. 1. Tree-level corrected wave function $Z(p)$ for the $32^3 \times 64$ ensembles (left) and for the two lattice volumes at $\beta = 5.29, \kappa = 0.13632$ (right), as a function of the momentum $p$. The momenta have been cylinder cut with a radius of 1 lattice momentum unit.

Fig. 2. Tree-level corrected running mass $M(p)$ for the $32^3 \times 64$ ensembles (left) and for the two lattice volumes at $\beta = 5.29, \kappa = 0.13632$ (right), as a function of the momentum $p$. On the left plot, the inset shows a close-up of the high-momentum region. On the right plot, the two insets show a close-up of the low-momentum region with a logarithmic momentum scale (left) and the high-momentum region on a log–log scale (right). The momenta have been cylinder cut with a radius of 1 lattice momentum unit.

The quark wave function $Z(p^2)$ is suppressed in the infrared region and is a slightly decreasing function of the momentum for $p \gtrsim 3$ GeV. For sufficiently large momentum, $Z(p^2)$ should reproduce the perturbative result which predicts a constant wave function in the Landau gauge. The observed slow decrease of $Z(p^2)$ is an indication that the subtraction scheme does not completely remove the lattice artefacts. In Fig. 3, we rely on the H4 method to extrapolate away rotational symmetry violating lattice artefacts for the quark wave function. In the range of momenta where the various extrapolations are compatible, the H4 method yields larger values for $Z(p^2)$ compared to the tree-level corrections and predicts an essentially constant
wave function for $pa$ above $\sim 0.8$. The results of Fig. 1 suggest that $Z(p^2)$ has only a mild dependence on the quark mass at large momentum. In contrast, at low momentum, the data also show an infrared suppression that becomes larger for the ensembles with smaller bare quark masses. Figure 1 also reveals clear finite volume effects in the infrared region and mild volume effects at higher momenta and up to $p \lesssim 3$ GeV.

The running quark mass, see Fig. 2, is a decreasing function of momentum and, in the infrared, $M(p^2)$ decreases as $m_\pi$ approaches its physical value. For smaller pion masses, our simulations give $M(0) \approx 320$ MeV. The plots in Fig. 2 show finite size effects in $M(p^2)$ which are not removed by the H4 method. Indeed, the H4 method is not able to accommodate well the dependence of the running mass with the various momentum invariants.

3. The quark–gluon vertex

In general, the quark–gluon vertex is described by twelve form factors which are functions of $p^2$, $q^2$, $k^2$, respectively, the momentum of the outgoing quark, the gluon momentum and the momentum of the incoming quark. However, for the soft gluon limit, where the gluon momentum vanishes, the vertex requires only three form factors [7] and is given by

$$\Gamma_\mu (p^2) = \lambda_1 (p^2) \gamma_\mu - \lambda_2 (p^2) 4 \phi p_\mu - \lambda_3 (p^2) 2 i p_\mu . \quad (6)$$

In Fig. 4, we report on the lattice estimates for $\lambda_1$ after performing the tree-level corrections for the asymmetric ensembles referred in Table I.

The form factor $\lambda_1(p^2)$, which can be used to define the strong coupling constant, is enhanced at infrared momenta for all simulations. Due to the finite volume and lattice spacing effects, the dependence of $\lambda_1(p^2)$ on the pion mass is not clear from the plot. A better understanding of the finite size effects is required before drawing further conclusions.
4. Summary and outlook

In this proceeding, we report preliminary results for the quark propagator and quark–gluon vertex in the Landau gauge using lattice QCD simulations. We are currently working towards providing results closer to the physical pion mass and are trying to understand the effects of the lattice artefacts on both the wave function and running mass. On the other hand, for the vertex form factors, we are engaged in computing the three form factors that describe the vertex in the soft gluon limit for all the ensembles in Table I. We hope to provide final results in a not so distant future.
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